

European International University, EIU-Paris

Doctoral Thesis

Title:

Crisis Symptoms and Intervention Strategies in Adolescents Facing Academic Pressure

Submitted by:

CHIN CHEE LOK

EIU968871

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Psychology (Psy.D.) in Clinical Psychology

Supervisor:

Dr.V.B.Kumaresan

Date:

17th July 2026

ABSTRACT

Adolescent mental health crises triggered by academic pressure represent an increasingly significant global public health concern. This dissertation explores the phenomenological landscape of crisis symptoms including severe anxiety, depressive episodes, and suicidal ideation among secondary school students exposed to high-stakes educational environments. Integrating Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory with the diathesis-stress model, the study employs an explanatory sequential mixed-methods design comprising two principal empirical phases and an expert consensus phase.

Phase 1 comprises a cross-sectional survey of 1,200 adolescents aged 14 to 18 from six demographically diverse secondary schools. Validated instruments, including the Academic Pressure Scale, Youth Self-Report, Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders, and Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale, are used to estimate prevalence rates and identify risk and protective factors associated with academic pressure.

Phase 2 consists of in-depth phenomenological interviews with 30 adolescents who score above clinical thresholds. These interviews examine adolescents' lived experiences during academic breakdowns, coping strategies, and barriers to help-seeking. A complementary Delphi study with 25 international experts including clinicians, school counselors, and educational policymakers seeks consensus on culturally adaptable, multi-tiered intervention frameworks.

Findings indicate that 34% of the sample reported clinically significant anxiety directly associated with academic demands, while 12% endorsed passive suicidal ideation linked to fear of examination failure. Thematic analysis revealed a central construct termed "performative entrapment," in which self-worth becomes narrowly dependent on achievement metrics. Protective factors, including teacher autonomy support, broader non-academic identity, and mindfulness practices, moderated the relationship between academic pressure and crisis symptoms.

The expert panel reached strong consensus on a four-tier intervention model combining universal classroom-based stress literacy, targeted cognitive-behavioral skills groups, indicated crisis intervention protocols, and systemic policy reforms. The dissertation concludes by proposing an integrated Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering (ERID) framework that offers evidence-informed recommendations for schools, clinicians, families, and policymakers seeking to reduce academic pressure crises and promote authentic adolescent development. Contributions to theory, measurement, and practice are discussed, alongside study limitations and directions for future research.

Keywords: academic pressure, adolescent crisis, suicidal ideation, school-based intervention, ecological systems theory, mixed methods

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

1.2 Problem Statement

1.3 Purpose of the Study

1.4 Research Questions and Hypotheses

1.5 Significance of the Study

1.6 Theoretical Framework

1.7 Definition of Key Terms

1.8 Delimitations and Limitations

1.9 Organization of the Dissertation

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Construct of Academic Pressure in Adolescence

2.2 Adolescent Developmental Vulnerabilities

2.3 Crisis Symptoms Spectrum

2.3.1 Anxiety Disorders and Test Anxiety

2.3.2 Major Depressive Episodes

2.3.3 Suicidal Ideation and Behavior

2.3.4 Somatic Syndromes and Burnout

2.3.5 Behavioral Externalizations

2.4 Etiological Models Linking Pressure to Crisis

2.4.1 The Diathesis-Stress Model

2.4.2 Self-Determination Theory

2.4.3 The Perfectionism-Burnout Pathway

2.4.4 Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Lens

2.5 Protective Factors and Resilience Mechanisms

2.6 Intervention Frameworks in School Settings

2.6.1 Universal Preventive Programs

2.6.2 Targeted and Indicated Interventions

2.6.3 Cognitive-Behavioral and Third-Wave Approaches

2.6.4 Systemic and Policy-Level Interventions

2.6.5 Gaps in the Intervention Literature

2.7 Cross-Cultural Dimensions of Academic Stress

2.7.1 Social Media, Academic Comparison, and the Amplification of Pressure

2.7.2 Methodological Critique of the Existing Literature

2.7.3 Synthesis of Intervention Outcomes and the Evidence-Implementation Gap

2.8 Gaps in the Extant Literature

2.9 Chapter Summary

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Paradigm and Design

3.2 Phase 1: Quantitative Cross-Sectional Survey

3.2.1 Population and Sampling

3.2.2 Instrumentation and Psychometric Properties

3.2.3 Data Collection Procedures

3.2.4 Data Analysis Plan

3.3 Phase 2: Qualitative Phenomenological Inquiry

3.3.1 Participant Selection

3.3.2 Interview Protocol

3.3.3 Rigor and Trustworthiness

3.3.4 Thematic Analysis Procedure

3.4 Phase 3: Modified Delphi Expert Consensus

3.4.1 Panel Composition and Recruitment

3.4.2 Delphi Procedure and Consensus Criteria

3.5 Integration and Mixed-Methods Data Synthesis

3.6 Ethical Considerations

3.7 Researcher Positionality

CHAPTER 4: QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

4.1 Sample Characteristics

4.2 Descriptive Statistics and Prevalence of Crisis Symptoms

4.3 Correlation Matrix

4.4 Hierarchical Multiple Regression Predicting Crisis Severity

4.5 Moderation Analyses

4.6 Structural Equation Modeling: Mediation Through Perfectionism

4.7 Summary of Quantitative Phase

CHAPTER 5: QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

5.1 Overview of Participants

5.2 Thematic Map and Themes

5.3 Core Theme: Performative Entrapment

5.4 Sub-themes

5.4.1 The Precipice: “If I fail this, I am nothing.”

5.4.2 Invisible Scars: Somatic and Emotional Erosion

5.4.3 Seeking an Exit: Help-Seeking Barriers and Turning Points

5.4.4 Reclamation: Broadening the Self and Finding Agency

5.5 Summary

CHAPTER 6: DELPHI EXPERT CONSENSUS

6.1 Panel Composition and Recruitment

6.2 Procedure of the Modified Delphi

6.3 Round 1: Content Analysis and Item Generation

6.4 Rounds 2 and 3: Consensus Outcomes

6.5 Final Multi-Tiered Intervention Components

6.6 Summary of Delphi Consensus

CHAPTER 7: INTEGRATED DISCUSSION

7.1 Integration Through a Joint Display

7.2 Interpretation Through the Theoretical Framework

7.2.1 Ecological Systems Lens

7.2.2 Diathesis-Stress Model

7.2.3 Self-Determination Theory

7.3 The Central Role of Identity Breadth and Performative Entrapment

7.4 Points of Convergence Across Phases

7.4.1 Detailed Hypothesis-by-Hypothesis Evaluation

7.4.2 Comparison with Prior Research

7.4.3 The Informal: Formal Tension in Depth

7.5 Points of Divergence and Tension

7.6 Implications for Multi-Tiered Intervention

7.7 Conclusion of Integrated Discussion

CHAPTER 8: THE ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE AND IDENTITY DECENTERING (ERID) FRAMEWORK

8.1 Rationale and Philosophical Underpinnings

8.2 The Two Pillars: Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering

8.3 Tier 1: Universal Prevention, Stress Literacy, and Identity Broadening

8.4 Tier 2: Targeted Group Interventions, Self-Compassion, and CBT Skills

8.5 Tier 3: Indicated Crisis Response

8.6 Tier 4: Systemic Advocacy

8.7 Implementation Guidelines and Cultural Adaptation

8.8 Measurement and Evaluation Indicators

8.9 Summary

CHAPTER 9: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Summary of Contributions

9.1.1 Contributions to Theory

9.1.2 Contributions to Measurement

9.1.3 Contributions to Practice

9.2 Recommendations

9.2.1 Recommendations for Schools

9.2.2 Recommendations for Clinicians

9.2.3 Recommendations for Parents

9.2.4 Recommendations for Policymakers

9.3 Strengths and Limitations

9.4 Future Research Directions

9.5 Concluding Reflection

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Adolescence is a critical developmental period marked by substantial biological, cognitive, and psychosocial change. The interaction of pubertal maturation, heightened social sensitivity, and identity development makes adolescents highly adaptable, yet particularly vulnerable to environmental stressors (Blakemore & Mills, 2014). Among the most influential stressors in contemporary industrialized and post-industrial societies is academic pressure: a constellation of expectations, high-stakes assessments, competitive selection processes, and familial aspirations that increasingly shapes the secondary school experience.

Educational systems worldwide have undergone a performative shift in which standardized testing, performance rankings, and college admission criteria increasingly define both institutional success and individual value (Ball, 2012). International benchmarking initiatives such as the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), together with comparable national assessment systems, have intensified a culture of continuous evaluation. In East Asian contexts, including China, South Korea, and Japan, the phenomenon often described as "education fever" has historical roots in Confucian meritocracy, yet it has been intensified by neoliberal economic pressures, producing significant mental health consequences (Koo & Park, 2020). Western nations, including the United States and the United Kingdom, have experienced analogous trends: the pervasive "college-for-all" ethos, concerns about grade inflation, and social media-facilitated comparison have contributed to what researchers describe as an "academic rat race" beginning as early as middle school (Galloway et al., 2013).

Epidemiological data reveal a troubling pattern. The World Health Organization's (2021) global survey of school-aged children indicates that 37% of 15-year-olds in high-income countries report feeling "overwhelmed by schoolwork," a figure that has increased steadily over the past two decades. A recent meta-analysis by Liu et al. (2023) identified a pooled prevalence rate of 25% for clinically significant anxiety among secondary school students directly associated with academic stress, with rates exceeding 40% in highly competitive regions. Alarmingly, child and adolescent suicide rates show seasonal increases that coincide with examination periods in several countries (Chen et al., 2022). In India, the National Crime Records Bureau documented more than 13,000 student suicides in 2022, with "examination failure" explicitly identified as a primary cause in approximately 8% of cases a figure many scholars consider underreported due to social stigma. These statistics position academic pressure not merely as a motivational force, but as a public health concern requiring systematic investigation and evidence-informed intervention.

Nevertheless, the academic literature on this topic remains fragmented. Existing studies often focus on individual symptom domains, such as test anxiety, or on discrete interventions, such as mindfulness training, without synthesizing the full spectrum of crisis manifestations from subclinical distress to acute suicidality within a cohesive theoretical framework. Moreover, the subjective lived experiences of adolescents at risk of psychological breakdown remain insufficiently explored. Although quantitative prevalence estimates are essential, they do not fully capture the phenomenological nuance of "performative entrapment," in which a young person perceives the entirety of the self as reducible to a grade, ranking, or admission outcome. This dissertation seeks to address this critical gap.

1.1.1 The Global Epidemiology of Academic Pressure Crises

The transition from episodic examination-related stress to chronic, systemic academic pressure represents a global phenomenon with significant public health implications. According to the World Health Organization's (2021) Health Behaviour in School-aged Children survey, which encompasses 45 countries across Europe and North America, 37% of 15-year-olds reported feeling "overwhelmed by schoolwork," a figure that has increased consistently since the early 2000s. In East Asia, this intensity is especially pronounced. A nationally representative survey of South Korean adolescents found that 51.5% experienced severe academic stress, with 28% displaying clinically significant depressive symptoms during the college entrance examination period (Koo & Park, 2020). In China, a meta-analysis by Hesketh et al. (2017) documented a pooled prevalence rate of 26.5% for depressive symptoms among senior secondary students, identifying examination stress as the strongest correlate. In India, the National Crime Records Bureau (2022) reported that student suicides had increased by 27% over five years, with "examination failure" explicitly mentioned in approximately 8% of cases, a figure mental health professionals consider likely to be underreported because of familial and societal stigma surrounding suicide.

Western nations, despite having less overtly high-stakes examination systems, are similarly affected. In the United States, the National Survey of Children's Health (2019) indicated that 15.1% of adolescents aged 12–17 had experienced a major depressive episode in the preceding year, with school-related stress identified as a primary contributing factor in qualitative follow-up studies (Galloway et al., 2013). The Pew Research Center (2019) found that 61% of American teenagers regarded "getting good grades" as a major source of pressure, exceeding concerns related to social media and physical appearance. In the United Kingdom, the Children's Society's (2020) Good Childhood Report documented that 12% of 10–17-year-olds expressed dissatisfaction with their school lives, a proportion that has increased alongside the intensification of GCSE and A-level examination reforms. Even Finland, often regarded as a global model of educational well-being,

has reported a gradual rise in school-related burnout among secondary students, suggesting that comparatively low-pressure systems are not immune to the broader cultural intensification of achievement (Salmela-Aro et al., 2017).

These epidemiological trends are not merely abstract statistics; they represent substantial public health burdens and, in many cases, individual tragedies. In a comprehensive meta-analysis of 67 studies from 23 countries, Liu et al. (2023) found that academic stress was associated with 2.4 times greater odds of adolescent depression and 2.1 times greater odds of anxiety disorders effect sizes comparable to those observed for childhood maltreatment and parental psychopathology. Academic pressure should therefore be understood as one of the most significant environmental risk factors for adolescent psychopathology, despite remaining comparatively under-addressed in policy and intervention research.

1.1.2 The Sociological Underpinnings: Credential Inflation and the Performative Turn

To understand why academic pressure has intensified, it is necessary to examine the wider social forces that shape educational systems. One important factor is credential inflation: as access to higher education expands, the value of any single qualification declines, and students are pushed to pursue increasingly advanced credentials to remain competitive (Baker, 2014). In knowledge-based economies, education has become a primary mechanism for social mobility and social sorting. As a result, academic performance carries consequences that extend far beyond the classroom. Ball (2012), drawing on Foucault and Lyotard, describes this development as a "performative turn" in education, in which schools and students are continuously measured, ranked, and regulated through performance indicators. Standardized tests, school rankings, and university admission metrics therefore do more than assess learning; they also shape how young people understand their value and future possibilities.

Adolescents are especially vulnerable to this performance logic because they are still developing their identities and sense of self-worth. Bourdieu's concept of symbolic violence (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1990) is useful here: educational systems often present their standards as neutral and meritocratic, even when those standards reflect unequal and highly competitive social structures. When adolescents do not meet these expectations, they may interpret the outcome as evidence of personal failure, rather than as a consequence of an unrealistic or overly competitive system. This internalization provides the sociological foundation for the psychological construct of performative entrapment examined in this dissertation. By connecting large-scale social pressures with individual psychological processes, the study aims to develop an intervention framework that is both clinically useful and structurally aware.

1.1.3 The Moral Imperative for Prevention

Beyond the epidemiological and sociological evidence, there is also a moral imperative for prevention. The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC, 1989), ratified by 196 countries, affirms children's right to the highest attainable standard of health (Article 24) and their right to an education that develops their personality, talents, and mental and physical abilities to their fullest potential (Article 29). When educational environments contribute to widespread psychological harm, these rights are placed at risk. The World Health Organization's Mental Health Action Plan (2013) calls for mental health promotion to be integrated into educational institutions, yet implementation remains uneven. This dissertation is therefore grounded in a rights-based preventive perspective. It assumes that the central question is not whether schools should address student mental health, but how they can do so effectively, ethically, and sustainably.

1.2 Problem Statement

Academic pressure is a persistent stressor in contemporary adolescence. Moderate academic challenge can support learning, mastery, and resilience; however, excessive pressure can overwhelm adolescents' coping resources. High-stakes testing, intense parental expectations, competitive school cultures, and a reduced tolerance for failure can combine to create conditions in which academic demands contribute to anxiety, depression, somatic distress, self-harm, and, in severe cases, suicidal ideation. The problem addressed in this dissertation has three main dimensions.

First, the field lacks a comprehensive and empirically grounded taxonomy of crisis symptoms specifically associated with academic pressure. Without such a taxonomy, it is difficult to distinguish temporary academic distress from clinically significant conditions requiring immediate support. Second, existing research has not sufficiently examined how adolescents themselves make sense of academic breakdowns, including their internal dialogues, coping strategies, barriers to help-seeking, and turning points toward recovery. Third, although many school-based mental health programs exist, they are often developed without fully integrating adolescent perspectives, crisis response procedures, and cross-cultural considerations. As a result, prevention and intervention efforts remain fragmented.

Consequently, a gap remains between the scale of adolescent distress linked to academic pressure and the availability of clear, ecologically valid, and culturally adaptable intervention frameworks. This dissertation addresses that gap by examining the prevalence, nature, and lived experience of academic pressure-related crises among adolescents and by developing intervention strategies informed by quantitative evidence, qualitative insight, and expert consensus.

1.3 Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this mixed-methods study is to clarify the range of crisis symptoms associated with academic pressure, examine adolescents' lived experiences during such crises, and develop a consensus-based multi-tiered intervention framework for school and related clinical settings. The study is organized into three connected phases: a quantitative survey to estimate prevalence and identify risk and protective factors; a qualitative phenomenological inquiry to explore adolescents' experiences of academic breakdown; and a Delphi expert consensus process to refine intervention priorities. Together, these phases inform the development of the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering (ERID) framework, which is intended to guide educators, clinicians, families, and policymakers in preventing and responding to academic pressure-related crises.

1.4 Research Questions and Hypotheses

Primary Research Question:

What are the prevalence rates, experiential characteristics, and contextual determinants of psychological crisis symptoms among adolescents experiencing high academic pressure, and which multi-tiered intervention strategies are most acceptable, feasible, and likely to be effective according to empirical evidence and expert consensus?

Sub-Questions:

Quantitative Phase:

RQ1a: What is the prevalence of clinically significant anxiety, depression, somatic symptoms, and suicidal ideation among secondary school students experiencing high academic pressure?

RQ1b: Which demographic, academic, and psychosocial factors predict membership in the crisis symptom group?

RQ1c: Which protective factors, including mindfulness, non-academic identity breadth, and teacher relatedness, moderate the relationship between academic pressure and crisis symptoms?

Hypothesis 1: Academic pressure will be positively associated with internalizing symptoms, including anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation, even after controlling for general life stressors.

Hypothesis 2: Maladaptive perfectionism will partially mediate the relationship between academic pressure and crisis symptoms, while parental conditional regard will strengthen this relationship.

Hypothesis 3: Teacher autonomy support and a broader non-academic sense of self will weaken the relationship between academic pressure and crisis symptoms. The most severe symptoms are expected among students who report high academic pressure, low autonomy support, and limited non-academic identity breadth.

Qualitative Phase:

- RQ2a: How do adolescents who have undergone an academic-related psychological crisis characterize the progression from pressure to crisis, encompassing cognitive, affective, and somatic experiences?
- RQ2b: What perceived barriers exist to seeking assistance, and what turning points or resources facilitated recovery or stabilization?
- RQ2c: In what manner do adolescents conceptualize the relationship between their academic self and their broader identity?

Delphi Phase:

- RQ3: What essential components, delivery methods, and contextual adaptations should be included in a multi-tiered school-based intervention to address academic pressure crises, as agreed upon by an international panel of experts?

1.5 Significance of the Study

This dissertation makes theoretical, empirical, and practical contributions. Theoretically, it advances an integrated ecological-clinical model linking structural forces, such as education policy and cultural achievement norms, with proximal psychological mechanisms, such as perfectionism and contingent self-worth. The construct of performative entrapment, developed through the qualitative phase, contributes a concept for understanding how achievement-based educational cultures can become internalized within adolescent identity.

Empirically, the study provides a large multi-method examination of the relationship between academic pressure and adolescent crisis symptoms. It generates prevalence estimates, identifies risk and protective factors, and integrates statistical patterns with adolescents' lived experiences. The Delphi consensus process further strengthens the study by ensuring that the proposed intervention framework reflects international professional judgment as well as empirical findings. Practically, the study offers schools and mental health services a structured intervention blueprint through the ERID framework. This framework includes universal prevention, targeted group interventions, indicated crisis response, and systemic advocacy. It is designed to support cultural adaptation by distinguishing core intervention principles from context-specific delivery methods.

1.6 Theoretical Framework

This dissertation is grounded in an integrative theoretical framework that brings together Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, the Diathesis-Stress Model, and Self-Determination Theory. These perspectives are complementary: the ecological model explains where academic pressure comes from, the diathesis-stress model explains why some adolescents are especially vulnerable to it, and Self-Determination Theory explains how pressure undermines psychological needs central to well-being.

Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory (2005) conceptualizes adolescent development as occurring within nested and interacting environmental systems. It is essential to recognize that academic pressure is not merely an individual perception; it originates from the microsystem (parents, teachers, and peers who communicate expectations), the mesosystem (interactions between school and family regarding achievement), the exosystem (school district policies and standardized testing mandates), and the macrosystem (cultural values related to education, competition, and success). Additionally, the chronosystem accounts for temporal changes, such as the progressive intensification of high-stakes testing over the past two decades. This ecological perspective necessitates that interventions are designed to target multiple levels simultaneously, a principle that is embedded within the ERID framework.

The Diathesis-Stress Model explains the individual vulnerability mechanisms involved. Some adolescents possess cognitive or emotional vulnerabilities, such as socially prescribed perfectionism, intense self-criticism, or a tendency to ruminate. When these vulnerabilities are activated by academic stress, they may contribute to depression, anxiety, or suicidal crisis (Monroe & Simons, 1991). More recent work on differential susceptibility suggests that highly sensitive adolescents may be more vulnerable to harmful environments but also more responsive to

supportive ones (Belsky & Pluess, 2009). This possibility makes targeted intervention especially important.

Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 2017) argues that psychological well-being depends on the satisfaction of three basic needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. High-pressure academic environments often frustrate these needs. Autonomy is weakened when students experience controlling teaching or parental conditional regard; competence is threatened by ranking and norm-referenced grading; and relatedness suffers when peers are positioned as competitors or when approval depends on achievement. This theory therefore helps explain why academic pressure can lead not only to stress, but also to deeper motivational and emotional distress.

Together, these frameworks support the central argument of this dissertation: academic pressure-related crises emerge when adolescents with particular vulnerabilities are situated in environments that intensify achievement demands, frustrate psychological needs, and narrow identity around academic performance. Effective intervention must therefore address the individual adolescent, the immediate school and family environment, and the broader educational system.

1.7 Definition of Key Terms

Academic Pressure: This term refers to the subjective perception of environmental demands related to educational performance. These demands encompass the volume of coursework, the frequency and stakes of examinations, parental expectations, peer competition, and personal standards. Such demands may be appraised as taxing or exceeding one's available resources, and they are operationally measured by the Academic Pressure Scale for Adolescents (APSA).

Crisis Symptoms: This concept encompasses a constellation of psychological and behavioral manifestations that indicate an adolescent's customary coping mechanisms have been overwhelmed, resulting in significant functional impairment. Symptoms include clinically elevated anxiety, major depressive episodes, suicidal ideation, non-suicidal self-injury, severe somatic complaints, and academic burnout.

Performative Entrapment: This proposed construct captures a phenomenological state in which an adolescent's sense of self-worth, perceived future viability, and existential meaning become contingent upon academic performance metrics. In this context, perceived or actual failure is interpreted as profound personal annihilation. This construct emerges from the qualitative data and is further elaborated in Chapter 5.

Multi-Tiered Intervention: This public health framework organizes supports into four levels: Tier 1 universal prevention for all students; Tier 2 targeted early intervention for at-risk students; Tier 3 indicated intensive intervention for students in active crisis; and Tier 4 systemic policy advocacy. This framework is refined through the Delphi process.

Identity Breadth: This term refers to the extent to which an adolescent defines the self across multiple domains, such as academic, social, athletic, artistic, familial, spiritual, and vocational domains, rather than investing self-worth primarily in a single domain. This concept is adapted from Linville's (1987) self-complexity theory.

1.8 Delimitations and Limitations

Delimitations: This study focuses on adolescents aged 14-18 who are enrolled in secondary schools within the national context described in Chapter 3. It does not include out-of-school youth

or students younger than 14. The study examines academic pressure as the primary stressor, while acknowledging that other stressors may coexist. Crisis symptoms related to psychosis or substance-induced disorders are not treated as primary outcomes. The proposed intervention framework is limited to school-based and school-linked clinical services and does not include comprehensive inpatient psychiatric protocols.

Anticipated Limitations: The cross-sectional quantitative phase limits causal inference; longitudinal research is needed to clarify directionality over time. Self-report measures may be affected by social desirability and shared method bias, although this is partly addressed through supplementary parent reports for a subsample. Retrospective interview accounts may also be influenced by memory and current mood. Finally, although the Delphi panel is international, it may still overrepresent Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic perspectives. Future multi-site studies are needed to test the generalizability and implementation of the proposed framework.

1.9 Organization of the Dissertation

Following this introductory chapter, Chapter 2 reviews the interdisciplinary literature on academic pressure, adolescent vulnerability, crisis symptoms, theoretical models, protective factors, intervention frameworks, and cross-cultural considerations. Chapter 3 describes the three-phase mixed-methods design. Chapters 4, 5, and 6 present the quantitative findings, qualitative findings, and Delphi expert consensus, respectively. Chapter 7 integrates the findings across phases. Chapter 8 introduces the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering framework. Chapter 9 concludes the dissertation by summarizing contributions, recommendations, limitations, and directions for future research.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Construct of Academic Pressure in Adolescence

This chapter critically reviews the interdisciplinary literature relevant to crisis symptoms and intervention strategies among adolescents experiencing academic pressure. It first defines academic pressure and traces the development of the construct. It then examines adolescent developmental vulnerabilities that heighten sensitivity to academic stress, followed by a review of crisis symptoms including anxiety, depression, suicidal ideation, somatic distress, burnout, and behavioral externalization. The chapter subsequently evaluates major etiological models linking academic pressure to psychological crisis, analyzes protective factors and resilience mechanisms, reviews school-based intervention frameworks, considers cross-cultural dimensions of academic stress, and identifies gaps in the existing literature. The chapter concludes by positioning the present dissertation within these gaps.

Academic pressure is a complex and multidimensional construct that cannot be reduced to workload alone. It refers to an adolescent's subjective perception of educational demands, including coursework volume, examination frequency and stakes, parental expectations, teacher expectations, peer competition, and personal standards, as taxing or exceeding available coping resources (Ang & Huan, 2006; Liu & Lu, 2011). This definition is grounded in the transactional model of stress (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984), which distinguishes objective stressors from the individual's appraisal of those stressors. Accordingly, the psychological impact of academic pressure depends not only on the presence of high-stakes tests or heavy homework, but also on whether the adolescent interprets these demands as threatening, uncontrollable, or identity-defining. Two students may therefore encounter similar academic demands yet experience

markedly different levels of distress because of differences in perceived coping capacity and threat appraisal.

The historical development of the construct is important for understanding its contemporary breadth. Early research focused primarily on test anxiety, particularly the work of Spielberger (1966) and later Zeidner (1998), who conceptualized test anxiety as comprising cognitive, affective, behavioral, and motivational components. Although test anxiety was initially treated as a situation-specific trait, later research demonstrated its frequent comorbidity with generalized anxiety and depression (Hembree, 1988; von der Embse et al., 2018). As educational systems have become more competitive, the construct of academic pressure has expanded beyond discrete test situations. Lee and Larson's (2000) study of South Korean adolescents, for example, introduced the notion of "examination hell" to describe chronic and pervasive academic stress. Ang and Huan (2006) further broadened the field by developing the Academic Expectations Stress Inventory, which operationalized academic pressure as a combination of parental, teacher, and self-imposed expectations. More recently, Liu et al. (2023) demonstrated through meta-analysis that academic stress is a consistent predictor of adolescent internalizing symptoms across cultural contexts.

Contemporary academic pressure must also be situated within broader macrosystemic forces. Baker (2014) describes the emergence of the "schooled society," in which educational attainment becomes a primary mechanism of social stratification in knowledge economies. Credential inflation intensifies competition by transforming qualifications that once signified elite status into basic requirements for social and economic security. Ball (2012) similarly identifies a culture of performativity in education, where test scores, league tables, institutional rankings, and admission metrics function as governance tools that discipline both institutions and individuals. Although international benchmarking initiatives such as PISA provide valuable comparative data, they may also contribute to a global culture of continuous measurement and ranking. These structural forces

are not experienced by adolescents as abstract policy trends; rather, they are internalized as a persistent imperative to perform, improve, and avoid failure.

Parental expectations constitute a particularly influential microsystemic source of academic pressure. Although parents across cultures often communicate the value of achievement, the manner in which these expectations are expressed is crucial. Conditional regard, defined as the provision or withdrawal of affection, approval, or attention based on academic performance has been identified as a harmful form of parental pressure (Assor et al., 2004). From the perspective of Self-Determination Theory, conditional regard undermines the adolescent's need for relatedness by teaching the child that acceptance depends on performance (Ryan & Deci, 2017). Parental pressure may also interact with perfectionism: adolescents with high personal standards are likely to be especially vulnerable to messages implying that love, respect, or family pride is contingent upon academic success (Frost et al., 1990).

Academic pressure is therefore best understood as a transactional and ecological phenomenon. It emerges from the interaction of macrosystemic credential competition, mesosystemic school-family expectations, microsystemic adult and peer pressures, and individual cognitive vulnerabilities. This ecological complexity is central to the present dissertation because it indicates that effective intervention must occur at multiple levels rather than focusing solely on individual coping skills.

2.1.1 Academic Pressure, Identity Formation, and Conditional Self-Worth

A central limitation in much of the academic stress literature is that academic pressure is frequently treated as a performance or workload issue rather than as an identity issue. During adolescence, school achievement is not merely one activity among many; it often becomes a major symbolic

marker of competence, future possibility, family honor, and social recognition. In high-pressure educational systems, grades and rankings can become embedded within the adolescent's emerging self-concept. This process is particularly consequential because adolescence is the developmental period during which individuals begin to integrate past experiences, present evaluations, and future aspirations into a coherent identity narrative.

Identity development theories provide an important lens for understanding why academic pressure can become psychologically destabilizing. Erikson's psychosocial theory positions adolescence as a period of identity versus role confusion, during which young people attempt to integrate personal abilities, social expectations, and future possibilities into a coherent sense of self (Erikson, 1968). Marcia's identity status model further suggests that adolescents move through processes of exploration and commitment as they negotiate educational, relational, and vocational roles. When academic performance becomes the dominant basis for recognition, exploration may become constrained, and adolescents may prematurely commit to an achievement-based identity that leaves little room for uncertainty, experimentation, or failure.

Conditional self-worth is especially relevant in this context. Crocker and Wolfe's theory of contingencies of self-worth proposes that individuals become vulnerable when self-esteem depends heavily on success in a specific domain. In academic contexts, students whose self-worth is contingent upon grades, rankings, or examination outcomes may experience ordinary setbacks as threats to personal value rather than as opportunities for learning. This mechanism helps explain why academic pressure may produce severe emotional reactions even when objective failure is limited. A student who receives a lower-than-expected grade may not merely think, "I performed poorly," but may instead conclude, "I am inadequate," "I have disappointed everyone," or "my future is ruined."

The literature on achievement motivation similarly distinguishes between mastery-oriented and performance-oriented goals. Mastery goals emphasize learning, improvement, and personal development, whereas performance goals emphasize outperforming others and demonstrating superiority. In competitive educational systems, performance-avoidance goals may become especially salient: adolescents' study not primarily to learn but to avoid shame, parental disappointment, peer comparison, or future exclusion. This shift from learning orientation to evaluative self-protection is clinically important because performance-avoidance goals are associated with anxiety, avoidance, procrastination, and reduced intrinsic motivation. When such goals dominate over time, academic engagement may become driven by fear rather than curiosity.

Family and school environments can intensify this process when achievement is communicated as a condition for approval. Parental conditional regard, competitive classroom climates, public ranking systems, and repeated comparison with peers may signal to adolescents that academic success is not only desirable but necessary for love, belonging, and future legitimacy. Such messages may be explicit, as when parents praise affectionately only after high grades, or implicit, as when teachers highlight top performers while treating struggling students as problems to be corrected. Over time, the adolescent may internalize a narrow equation between achievement and worth. This internalization provides a psychological bridge between academic pressure and the later qualitative construct of performative entrapment.

From a developmental perspective, the narrowing of identity around academic performance is particularly concerning because it reduces the availability of alternative sources of meaning. Adolescents with broad identity structures can draw on friendship, family roles, artistic expression, sport, spirituality, community participation, or vocational interests when one domain becomes stressful. By contrast, adolescents whose identities are concentrated almost exclusively in

academic success have fewer psychological buffers. If academic performance deteriorates, the entire self-system may feel threatened. This pattern is consistent with Linville's self-complexity theory, which suggests that differentiated self-aspects can protect emotional well-being by preventing failure in one domain from spreading across the whole self-concept.

Consequently, academic pressure should be understood not only as an external demand but also as a process of identity organization. The more adolescents come to define themselves through grades and future educational credentials, the more academic setbacks become existentially charged. This perspective strengthens the rationale for examining non-academic identity breadth as a protective factor and for developing interventions that explicitly support identity decentering. Rather than simply teaching students to manage stress more efficiently, effective prevention must help adolescents preserve a multidimensional self that can withstand academic disappointment without collapsing into shame, hopelessness, or crisis.

2.1.2 Adolescent Identity Development and Psychosocial Functioning

Adolescent identity development has long been regarded as a central developmental task because it provides young people with a sense of continuity, direction, and personal coherence. Erikson's formulation of identity versus role confusion positioned adolescence as a period in which earlier childhood identifications are reorganized into a more stable sense of self. Contemporary reviews continue to emphasize that identity development is rooted in Erikson's work and in Marcia's identity status paradigm, particularly the processes of exploration and commitment that have shaped identity research for more than six decades.

Marcia's model is especially relevant to academic pressure because it distinguishes between adolescents who actively explore possible identities and those who adopt commitments without adequate exploration. Identity achievement involves both exploration and commitment, whereas

foreclosure involves strong commitment without sufficient exploration. In highly competitive educational environments, foreclosure may occur when adolescents internalize an achievement pathway defined by parents, schools, or cultural expectations before they have had the opportunity to explore alternative interests, values, or vocational possibilities. Such premature commitment can create a rigid academic identity that appears stable but may become psychologically fragile when achievement is threatened.

More recent process-oriented and dual-cycle models of identity development extend Marcia's framework by emphasizing that identity is not formed once and for all. Rather, adolescents continually form commitments, explore them in depth, and reconsider them in response to new experiences. Crocetti's work on commitment, in-depth exploration, and reconsideration of commitment is particularly useful for the present study because it highlights the dynamic character of adolescent identity formation. Academic pressure may disrupt this healthy identity cycle when students feel unable to reconsider academic commitments without risking parental disappointment, teacher disapproval, or loss of future opportunity. In such cases, academic identity becomes less a flexible commitment and more a compulsory performance role.

The relationship between identity development and psychosocial functioning is also well established. Adolescents with clearer and more coherent identities generally report higher well-being, greater self-esteem, better emotion regulation, and stronger goal direction, whereas identity confusion is associated with anxiety, depressive symptoms, and maladaptive coping. Identity provides a structure for organizing self-knowledge, interpreting feedback, and making decisions about future goals. When this structure is overly narrow or unstable, adolescents may have difficulty interpreting academic setbacks in proportionate ways. A disappointing grade may therefore become evidence not simply of poor performance but of global personal inadequacy.

Educational contexts play a formative role in this process because schools provide many of the social mirrors through which adolescents come to understand themselves. Teacher feedback, class ranking, peer comparison, subject streaming, and recognition systems communicate which forms of identity are valued. When schools recognize only measurable academic performance, they may unintentionally restrict identity exploration. Conversely, environments that value artistic, athletic, civic, relational, cultural, and vocational strengths can support identity breadth by offering multiple legitimate pathways for competence and belonging. The implication is that identity development is not merely an individual psychological process but also an institutional and cultural process shaped by the affordances available within schools.

For adolescents experiencing academic pressure, the health of identity development may depend on whether they can hold academic achievement as one valued domain among several, rather than as the total basis of self-definition. This distinction is central to the present dissertation. A well-integrated academic identity can support motivation, persistence, and future planning, but an overused academic identity can magnify distress when performance falls short. The later construct of performative entrapment therefore represents a pathological narrowing of identity development, in which exploration diminishes, commitment becomes externally controlled, and the adolescent's self-concept becomes contingent upon performance metrics.

By situating academic pressure within identity-development theory, the present study strengthens its rationale for examining non-academic identity breadth as a protective factor. If identity development is supported by exploration, flexible commitment, and recognition across multiple domains, then school-based interventions should create structured opportunities for adolescents to explore non-academic roles and values. Such opportunities are not peripheral to mental health; they are developmentally protective because they help adolescents maintain continuity and coherence even when academic outcomes are disappointing.

2.1.3 Family Expectations, Conditional Regard, and Academic Pressure

Family expectations represent one of the most powerful microsystemic sources of academic pressure. Parents often communicate academic expectations with protective intentions, particularly when education is viewed as a pathway to social mobility, economic security, and family honor. However, the psychological impact of these expectations depends on how they are expressed, interpreted, and internalized. Supportive parental involvement can promote motivation, persistence, and educational engagement, whereas controlling pressure and conditional approval can intensify fear of failure and undermine psychological well-being.

Parental conditional regard is particularly important in the academic pressure literature. Conditional regard occurs when parents provide affection, pride, warmth, or attention in response to desirable performance and withdraw these forms of approval when the child fails to meet expectations. Within Self-Determination Theory, such practices are understood as controlling because they pressure the child to behave in ways that secure love or approval rather than in ways that reflect autonomous values. Although conditional regard may produce short-term compliance or achievement striving, it can also foster shame, anxiety, resentment, and fragile self-esteem. Adolescents may come to believe that they are worthy of care only when they perform well.

Assor and colleagues' work on parental conditional regard is especially useful because it distinguishes between parental expectations that support development and parental strategies that make affection contingent on performance. Conditional positive regard occurs when parents increase warmth, approval, or praise only after desirable academic outcomes, whereas conditional negative regard occurs when parents withdraw affection, attention, or respect following perceived failure. Both forms can be psychologically controlling because they teach the adolescent to regulate behavior in order to preserve attachment security rather than to pursue personally

endorsed goals. This distinction is important because conditional positive regard may appear supportive on the surface; however, if praise communicates that love is earned through achievement, it can still intensify controlled motivation and fragile self-worth.

The family meaning attached to academic success varies across cultural and socioeconomic contexts. In many families, education is not perceived merely as personal advancement but as a collective project involving sacrifice, aspiration, and intergenerational hope. Parents who have experienced economic insecurity or limited educational opportunity may place considerable emphasis on academic achievement because they view it as protection against future vulnerability. In immigrant and minority families, academic success may also carry symbolic significance as evidence that family migration, hardship, or social struggle has meaning. These contextual realities should be understood with sensitivity. The problem is not that families value education, but that academic achievement can become fused with moral worth, family loyalty, or relational acceptance.

When adolescents perceive that family pride depends on academic success, academic setbacks may be experienced as relational failures. A disappointing grade may be interpreted not only as evidence of personal inadequacy but also as a betrayal of parental sacrifice or a source of family shame. This mechanism is especially relevant in contexts where children are expected to repay parents through achievement, respectability, or future economic contribution. Under such conditions, academic pressure becomes emotionally amplified because failure threatens both personal identity and attachment security. The adolescent may fear losing parental approval precisely at the developmental stage when secure relatedness remains essential for emotional regulation.

Family expectations may also interact with perfectionism. Adolescents who already possess high personal standards may internalize parental expectations in rigid and self-critical ways. Socially

prescribed perfectionism, which involves the belief that others demand perfection, is particularly relevant because it transforms external expectations into internal pressure. When parental messages emphasize rankings, elite university admission, or avoidance of failure, adolescents may conclude that mistakes are unacceptable and that affection is contingent upon flawless performance. This creates a pathway from family expectation to maladaptive perfectionism, burnout, depressive symptoms, and crisis vulnerability.

At the same time, families can serve as critical protective systems. Parental warmth, open communication, autonomy support, and unconditional positive regard can buffer adolescents against the effects of academic pressure. Parents who validate effort without equating achievement with worth help adolescents develop a more secure and flexible self-concept. Autonomy-supportive parents acknowledge the adolescent's perspective, provide meaningful rationales, allow appropriate choice, and communicate confidence without coercion. Such parenting can preserve relatedness while reducing controlled motivation. In this sense, the family can either intensify performative entrapment or help prevent it.

The literature therefore suggests that interventions addressing academic pressure should include families rather than focusing exclusively on students or schools. Parent education should not simply instruct parents to "lower expectations," because this framing may be culturally insensitive and may overlook legitimate aspirations for children's futures. Instead, interventions should help parents distinguish high expectations from conditional regard, achievement support from achievement control, and encouragement from pressure. Families can be guided to communicate unconditional acceptance, normalize academic setbacks, and actively support non-academic domains of identity. These practices align with the ERID framework by positioning parents as partners in ecological resilience and identity decentering.

Concrete parent psychoeducation may include several practical components. One module can teach parents to distinguish supportive expectations from conditional approval through paired examples. For instance, “I know this result is disappointing, but my love for you does not change; let us think together about what support you need next” communicates high concern without making affection conditional. By contrast, statements such as “After all we have done for you, how could you get this grade?” may unintentionally transform academic disappointment into shame and relational threat. A second module can help parents identify common pressure phrases, such as “You must be first,” “Do not embarrass the family,” or “Your future is over if you fail,” and reframe them into autonomy-supportive messages that emphasize effort, strategy, learning, and well-being.

Parent psychoeducation can also include guided reflection exercises. Parents may be invited to examine their own educational histories, sacrifices, fears, and hopes, and to consider how these experiences shape the expectations they communicate to their children. In culturally diverse communities, this reflection should be handled with respect rather than blame. Parents can be encouraged to name legitimate aspirations, such as security, dignity, and opportunity, while also recognizing that shame-based motivation may damage adolescents’ mental health. Role-play activities can then help parents practice responding to academic setbacks with validation before problem solving. For example, parents may rehearse first saying, “I can see you are upset and afraid,” before discussing study planning, tutoring, or communication with teachers.

A further psychoeducational strategy involves helping families create a “whole-child recognition plan.” In this exercise, parents identify and intentionally acknowledge non-academic strengths, including kindness, creativity, perseverance, humor, responsibility, cultural contribution, spiritual commitment, friendship, and practical skills. This strategy directly supports identity breadth by ensuring that adolescents receive recognition across multiple domains rather than only after high academic performance. Schools may deliver these modules through parent evenings, short video

resources, multilingual handouts, family discussion prompts, and school-counselor consultation sessions. Such strategies are consistent with the ERID framework because they position families as active partners in reducing conditional regard and strengthening ecological resilience.

Additional family-based interventions may include structured family goal-setting meetings in which adolescents and parents jointly define academic goals, well-being goals, and identity-broadening goals for each term. For example, a family plan may include one academic target, one rest or sleep target, one relationship target, and one non-academic identity target, such as maintaining participation in music, sport, volunteering, or cultural activities. Family sessions may also use values clarification exercises to help parents and adolescents distinguish between long-term values, such as learning, contribution, integrity, and health, and short-term performance indicators, such as grades or rankings. Another strategy is the development of a family setback plan, in which parents and adolescents agree in advance on how they will respond to disappointing results. Such plans may include a cooling-off period, an emotionally validating conversation, collaborative problem solving, and a specific reminder that the adolescent's worth and family belonging are not conditional on the result. In school-linked practice, counselors can facilitate parent-adolescent conferences that review academic demands, signs of distress, communication patterns, and support options. These approaches move family involvement beyond information-giving toward relational change, making the family environment a direct site of prevention and recovery.

Parent-adolescent communication is a particularly important mechanism through which family expectations are either softened or intensified. Communication that begins with evaluation, criticism, or prediction of negative consequences may increase defensiveness and shame, especially when adolescents already feel vulnerable after academic disappointment. By contrast,

autonomy-supportive communication typically begins with emotional validation, followed by collaborative inquiry and practical planning. For example, a parent might first acknowledge, “I can see that this result is painful for you,” before asking, “What do you think made this week difficult, and what kind of support would help?” This sequence communicates relatedness before correction and allows the adolescent to remain an active participant in problem solving. In family-based interventions, parents can be taught to use open-ended questions, reflective listening, non-shaming feedback, and shared decision-making. These skills are especially relevant in high-pressure educational contexts because they help preserve the parent-child relationship as a secure base rather than transforming it into another site of performance evaluation. When adolescents experience parents as emotionally available and non-punitive, they may be more willing to disclose distress early, seek help before crisis escalation, and maintain a broader sense of self beyond academic outcomes.

2.2 Adolescent Developmental Vulnerabilities

Adolescence is a developmental period marked by substantial neurobiological, cognitive, and psychosocial change, making it a sensitive period for the effects of environmental stressors. Blakemore and Mills (2014) describe an imbalance between the earlier maturation of limbic systems associated with emotional reactivity and reward sensitivity and the slower maturation of prefrontal systems responsible for cognitive control and emotion regulation. This developmental pattern contributes to heightened emotional intensity during a period when regulatory capacities are still consolidating. Academic pressure, particularly when framed as evaluative threat or social comparison, may therefore activate strong emotional responses while adolescents are still developing the capacities needed to regulate them.

Adolescence is also characterized by heightened sensitivity to peer evaluation and social belonging (Nelson et al., 2016). In academic environments, grades, rankings, and school placements often

become visible markers of social status. A poor grade may therefore be experienced not only as an academic setback but also as a social-evaluative threat. Research on social pain suggests that experiences of exclusion or perceived social devaluation engage neural systems associated with distress (Eisenberger, 2012). Within highly competitive school cultures, academic failure can thus be interpreted by adolescents as a threat to belonging, reputation, and future social acceptance.

From a psychosocial perspective, adolescence is a central period for identity formation, corresponding to Erikson's (1968) stage of identity versus role confusion. During this period, adolescents explore and commit to a coherent sense of self. When academic achievement dominates the adolescent's environment and self-evaluation, identity may become narrow and fragile. Elkind's (1967) concepts of the imaginary audience and personal fable help explain why academic setbacks may be amplified during adolescence. Adolescents may feel that their performance is constantly observed by others and may interpret failure as uniquely devastating to their anticipated future. In such contexts, even a moderate academic setback can be experienced as a significant threat to self-concept.

These developmental dynamics suggest that academic stress during adolescence is qualitatively distinct from academic stress at other life stages. It directly intersects with ongoing processes of identity formation, social belonging, emotional regulation, and future orientation. This developmental vulnerability helps explain why academic pressure may escalate into severe psychological crisis, particularly when adolescents perceive academic failure as evidence of personal inadequacy or future collapse.

2.3 The Spectrum of Crisis Symptoms

In this dissertation, crisis symptoms refer to psychological, somatic, and behavioral manifestations indicating that an adolescent's usual coping resources have been overwhelmed and that functioning

has become significantly impaired. The literature links academic pressure to a spectrum of symptoms, ranging from anxiety and depression to suicidal ideation, somatic distress, burnout, and behavioral externalization. The following subsections review these symptom domains in relation to academic pressure.

2.3.1 Anxiety Disorders and Test Anxiety

Test anxiety is the most extensively studied psychological symptom associated with academic pressure. Zeidner's (1998) integrative model identifies cognitive, affective, behavioral, and motivational dimensions of test anxiety, including worry, physiological arousal, avoidance, and reduced task engagement. A meta-analysis by von der Embse et al. (2018) documented consistent negative associations between test anxiety and academic performance, as well as moderate-to-large positive associations with generalized anxiety. Importantly, test anxiety is not always confined to examination periods. In educational contexts characterized by continuous assessment and repeated mock examinations, test anxiety may become chronic, contributing to persistent anxious apprehension and school avoidance.

2.3.2 Major Depressive Episodes

Academic pressure is a significant predictor of adolescent depression. Liu et al. (2023) reported a pooled prevalence of 25% for clinically significant depression among students experiencing high academic stress. Beck's (1976) cognitive model of depression provides a useful mechanism for understanding this association: when high standards are combined with perceived failure, adolescents may activate schemas of worthlessness, hopelessness, and personal inadequacy. When young people perceive their future as contingent on academic performance, a disappointing result may elicit not only sadness but also a pervasive negative evaluation of the self, the world, and the

future. Seligman's (1975) learned helplessness model offers a complementary explanation. Repeated academic setbacks, particularly when attributed to internal, stable, and global causes such as "I failed because I am incompetent," may contribute to passivity, reduced motivation, and depressive episodes.

2.3.3 Suicidal Ideation and Behavior

Suicidal ideation and behavior represent the most severe crisis manifestations associated with academic pressure. Chen et al. (2022) documented seasonal peaks in adolescent suicide rates that coincide with examination periods in several countries. Similarly, the National Crime Records Bureau (2022) reported more than 13,000 student suicides in India, with examination failure identified as a contributing factor in a subset of cases. Joiner's (2005) Interpersonal Theory of Suicide helps explain how academic failure may become psychologically dangerous. Suicidal desire may emerge when adolescents experience perceived burdensomeness, such as believing that they have failed or burdened their family, together with thwarted belongingness, such as withdrawing from peers because of shame. Academic failure can activate both conditions by intensifying perceived disappointment and social isolation. Prior self-harm may further increase risk by reducing fear of self-injury and increasing acquired capability for suicide.

2.3.4 Somatic Syndromes and Academic Burnout

Academic pressure is frequently expressed through physical symptoms. Adolescents commonly report headaches, gastrointestinal disturbances, sleep disruption, and chronic fatigue, and these complaints may lead to medical evaluations before their psychological origins are recognized (Bernstein et al., 1997). Somatic expressions of distress may be especially common in cultural contexts where psychological suffering is stigmatized or where emotional disclosure is discouraged (Choi et al., 2019).

Academic burnout, as conceptualized by Salmela-Aro et al. (2009), consists of emotional exhaustion, cynicism toward school, and reduced academic efficacy. Burnout represents a chronic deterioration that may precede acute crisis states. The relationship between perfectionism and burnout is particularly important: adolescents who impose unrealistic standards on themselves and engage in persistent self-criticism may become exhausted by the effort to maintain unattainable performance. Over time, this pattern can lead to apathy, reduced motivation, and functional impairment.

2.3.5 Behavioral Externalization

Although internalizing symptoms dominate the literature, some adolescents externalize academic pressure through disruptive behavior, substance use, avoidance, or non-suicidal self-injury. The frustration-aggression hypothesis (Dollard et al., 1939) suggests that blocked academic goals may displace anger toward teachers, peers, or school property. Alcohol or cannabis use may function as avoidance-based coping, while non-suicidal self-injury may serve as an attempt to regulate overwhelming affect. These externalizing responses should not be interpreted solely as disciplinary problems; in some cases, they signal that academic stress has exceeded the adolescent's coping capacity.

2.4 Etiological Models Linking Pressure to Crisis

Several theoretical models help explain how academic pressure escalates into psychological crisis. These models are complementary because they address different levels of analysis, from individual vulnerability to motivational need frustration and broader ecological conditions.

2.4.1 The Diathesis-Stress Model

The diathesis-stress model proposes that psychological disorders emerge when pre-existing vulnerabilities are activated by environmental stressors (Monroe & Simons, 1991). In academic contexts, maladaptive perfectionism especially socially prescribed perfectionism and concern over mistakes may operate as a cognitive vulnerability (Frost et al., 1990). When this vulnerability is exposed to high-stakes testing, parental conditional regard, or repeated evaluative comparison, the risk of anxiety, depression, and crisis symptoms increases. Belsky and Pluess's (2009) differential susceptibility hypothesis extends this framework by suggesting that highly sensitive adolescents may be especially affected by both adverse and supportive environments. This perspective is important because it indicates that adolescents at greatest risk may also benefit substantially from targeted, supportive intervention.

2.4.2 Self-Determination Theory

Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 2017) holds that psychological well-being depends on the satisfaction of three basic needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. High-pressure academic environments may frustrate all three. Autonomy is undermined by controlling teaching practices and parental conditional regard; competence is threatened by ranking systems and repeated social comparison; and relatedness is weakened when approval from parents, teachers, or peers becomes contingent on performance. Need frustration can therefore produce distress, amotivation, and a controlled regulatory style in which students pursue achievement primarily to avoid shame or rejection. From this perspective, academic pressure-related crises are not simply the result of individual deficits but also of educational environments that repeatedly thwart core psychological needs.

2.4.3 The Perfectionism-Burnout Pathway

Research on multidimensional perfectionism distinguishes adaptive striving from maladaptive perfectionism (Frost et al., 1990; Hewitt & Flett, 1991). Maladaptive perfectionism is characterized by excessive concern over mistakes, persistent doubts about actions, and the internalization of socially prescribed standards. Within high-pressure school contexts, this pattern may create a pathway from academic demands to burnout. Adolescent may set rigid standards, invests excessive effort to meet them, interprets any shortfall as evidence of personal failure, and becomes increasingly depleted by this cycle of striving and self-criticism. Over time, this process may lead to emotional exhaustion, cynicism, reduced efficacy, and, in severe cases, crisis. The model suggests that interventions should address self-criticism, cognitive rigidity, and the belief that worth depends on flawless performance.

2.4.4 Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Lens

Bronfenbrenner's (2005) bioecological model situates adolescents within nested environmental systems. Academic pressure originates at multiple levels: the microsystem of parental expectations, peer competition, and teacher practices; the mesosystem of school-family interactions around achievement; the exosystem of district policies and standardized testing mandates; and the macrosystem of cultural achievement norms and neoliberal educational ideologies. The chronosystem captures historical changes, including the intensification of high-stakes assessment over time. An ecological interpretation therefore requires multi-level intervention. Individual coping skills may be useful, but they are unlikely to be sufficient if school-family expectations, assessment policies, and broader achievement cultures remain unchanged.

2.5 Protective Factors and Resilience Mechanisms

Protective factors are characteristics or conditions that reduce the impact of academic pressure on crisis symptoms. From an ecological perspective, resilience is best understood not as a fixed individual trait but as a process of positive adaptation supported by resources across multiple systems (Ungar, 2011).

Autonomy support. Research indicates that autonomy-supportive environments, characterized by acknowledgement of students' perspectives, provision of meaningful choices, and minimization of controlling language, enhance intrinsic motivation, engagement, and well-being across ages and cultures (Reeve, 2009). In educational settings, autonomy-supportive teaching is associated with reduced anxiety and greater academic satisfaction because it supports students' needs for autonomy and relatedness. Accordingly, this study positions teacher autonomy support as a potential moderator of the relationship between academic pressure and crisis symptoms.

Non-academic identity breadth. Drawing on Linville's (1987) self-complexity theory, identity breadth refers to the extent to which the self is defined across multiple domains. Adolescents whose self-worth is concentrated almost entirely in academic achievement may experience severe distress after setbacks, whereas those who also identify as artists, friends, athletes, volunteers, family members, or community participants possess alternative sources of meaning that can buffer against adverse experiences. This construct reduces the likelihood that "I failed the examination" becomes generalized into "I am a failure." Its limited empirical application in adolescent educational contexts represents an important gap addressed by the present study.

Mindfulness and self-compassion. Dispositional mindfulness, defined as non-judgmental awareness of present-moment experience, has been negatively associated with test anxiety and academic burnout (Zenner et al., 2014). Self-compassion, as conceptualized by Neff (2003), includes self-kindness, recognition of common humanity, and mindful awareness. It directly counters the self-criticism often associated with maladaptive perfectionism. Adolescents with higher self-compassion may respond to academic failure with reflection and care rather than self-condemnation, thereby interrupting the perfectionism burnout pathway. Mindfulness and self-compassion are therefore suitable targets for universal and targeted school-based interventions.

Teacher and parent relatedness. Supportive relationships with adults are consistently identified as protective factors in adolescent development. A single caring adult can alter developmental trajectories by providing emotional security, recognition, and guidance (Masten, 2001). In academic contexts, teacher relatedness, defined as the student's perception of being known, valued, and supported beyond performance, predicts engagement and emotional well-being. Similarly, parental unconditional positive regard fulfills the need for relatedness and counteracts the harmful effects of conditional regard.

2.6 Intervention Frameworks in School Settings

School-based mental health interventions have expanded substantially; however, many remain fragmented and address isolated symptom domains without a comprehensive theoretical framework. This section evaluates existing evidence across universal, targeted, indicated, and systemic levels of intervention.

2.6.1 Universal Preventive Programs

Universal social-emotional learning (SEL) programs provide school-wide opportunities to develop emotional regulation, social awareness, responsible decision-making, and coping skills. Durlak et

al. (2011), in a meta-analysis of 213 school-based SEL programs, reported significant improvements in social-emotional skills, attitudes, behavior, and academic performance. Nevertheless, many SEL curricula do not explicitly address academic pressure, identity narrowing, or the cultural logic that equates achievement with personal worth. Mindfulness-based school interventions have also demonstrated promising effects in reducing anxiety and depressive symptoms (Zenner et al., 2014), although they often lack a direct focus on academic identity and performative pressure.

2.6.2 Targeted and Indicated Interventions

Targeted and indicated interventions are intended for students who demonstrate elevated distress or acute crisis symptoms. Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) has the strongest evidence base for reducing test anxiety and related internalizing symptoms, particularly when it combines psychoeducation, cognitive restructuring, exposure to evaluative situations, and relaxation training. Third-wave approaches, including mindfulness-based and self-compassion interventions, are also relevant because they address the self-critical and fused thinking patterns often associated with academic failure. However, school-based applications vary considerably in intensity, facilitator training, and cultural adaptation.

2.6.3 Cognitive-Behavioral and Third-Wave Approaches

Cognitive-behavioral interventions are particularly useful for adolescents whose academic pressure is maintained by catastrophic interpretations, perfectionistic standards, and avoidance of evaluative tasks. These interventions help students identify automatic thoughts, test the accuracy of catastrophic predictions, and develop more flexible coping strategies. Third-wave approaches, such as acceptance and commitment therapy, mindfulness, and self-compassion training, extend this work by helping adolescents relate differently to painful thoughts rather than attempting to

eliminate them. Such approaches are especially relevant to the construct of performative entrapment because they support decentering from achievement-based self-evaluation.

2.6.4 Systemic and Policy-Level Interventions

Systemic and policy-level interventions address the broader educational conditions that contribute to excessive academic pressure. Although experimental evidence remains limited, natural experiments and policy reforms suggest that reducing class ranking, moderating homework loads, delaying school start times, and broadening definitions of achievement may improve student well-being. Later school start times, for example, have been associated with improved sleep and reduced depressive symptoms (Minges & Redeker, 2016). Finland's emphasis on less test-driven and more holistic educational practices has also been cited as an example of a system that seeks to balance learning with student well-being (Sahlberg, 2015). Nevertheless, the transferability of such approaches depends on cultural, political, and institutional conditions.

2.6.5 Gaps in the Intervention Literature

Despite the availability of school-based mental health programs, several gaps remain. First, many interventions are fragmented across universal, targeted, and crisis-response tiers, with limited integration into a coherent framework. Second, existing programs often address symptoms such as anxiety or depression without directly addressing identity narrowing, perfectionism, or conditional self-worth. Third, cultural adaptation remains underdeveloped, particularly for educational contexts where family honor, examination success, and social mobility are strongly linked. Finally, adolescents' own voices are often underrepresented in intervention design. These gaps justify the present dissertation's mixed-methods design and the development of the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering framework.

2.7 Cross-Cultural Dimensions of Academic Stress

Academic pressure is a global phenomenon, but its meanings and manifestations vary across cultural contexts. In Confucian-heritage societies such as China, South Korea, Japan, and Singapore, academic achievement is closely linked to filial responsibility, family honor, and future social mobility (Choi et al., 2019). High-stakes examinations, such as the Gaokao in China and the Suneung in South Korea, function as major educational and social gateways. In these contexts, psychological distress may be expressed somatically, particularly where open emotional disclosure is discouraged or stigmatized.

South Asia, particularly India, reflects a similarly intense examination culture. National board examinations and entrance tests such as the Joint Entrance Examination operate as gateways to prestigious higher education institutions, while the private coaching industry may further intensify pressure. Reports from the National Crime Records Bureau have drawn attention to student suicide as a public concern, although stigma and underreporting complicate interpretation of these data.

In Western contexts, including the United States and the United Kingdom, academic pressure is often shaped by the "college-for-all" narrative, competitive admissions processes, and achievement cultures in affluent communities. Galloway et al. (2013) describe the "pressure cooker" effect in high-achieving schools, where students may experience elevated anxiety, depression, and substance use. Social media can further intensify comparison by making academic success publicly visible and continuously evaluable.

Cross-cultural variation is also evident in protective factors. In collectivist contexts, family support, community belonging, and culturally meaningful roles may function as important buffers against academic pressure. Therefore, intervention design requires cultural humility. Core principles such

as autonomy support, identity breadth, and self-compassion may have broad relevance, but their language, delivery, and examples must be adapted to local values. This dissertation addresses this issue by incorporating international expert perspectives in the Delphi phase.

2.7.1 Social Media, Academic Comparison, and the Amplification of Pressure

An emerging body of literature indicates that social media can amplify academic pressure, particularly within technologically saturated adolescent environments. Social media platforms enable continuous, public, and quantifiable comparison. Adolescents may post examination results, college acceptances, or study routines, thereby constructing curated academic identities that peers observe and evaluate against their own. Posts displaying university acceptance letters, "study with me" sessions, and high-grade reports can contribute to an illusory norm of effortless and unblemished achievement (Vogel et al., 2014). This phenomenon may increase upward comparison, feelings of inadequacy, and pressure to maintain a comparable online academic persona.

Research specifically focused on academic social media comparison remains emergent, but related findings are suggestive. Nesi and Prinstein (2015) found that social media-based comparison predicted increases in depressive symptoms among adolescents, while Burnell et al. (2020) reported that some adolescents use social media to seek academic validation. Such validation-seeking may reinforce the association between self-worth and public performance metrics. The mechanism is consistent with the theoretical position of this study: social media may intensify the social-evaluative threat embedded in academic pressure by making performance visible, persistent, and subject to ongoing comparison.

The implications for intervention are considerable. Contemporary approaches to academic pressure should include digital literacy and critical reflection on social media comparison. School-based interventions may incorporate modules that promote mindful social media use, awareness

of curation bias, and development of offline, non-performative identities. The ERID framework proposed in this dissertation therefore incorporates digital dimensions within its identity-broadening and stress-literacy components.

2.7.2 Methodological Critique of the Existing Literature

Although the literature reviewed above provides an extensive overview, it is constrained by several methodological limitations. A critical evaluation of these limitations strengthens the rationale for the design of the present study.

First, the predominance of quantitative, cross-sectional self-report research is insufficient for capturing temporal dynamics, causal mechanisms, and subjective experiences associated with academic pressure crises. Cross-sectional designs are vulnerable to reverse causation: academic pressure and perfectionism may contribute to depression, but depression may also intensify perceptions of academic pressure. Although longitudinal studies exist, they are less common and rarely follow adolescents through critical transition periods or pre-examination windows when crises are most likely to emerge. The present study addresses this limitation by supplementing cross-sectional survey data with phenomenologically rich qualitative narratives, while acknowledging that it cannot fully establish causal direction.

Second, reliance on self-report instruments introduces shared method variance, social desirability bias, and the possibility of overestimating or underestimating symptom severity. Multi-informant data from parents, teachers, and peers remain uncommon in this field. Although the present study includes parent-report data for a subsample, the completeness of those data is limited, indicating an important direction for future research.

Third, sampling biases persist across the literature. Many studies focus on urban, middle-class, academically oriented populations, thereby underrepresenting rural students, students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, and students enrolled in vocational tracks. Cultural variation is often treated as a between-group variable rather than as a context that shapes the meaning and experience of academic pressure. The present study seeks to mitigate this limitation by recruiting participants from multiple schools to enhance sample diversity.

Finally, many intervention studies focus on short-term outcomes measured immediately after implementation or at brief follow-up periods. These studies commonly rely on self-reported symptom measures and less frequently assess functional, behavioral, or systemic outcomes. There is limited evidence on whether benefits are sustained across multiple academic years or whether interventions reduce severe outcomes such as suicidal behavior. The ERID framework introduced in this dissertation is evidence-informed but requires future empirical testing to establish long-term effectiveness.

2.7.3 Synthesis of Intervention Outcomes and the Evidence-Implementation Gap

The literature reviewed in Section 2.6 indicates that school-based programs, cognitive-behavioral therapy groups, mindfulness training, and social-emotional learning curricula can reduce anxiety and depression. However, reported effect sizes are often small to moderate, and clinical significance, such as the proportion of students moving from clinical to non-clinical ranges, is not consistently reported. Translation from research trials to routine school practice also remains challenging. Implementation science identifies barriers including inadequate staff training, competing academic priorities, limited administrative support, cultural misalignment, and the "voltage drop" that occurs when manualized interventions are delivered under real-world conditions without the support and fidelity monitoring available in research trials (Atkins et al., 2017).

This evidence-implementation gap highlights the need for frameworks that are not only evidence-based but also practical, scalable, and culturally adaptable. The Delphi consensus process in this study was designed to prioritize these qualities. The tension between ideal intervention content and real-world implement ability therefore becomes a central concern in the development of the ERID framework.

2.8 Gaps in the Extant Literature

This review identifies several significant gaps that this dissertation aims to address:

1. **Absence of an integrative, multi-tiered intervention framework.** Existing interventions are often fragmented across tiers and lack a cohesive theoretical model that connects prevention, targeted support, crisis response, and systemic reform.
2. **Underuse of phenomenological methods.** The lived experience of academic breakdown, including subjective entrapment and adolescents' interpretations of their circumstances, remains underrepresented in a predominantly quantitative literature.
3. **Limited examination of identity breadth.** The application of self-complexity theory to adolescent academic stress has not been systematically pursued, and the protective potential of non-academic identity breadth remains insufficiently examined.
4. **Need for international expert consensus.** In light of the global nature of academic pressure, a consensus-driven framework that incorporates diverse cultural and disciplinary insights is needed.
5. **Insufficient cross-cultural adaptation.** Most existing programs originate from Western contexts and often lack explicit mechanisms for cultural adaptation. A framework informed by international expertise is therefore required.

2.9 Chapter Summary

This chapter reviewed interdisciplinary literature on academic pressure, adolescent developmental vulnerability, crisis symptoms, etiological models, protective factors, school-based interventions, and cross-cultural considerations. Academic pressure was conceptualized as an ecological and transactional phenomenon shaped by macrosystemic credential competition, school and family expectations, and individual appraisal. Adolescents are particularly vulnerable because academic evaluation intersects with identity formation, social belonging, emotional regulation, and future orientation.

Crisis symptoms may include anxiety, depression, suicidal ideation, somatic distress, burnout, and behavioral externalization. The literature further indicates that perfectionism, need frustration, and identity narrowing may mediate the relationship between academic pressure and crisis symptoms, while autonomy support, identity breadth, mindfulness, self-compassion, and supportive adult relationships may function as protective factors. Existing school-based interventions remain fragmented and frequently insufficiently adapted to cultural context or adolescent lived experience. These gaps provide the rationale for the present dissertation's mixed-methods design and the development of the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering framework.

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

This chapter describes the research design, methods, and procedures used to investigate crisis symptoms and intervention strategies among adolescents experiencing academic pressure. The study is guided by a pragmatic epistemology and employs an explanatory sequential mixed-methods design comprising three integrated phases: a quantitative cross-sectional survey, a qualitative phenomenological inquiry, and a modified Delphi expert consensus process. The chapter explains the rationale for the research paradigm, outlines the procedures for each phase, describes the integration strategy for mixed-methods synthesis, and addresses ethical considerations and researcher positionality.

3.1 Research Paradigm and Design

The study is grounded in a pragmatic research paradigm, which prioritizes the research problem and the questions under investigation rather than strict adherence to a single epistemological tradition (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018). Academic pressure-related crises are both measurable epidemiological phenomena and deeply personal lived experiences. Quantitative methods are therefore required to estimate prevalence, identify risk and protective factors, and test hypothesized associations, whereas qualitative methods are needed to explore adolescents' subjective experiences of academic breakdown, meaning-making, and help-seeking. Pragmatism permits the purposeful combination of these methods to generate a fuller understanding of the phenomenon.

The overall design is an explanatory sequential mixed-methods model (QUAN → qual → Delphi integration). In this design, quantitative data are collected and analyzed first, and the findings inform the subsequent qualitative phase (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018). Phase 1 establishes the prevalence of crisis symptoms and identifies statistically significant risk and protective factors.

Phase 2 uses Phase 1 findings to purposively select adolescents who exhibit elevated distress and to explore their lived experiences of academic pressure-related crisis. Phase 3 synthesizes findings from Phases 1 and 2 and presents them to an international expert panel for consensus building regarding a culturally adaptable, multi-tiered intervention framework. Integration occurs at the participant selection stage, during interpretation, and through a joint display matrix that connects findings across all three phases (Fetters et al., 2013).

3.2 Phase 1: Quantitative Cross-Sectional Survey

Phase 1 addresses the quantitative research questions concerning prevalence, predictors, and protective factors. Specifically, it examines the prevalence of clinically significant anxiety, depression, somatic symptoms, and suicidal ideation among secondary school students exposed to high academic pressure; identifies demographic, academic, and psychosocial predictors of crisis symptom group membership; and tests whether protective factors moderate the relationship between academic pressure and crisis symptoms.

3.2.1 Population and Sampling

The target population comprises adolescents aged 14 to 18 years who are enrolled in Grades 9 to 12 in public and private secondary schools in University. A multi-stage, stratified cluster sampling framework was used. Strata included school type, urban or rural locality, and socioeconomic index. Six schools were randomly selected in proportions representing these strata. Within each school, four homeroom classes were randomly selected, yielding an estimated total sample size of 1,200 students. This sample size exceeded the minimum required for planned hierarchical regression analyses with up to 15 predictors, a medium effect size ($f^2 = .15$), $\alpha = .05$, and power = .95, which required a minimum N of 204. The larger sample also allowed for anticipated clustering effects and incomplete responses (Faul et al., 2007).

Participants were eligible for inclusion if they were enrolled in a participating school, were aged between 14 and 18 years, and were able to read and comprehend the survey language. Students were excluded if they were unable to provide assent or if parental consent was not obtained where required by institutional and school policies.

3.2.2 Instrumentation and Psychometric Properties

A battery of validated self-report instruments was administered. All scales used in the study have established psychometric properties in adolescent populations. Where contextual adaptation was required, pilot testing was conducted to confirm reliability and appropriateness for the study context.

The Academic Pressure Scale for Adolescents (APSA) consists of 18 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale and assesses students' subjective perceptions of academic demands. The scale includes three subscales: workload, performance expectations, and consequences of failure. In the present study, internal consistency was excellent ($\alpha = .91$).

The Youth Self-Report (YSR/11–18; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001) was used to obtain broadband internalizing and externalizing scores. The Somatic Syndrome scale was used to measure somatic complaints and demonstrated good internal consistency in this study ($\alpha = .82$). The Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders (SCARED; Birmaher et al., 1997) is a 41-item, 3-point scale that provides a total anxiety score and five subscale scores. A clinical cut-off score of ≥25 was used for prevalence estimates. Internal consistency in the present study was excellent ($\alpha = .93$). The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale for Children (CES-DC; Radloff, 1977) consists of 20 items rated on a 4-point scale and assesses depressive symptomatology. A cut-off score of ≥15

was used to indicate possible major depression. Internal consistency in this study was good (α = .88).

The Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) Screen Version (Posner et al., 2011) was used to assess passive ideation, active ideation, and suicidal behaviors. Binary endorsements were used to identify the presence or absence of suicidal ideation and behavior. The Frost Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (Frost MPS; Frost et al., 1990) includes the Concern over Mistakes subscale, which consists of nine items and was used as the measure of maladaptive perfectionism. The subscale demonstrated good reliability in the present study (α = .86).

The Learning Climate Questionnaire (LCQ) Teacher Autonomy Support version (Williams & Deci, 1996) is a 6-item, 7-point scale that assesses students' perceptions of autonomy support from their primary classroom teacher. Internal consistency in this study was good (α = .89).

The Non-Academic Identity Breadth Scale (NAIB) is a newly developed 12-item scale designed to measure self-investment across six non-academic domains: artistic, athletic, social, familial, spiritual, and vocational. Pilot testing with 150 students indicated good internal consistency (α = .85), preliminary construct validity through negative correlations with anxiety and depression, and a positive association with self-complexity.

The Basic Psychological Need Satisfaction and Frustration Scale School Domain (Chen et al., 2015) was used to assess need frustration within the school context. The 12-item frustration subscale demonstrated excellent internal consistency in the present study (α = .90).

A demographic questionnaire collected information on age, gender, grade level, socioeconomic status using the Family Affluence Scale (Currie et al., 2008), family structure, and school type.

3.2.3 Data Collection Procedures

Data collection began after Institutional Review Board approval and school district approvals were obtained. Information letters and consent forms were distributed to parents or guardians, and both parental consent and adolescent assent were obtained for all participants. Surveys were administered electronically via Qualtrics during regular class periods. A trained research assistant was present to provide standardized instructions, answer procedural questions, and maintain consistency across settings. Data collection occurred from February to April to correspond with the examination preparation period, thereby enhancing ecological validity. Participant confidentiality was maintained through the use of numeric codes. A clinical safety protocol was embedded in the survey, including C-SSRS items and a real-time distress indicator. Any student endorsing active suicidal ideation or severe distress was immediately referred to the on-site school psychologist for risk assessment and follow-up.

3.2.4 Data Analysis Plan

Data were screened for missingness, normality, and outliers before analysis. Cases with more than 30% missing data on primary measures were excluded. Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) was used to address item-level missingness in multi-item scales. Descriptive statistics and prevalence rates with 95% confidence intervals were computed for all primary symptom measures. Pearson correlations were used to examine bivariate associations among academic pressure, crisis symptoms, perfectionism, need frustration, and protective factors. Hierarchical multiple regression was then conducted to predict a composite crisis severity index, calculated as the sum of standardized scores for anxiety, depression, somatic complaints, and suicidal ideation. Demographic covariates were entered at Step 1, academic pressure at Step 2, perfectionism at Step 3, and interaction terms at Step 4. Interaction terms, including Pressure × Autonomy Support and

Pressure × Identity Breadth, were computed from mean-centered predictors. Significant interactions were interpreted using simple slopes analyses (Aiken & West, 1991). Structural equation modeling (SEM) in Mplus version 8.8 was used to test a latent mediation model in which perfectionism mediated the relationship between academic pressure and crisis severity. Model fit was evaluated using chi-square, Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Tucker Lewis Index (TLI), Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), and Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR). Bias-corrected bootstrapping with 5,000 resamples was used to test indirect effects. Multiple-group SEM was also conducted to examine gender invariance.

3.3 Phase 2: Qualitative Phenomenological Inquiry

Phase 2 addressed the qualitative research questions concerning adolescents's lived experiences of academic pressure-related crisis. Specifically, it explored how adolescents described the trajectory from academic pressure to psychological crisis, the barriers they perceived in seeking help, the turning points that facilitated recovery or stabilization, and the ways in which they understood the relationship between their academic self and their broader identity. Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA; Smith et al., 2009) was selected because of its idiographic focus on how individuals make sense of significant and emotionally charged experiences. This approach was particularly appropriate for examining the nuanced meanings adolescents attributed to academic breakdown and recovery.

3.3.1 Participant Selection

Participants for Phase 2 were purposively selected from Phase 1 respondents who scored above clinical thresholds on the Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders (SCARED; ≥25) or the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale for Children (CES-DC; ≥15), and who indicated that academic pressure was their primary stressor on a survey exit item. Maximum variation sampling was used to ensure diversity across gender, school type, crisis severity, suicidal

ideation status, and treatment history. A target sample of 30 participants was established, consistent with IPA's emphasis on depth, idiographic analysis, and rich experiential detail rather than statistical representativeness (Smith et al., 2009). Sampling continued until sufficient thematic depth and variation had been achieved. Eligible participants were contacted by email and telephone, and informed consent and adolescent assent were reconfirmed before interview scheduling.

3.3.2 Interview Protocol

Semi-structured interviews lasting approximately 60 to 90 minutes were conducted in private school or university settings by the researcher, who had training in clinical interviewing. The interview guide was developed from the literature and refined through pilot interviews with two adolescents. It addressed four domains: a contextualized narrative of a specific academic breakdown moment when pressure felt unbearable; thoughts, images, emotions, and physical sensations experienced during that moment; identity-related reflections, including how participants defined themselves before, during, and after the crisis; and help-seeking experiences, barriers, and facilitating turning points. Follow-up probes were used to elicit concrete, sensory-rich details and to clarify abstract statements. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Field notes were written immediately after each interview to document contextual observations, non-verbal communication, and the researcher's initial analytic impressions.

3.3.3 Rigor and Trustworthiness

Trustworthiness was supported using Yardley's (2000) criteria for qualitative research. Sensitivity to context was demonstrated through engagement with the literature, careful attention to the interview environment, and reflexive awareness of the researcher's positionality. Commitment and rigor were reflected in sustained engagement with each transcript, iterative analysis, and close attention to both convergent and divergent cases. Transparency and coherence were maintained

through an audit trail documenting coding decisions, theme development, reflexive notes, and analytic memos. Two independent coders with qualitative research experience reviewed a subset of six transcripts, and discrepancies were discussed to enhance the credibility and coherence of the developing thematic structure. Member reflection was conducted by sending a concise summary of emerging themes to five participants, who confirmed that the interpretations resonated with their experiences.

3.3.4 Thematic Analysis Procedure

Data were managed using NVivo version 14. Analysis followed an IPA-informed thematic procedure. First, transcripts were read repeatedly to support immersion in each participant's account. Second, exploratory notes were made regarding descriptive content, language use, emotional tone, and initial interpretive observations. Third, emergent themes were generated for each transcript, with attention to how participants made sense of academic pressure, crisis, identity, and recovery. Fourth, themes were clustered within cases and then compared across cases to identify superordinate patterns. Finally, the thematic structure was refined through repeated movement between individual transcripts, coded extracts, and the full dataset. The analysis was primarily inductive, while also remaining theoretically sensitized by the dissertation's ecological, diathesis-stress, and self-determination frameworks. Reflexive journaling was used throughout the process to monitor assumptions and preserve analytic transparency.

3.4 Phase 3: Modified Delphi Expert Consensus

Phase 3 addressed the research question concerning the essential components, delivery methods, and contextual adaptations required for a multi-tiered school-based intervention for academic pressure-related crises. A modified Delphi technique was selected because it enables structured consensus building among experts while preserving anonymity, reducing the influence of dominant voices, and minimizing groupthink (Keeney et al., 2011).

3.4.1 Panel Composition and Recruitment

Twenty-five experts were purposively recruited through professional networks, scholarly publications, and recommendations. Inclusion criteria required a terminal degree in clinical psychology, school psychology, child psychiatry, education, public policy, or a related field; at least ten years of relevant professional experience; and a documented record of research, clinical, educational, or policy leadership in adolescent mental health or education. The final panel included clinical child psychologists, school counselors or psychologists, educational researchers, child psychiatrists, and policy advisors from 12 countries across four continents. Gender representation was balanced, and all panelists provided informed consent before participating.

3.4.2 Delphi Procedure and Consensus Criteria

The Delphi process comprised three rounds conducted through Qualtrics over a 14-week period. In Round 1, panelists responded to open-ended questions regarding universal, targeted, indicated, and systemic intervention components, informed by preliminary findings from Phases 1 and 2. Responses were analyzed through content analysis by two independent researchers, and overlapping responses were consolidated into discrete intervention items. In Round 2, panelists rated each item on 9-point Likert scales for importance and feasibility. In Round 3, panelists received controlled feedback, including the group median, interquartile range, their own prior ratings, and anonymized qualitative comments, before re-rating items that had not yet achieved consensus. Consensus was defined a priori as at least 70% of ratings falling within the 7–9 range and an interquartile range of 2 or below (von der Gracht, 2012). Items that achieved high importance consensus and represented distinct intervention functions were organized into the final multi-tiered intervention framework.

3.5 Integration and Mixed-Methods Data Synthesis

Integration followed a weaving approach consistent with mixed-methods guidance (Fetters et al., 2013). A joint display matrix was constructed during the interpretive stage to juxtapose key quantitative findings, qualitative themes, and Delphi consensus components. For example, significant moderation effects involving identity breadth and autonomy support were compared with qualitative themes of identity narrowing, reclamation, and supportive adult relationships, and then linked to Delphi-endorsed components such as identity-broadening modules and teacher autonomy-support training. This matrix supported the generation of meta-inferences that drew on the strengths of all three data strands. Points of convergence were emphasized, while divergences, such as the contrast between adolescents' preference for informal support spaces and experts' prioritization of manualized interventions, were examined critically. The integrated findings directly informed the development of the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering framework presented in Chapter 8.

3.6 Ethical Considerations

The study received approval from the University Institutional Review Board and from the relevant school research review authorities. Because the study involved minors and sensitive mental health content, several safeguards were implemented. Active parental consent and adolescent assent were obtained where required, and all consent documents explained voluntariness, confidentiality, and the limits of confidentiality in situations involving imminent risk. During survey administration, a clinical safety protocol linked to C-SSRS endorsements and a distress indicator was used to identify students requiring immediate support. Students endorsing active suicidal ideation or severe distress were referred promptly to the on-site school psychologist for risk assessment and follow-up, with parental notification and referral procedures implemented according to school and legal requirements. Interview participants were debriefed and provided with information about local mental health resources. All data were stored on encrypted, password-protected systems;

identifying information was replaced with codes, and the linking file was stored separately with access restricted to the principal investigator. Delphi panelists provided informed consent and retained the right to withdraw at any time. Ethical reflexivity was maintained throughout the study, with consultation from the supervisory committee and Institutional Review Board when unanticipated issues arose.

3.7 Researcher Positionality

At the time of the study, the researcher was a doctoral candidate with professional experience in adolescent mental health and educational settings. This background supported sensitivity to the topic and familiarity with the language of adolescent distress, but it also required careful reflexive attention to the possibility of over-identification with participants or premature interpretation of their experiences. To address this, reflexive journaling was maintained throughout the qualitative phase to document emotional responses, assumptions, and emerging interpretations. These reflections were discussed during supervision and with a peer debriefer who was not directly involved in data collection. During interviews, the researcher sought to approach participants with empathetic curiosity while avoiding therapeutic advice and maintaining the role of researcher rather than clinician. When distress was observed, referral procedures were followed in accordance with the study's safety protocol. This reflexive stance is consistent with Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis, which recognizes the researcher's interpretive role as an inherent and transparent part of the analytic process (Smith et al., 2009).

CHAPTER 4: QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

This chapter presents the quantitative findings from Phase 1 of the explanatory sequential mixed-methods design. The chapter is organized into seven sections: sample characteristics; descriptive statistics and prevalence of crisis symptoms; correlation matrix; hierarchical multiple regression predicting composite crisis severity; moderation analyses of protective factors; structural equation modeling of the mediational pathway through perfectionism; and a summary of the quantitative phase. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 28.0 and Mplus version 8.8. Missing data were addressed using Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) for continuous variables, with listwise deletion applied only to categorical demographic variables where missingness was negligible (<2%). Standardized coefficients are reported throughout unless otherwise specified. A significance level of .05, two-tailed, was established for all inferential tests.

4.1 Sample Characteristics

A total of 1,200 students were invited to participate in the study. After excluding 53 cases with more than 30% item-level missingness on the primary measures, the final analytic sample comprised 1,147 adolescents, yielding a valid response rate of 95.6%. Table 4.1 summarizes the demographic composition of the sample. Participants ranged in age from 14 to 18 years (M = 16.2, SD = 1.3). The sample included 612 female students (53.4%), 519 male students (45.2%), and 16 students who identified as non-binary or did not declare gender (1.4%). Grade levels were distributed as follows: Grade 9 = 24.9%, Grade 10 = 26.3%, Grade 11 = 25.8%, and Grade 12 = 23.0%. The sample represented three school types: selective high-performing schools (33.1%), comprehensive public schools (41.2%), and private independent schools (25.7%). Socioeconomic status, measured using the Family Affluence Scale (Currie et al., 2008), ranged from 0 to 9; 18.3% of participants were categorized in the lowest affluence quartile, while 21.7% were in the highest quartile. Most participants (78.2%) resided with both biological parents, 14.6% lived with a single parent, and 7.2% reported other living arrangements.

4.2 Descriptive Statistics and Prevalence of Crisis Symptoms

Table 4.2 presents the means, standard deviations, internal consistency coefficients (Cronbach's α), and clinical cut-off prevalence rates for the primary symptom measures. All scales demonstrated good to excellent reliability (α = .82–.93). The Academic Pressure Scale for Adolescents (APSA), developed and piloted as described in Chapter 3, yielded a mean score of 3.52 (SD = 0.76) on a 1–5 scale, indicating moderate to high perceived academic pressure. Clinically significant anxiety, defined by a SCARED total score of ≥25 (Birmaher et al., 1997), was identified in 34.2% of the sample (95% CI [31.4, 37.0]). Probable major depression, indicated by a CES-DC score of ≥15, was observed in 28.7% of participants (95% CI [26.1, 31.4]). Somatic complaints, defined by a YSR Somatic Syndrome T score of ≥65 (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001), were present in 22.5% of participants (95% CI [20.1, 25.0]).

Suicidality was assessed using the Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) Screener (Posner et al., 2011). Passive suicidal ideation, characterized by wishes to be dead or thoughts of self-harm without a specific plan, was reported by 12.1% of the total sample (95% CI [10.2, 14.1]). A significant gender difference was observed, with 15.3% of female students compared with 8.4% of male students endorsing passive ideation, $\chi^2(1, N = 1{,}131) = 12.48$, $p < .001$, Cramér's $V = .105$. Active suicidal ideation with a plan was reported by 4.2% of participants (95% CI [3.1, 5.6]). Students attending selective high-performing schools reported significantly higher rates of clinical anxiety than students in comprehensive public schools (38.7% vs. 29.6%, $\chi^2(1) = 10.31$, $p = .001$), as well as higher rates of passive ideation (15.2% vs. 9.8%, $\chi^2(1) = 7.54$, $p = .006$).

4.3 Correlation Matrix

Table 4.3 presents the zero-order Pearson correlations among the primary study variables, together with means, standard deviations, and reliability coefficients. Academic pressure, measured by the Academic Pressure Scale for Adolescents (APSA), was positively associated with all symptom indices: anxiety (SCARED; $r = .51$, $p < .001$), depression (CES-DC; $r = .48$, $p < .001$), somatic complaints (YSR Somatic Syndrome; $r = .34$, $p < .001$), and suicidal ideation severity derived from the Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS; $r = .27$, $p < .001$). Maladaptive perfectionism, measured by the Concern over Mistakes subscale of the Frost Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale, was also positively correlated with academic pressure ($r = .46$, $p < .001$) and anxiety ($r = .52$, $p < .001$), indicating a strong association between evaluation-oriented perfectionism and psychological distress.

In contrast, the two hypothesized protective factors were negatively associated with all symptom measures. Teacher autonomy support, assessed using the Learning Climate Questionnaire adapted for classroom teachers, was negatively correlated with anxiety ($r = −.29$, $p < .001$) and depression ($r = −.38$, $p < .001$). Non-academic identity breadth (NAIB) demonstrated moderate negative correlations with anxiety ($r = −.41$, $p < .001$), depression ($r = −.40$, $p < .001$), and somatic symptoms ($r = −.34$, $p < .001$). Basic psychological need frustration at school was positively correlated with all symptom scales ($r = .42–.54$, $p < .001$), supporting the theoretical relevance of need frustration in academic pressure-related crisis symptoms.

4.4 Hierarchical Multiple Regression Predicting Crisis Severity

To assess the unique and combined contributions of academic pressure, perfectionism, and proposed protective moderators, a composite crisis severity index was created by summing the standardized scores for anxiety (SCARED), depression (CES-DC), somatic complaints (YSR Somatic Syndrome), and suicidal ideation severity derived from the C-SSRS. The composite

demonstrated acceptable internal consistency (α = .85) and was used as the dependent variable in a four-step hierarchical multiple regression analysis.

In Step 1, demographic covariates, including gender, age, socioeconomic status quartile, and dummy-coded school type variables, accounted for 4.3% of the variance in crisis severity, $F(6, 1140) = 8.61$, $p < .001$. Female gender ($\beta = .12$, $p = .002$) and older age ($\beta = .09$, $p = .020$) emerged as significant predictors.

In Step 2, academic pressure, measured by the APSA total score, was entered into the model and produced a substantial increase in explained variance, $\Delta R^2 = .184$, $\Delta F(1, 1139) = 249.21$, $p < .001$. Academic pressure significantly and positively predicted crisis severity ($\beta = .44$, $p < .001$), supporting Hypothesis 1.

In Step 3, maladaptive perfectionism, operationalized using the Frost Concern over Mistakes subscale, was added to the model and explained an additional 6.3% of the variance, $\Delta F(1, 1138) = 89.47$, $p < .001$. Perfectionism was a significant predictor of crisis severity ($\beta = .28$, $p < .001$), while the coefficient for academic pressure decreased but remained significant ($\beta = .35$, $p < .001$), consistent with the partial mediation pathway examined in Section 4.6.

In Step 4, two interaction terms computed from mean-centered predictors were entered: Pressure × Teacher Autonomy Support and Pressure × Identity Breadth. This final step explained an additional 2.4% of the variance, $\Delta R^2 = .024$, $\Delta F(2, 1136) = 18.27$, $p < .001$. The final model accounted for 31.4% of the variance in crisis severity, $F(10, 1136) = 52.46$, $p < .001$. Detailed regression coefficients are presented in Table 4.4.

4.5 Moderation Analyses

The significant interactions identified in Step 4 were examined using simple slopes analysis at ±1 standard deviation from the mean of the moderators (Aiken & West, 1991). The interaction between academic pressure and teacher autonomy support was significant ($\beta = -.12$, $p = .004$), indicating that the relationship between academic pressure and crisis severity weakened as autonomy support increased. At low levels of autonomy support (−1 SD), the simple slope was $\beta = .51$, $t(1136) = 10.23$, $p < .001$. At high levels of autonomy support (+1 SD), the slope decreased to $\beta = .27$, $t(1136) = 5.84$, $p < .001$. These findings indicate that autonomy-supportive teaching buffered the association between academic pressure and crisis severity, supporting Hypothesis 3.

The interaction between academic pressure and identity breadth was also significant ($\beta = -.10$, $p = .011$). Among adolescents with narrow non-academic identity breadth (−1 SD on the NAIB), the simple slope was $\beta = .47$, $p < .001$. Among adolescents with broader identity breadth (+1 SD), the slope was reduced to $\beta = .25$, $p < .001$. This pattern indicates that identity breadth functioned as a statistically significant protective buffer. The interaction is illustrated in Figure 4.1.

4.6 Structural Equation Modeling: Mediation Through Perfectionism

Building on the hierarchical regression results and the diathesis-stress framework (Monroe & Simons, 1991), structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to test whether maladaptive perfectionism mediated part of the relationship between academic pressure and crisis severity. Crisis severity was represented by four observed indicators: SCARED total score, CES-DC total score, YSR Somatic Syndrome T score, and weighted C-SSRS severity score. Academic pressure was modeled as a latent variable using three item parcels derived from the APSA subscales: workload, performance expectations, and consequences of failure. To maintain parsimony, perfectionism was represented by the Frost Concern over Mistakes subscale.

The hypothesized partial mediation model demonstrated good fit to the data: $\chi^2(87) = 234.6$, $p < .001$; CFI = .94; TLI = .92; RMSEA = .048, 90% CI [.040, .056]; and SRMR = .042. All factor loadings were significant ($p < .001$) and substantial (standardized $\lambda = .64–.88$). The total effect of academic pressure on crisis severity was $\beta = .41$, $p < .001$. The indirect effect through perfectionism was $\beta = .14$, with a 95% bias-corrected bootstrap confidence interval of [.09, .19], $p < .001$. The direct effect remained significant ($\beta = .27$, $p < .001$), indicating partial mediation. Perfectionism accounted for approximately 34% of the total relationship between academic pressure and crisis severity, supporting Hypothesis 2.

A multiple-group analysis was conducted to examine whether the structural paths differed by gender. The freely estimated model was compared with a model in which regression paths were constrained to equality. The change in CFI was .002, which is below the recommended .01 threshold (Cheung & Rensvold, 2002), indicating no meaningful gender differences in the mediational mechanism.

4.7 Summary of Quantitative Phase

The Phase 1 survey findings indicate that academic pressure is a prevalent and significant factor associated with adolescent crisis symptoms. More than one-third of the sample reported clinically significant anxiety, and approximately one in eight participants endorsed passive suicidal ideation. These findings support Hypothesis 1 by demonstrating a robust positive association between perceived academic pressure and internalizing crisis symptoms after controlling for demographic variables. Hypothesis 2 was supported through the SEM analysis, which indicated that maladaptive perfectionism partially mediated the pathway from academic pressure to crisis severity. This finding suggests that one mechanism through which pressure affects mental health is the activation of harsh self-evaluative standards. Hypothesis 3 was supported through moderation analyses

showing that teacher autonomy support and broader non-academic identity breadth weakened the association between academic pressure and crisis severity.

These quantitative patterns have direct implications for the subsequent phases of the dissertation. Elevated symptom rates among selective school students, female students, and students reporting low autonomy support identify groups that may require targeted intervention. The moderating effect of identity breadth and the mediating role of perfectionism provide measurable intervention targets for school-based prevention and response. In addition, the quantitative identification of a high-distress subgroup students scoring above clinical cut-offs on the SCARED or CES-DC and attributing distress primarily to academic demands established the purposive sampling frame for the qualitative phenomenological interviews presented in Chapter 5. The convergence of these quantitative findings with lived-experience narratives and expert consensus is examined in the integrated discussion in Chapter 7.

Tables and Figures

Table 4.1

Sample Demographics (N = 1,147*)*

Variable	n (%)
Gender	
Female	612 (53.4)
Male	519 (45.2)
Non-binary/undeclared	16 (1.4)
Grade level	
9	286 (24.9)
10	302 (26.3)
11	296 (25.8)
12	263 (23.0)
School type	
Selective high-performing	380 (33.1)
Comprehensive public	472 (41.2)
Private independent	295 (25.7)
SES quartile (FAS)	
Lowest	210 (18.3)
Lower-middle	262 (22.8)
Upper-middle	426 (37.1)
Highest	249 (21.7)

Note. FAS = Family Affluence Scale (Currie et al., 2008). Age: $M = 16.2$, $SD = 1.3$.

Table 4.2

Means, Standard Deviations, Internal Consistency, and Clinical Prevalence of Primary Symptom Measures (N = 1,147*)*

Measure	*M*	*SD*	α	Clinical cut-off	% ≥ cut-off [95% CI]
APSA	3.52	0.76	.91	—	—
SCARED	22.63	11.74	.93	≥ 25	34.2 [31.4, 37.0]
CES-DC	11.82	8.31	.88	≥ 15	28.7 [26.1, 31.4]
YSR Somatic	59.34	10.15	.82	T ≥ 65	22.5 [20.1, 25.0]
C-SSRS (passive)	—	—	—	item 1–2 endorsed	12.1 [10.2, 14.1]
C-SSRS (active with plan)	—	—	—	item 3–5 endorsed	4.2 [3.1, 5.6]
NAIB	3.18	0.63	.85	—	—
Teacher autonomy support	4.21	1.04	.89	—	—
Frost MPS-CM	28.74	7.62	.86	—	—

Note. APSA = Academic Pressure Scale for Adolescents (possible range 1–5); SCARED = Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders (range 0–82); CES-DC = Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale for Children (range 0–60); YSR Somatic = Youth Self-Report Somatic Syndrome scale (T score); C-SSRS = Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale; NAIB = Non-Academic Identity Breadth Scale (range 1–5); teacher autonomy support = Learning Climate

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. Academic Pressure	(.91)								
2. SCARED	.51**	(.93)							
3. CES-DC	.48**	.62**	(.88)						
4. YSR Somatic	.34**	.47**	.51**	(.82)					
5. Suicidal Ideation (comp)	.27**	.38**	.44**	.24**	—				
6. Concern over Mistakes	.46**	.52**	.48**	.31**	.25**	(.86)			
7. Teacher Autonomy Support	−.25**	−.29**	−.38**	−.20**	−.18**	−.27**	(.89)		
8. NAIB	−.31**	−.41**	−.40**	−.34**	−.23**	−.33**	.29**	(.85)	
9. Need Frustration (School)	.54**	.47**	.54**	.38**	.29**	.45**	−.51**	−.37**	(.90)

Questionnaire adapted for classroom teachers (range 1–7); Frost MPS-CM = Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale, Concern over Mistakes subscale (range 9–45).

Table 4.3

Means, Standard Deviations, Reliabilities, and Bivariate Correlations Among Key Study Variables

Note. N = 1,147. Cronbach's α appears on the diagonal where applicable. Suicidal ideation is a weighted composite from the C-SSRS. NAIB = Non-Academic Identity Breadth Scale. Need frustration was measured using Chen et al. (2015). **p < .001, two-tailed.

Variable	Mean	SD	Cronbach's α	1	2	3	4
1. Academic Pressure	-	-	-	-			
2. Concern over Mistakes	-	-	-	.48**	-		
3. NAIB	-	-	-	-.32**	-.25**	-	
4. Need Frustration	-	-	-	.55**	.42**	-.38**	-

*Note. N = 1,147. Cronbach's α appears on the diagonal where applicable. NAIB = Non-Academic Identity Breadth Scale. *p < .001, two-tailed.

Table 4.4

Hierarchical Multiple Regression Predicting Composite Crisis Severity

Predictor	*B*	*SE*	β	t	p	ΔR^2
Step 1						.043***
Constant	−0.42	0.18		−2.33	.020	
Gender (female = 1)	0.24	0.08	.12	3.10	.002	
Age	0.07	0.03	.09	2.33	.020	
SES (FAS quartile)	−0.02	0.03	−.02	−0.67	.503	
School type (selective = 1) a	0.15	0.09	.08	1.67	.096	
School type (private = 1) a	0.05	0.10	.02	0.50	.617	
Step 2						.184***
Academic Pressure	0.58	0.04	.44	14.58	<.001	
Step 3						.063***
Concern over Mistakes	0.04	0.005	.28	8.96	<.001	
Step 4						.024***
Pressure × Autonomy Support	−0.11	0.04	−.12	−2.89	.004	
Pressure × Identity Breadth	−0.15	0.06	−.10	−2.54	.011	

Note. *B* = unstandardized coefficient. β = standardized coefficient. ΔR^2 for Step 4 reflects the additional variance explained by interaction terms. Predictors were mean centered before interaction computation. Total R^2 = .314 (N = 1,147). Reference category = comprehensive public school. ***p < .001.

Figure 4.1

Moderation of the Academic Pressure Crisis Severity Relationship by Non-Academic Identity Breadth

Note. The figure illustrates the buffering effect of non-academic identity breadth. The slope between academic pressure and predicted crisis severity is steeper for adolescents with low identity breadth and flatter for those with high identity breadth.

Figure 4.2

Structural Equation Model of Mediation via Perfectionism

Note. The model depicts academic pressure as a latent variable predicting crisis severity directly and indirectly through concern over mistakes. All path coefficients are standardized.

Table 4.5

Standardized Path Coefficients, Indirect Effects, and Model Fit for the SEM Mediation Model

Path / Effect	**β**	***SE***	**95% BC Bootstrap CI**	***p***
Pressure → Perfectionism	.46	.04	[.38, .53]	<.001
Perfectionism → Crisis Severity	.31	.04	[.23, .38]	<.001
Direct: Pressure → Crisis Severity	.27	.05	[.18, .35]	<.001
Indirect (via perfectionism)	.14	.02	[.09, .19]	<.001
Total effect	.41	.04	[.33, .49]	<.001
Model fit				
$\chi^2(87) = 234.6, p < .001$				
CFI = .94, TLI = .92				
RMSEA = .048 (90% CI [.040, .056])				
SRMR = .042				

Note. BC = bias-corrected bootstrap based on 5,000 resamples. All coefficients are standardized.

CHAPTER 5: QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

Building on the quantitative findings from Phase 1, which identified a substantial subgroup of adolescents experiencing clinically significant distress, Phase 2 used Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA; Smith et al., 2009) to investigate adolescents' lived experiences of academic pressure-related crisis. This chapter begins with a descriptive overview of the 30 interview participants. It then presents a thematic map of the findings and examines the core construct of performative entrapment, together with four superordinate themes: The Precipice, Invisible Scars, Seeking an Exit, and Reclamation. Verbatim quotations from participants, identified by pseudonyms, are included to support the interpretation of the data. The chapter concludes with a summary of the qualitative findings and their relationship to the quantitative results presented in Chapter 4.

5.1 Overview of Participants

Thirty adolescents participated in semi-structured interviews lasting between 60 and 90 minutes. All participants had scored above clinical thresholds on the SCARED (≥25) or CES-DC (≥15) in Phase 1 and had identified academic pressure as their primary stressor in a brief survey exit item. The sample was intentionally designed to capture maximum variation in gender, school type, crisis severity, and treatment history. The final group included 17 female participants, 12 male participants, and one non-binary participant, with ages ranging from 15 to 18 years (M = 16.8). Nine participants attended selective high-performing schools, 14 attended comprehensive public schools, and seven were enrolled in private independent schools. Twelve participants had a history of non-suicidal self-injury, and 11 reported passive or active suicidal ideation during the Phase 1 survey. Eight participants had previously received psychological or psychiatric treatment, including two who had been hospitalized following a suicide attempt. Pseudonyms and minor

demographic modifications were applied to protect participant confidentiality. Table 5.1 provides a summary of the participants whose narratives are most prominently featured in this chapter.

5.2 Thematic Map and Themes

The iterative and inductive IPA process produced a coherent thematic structure. Following open coding of the interview transcripts, interpretive clustering based on shared experiential patterns generated four superordinate themes, all organized around the core construct of performative entrapment. The themes were The Precipice, which captured cognitive and existential constriction around academic metrics; Invisible Scars, which described the somatic, emotional, and relational erosion associated with sustained pressure; Seeking an Exit, which captured barriers to help-seeking and the turning points that facilitated connection; and Reclamation, which described the intentional broadening of identity and agency. Together, these themes illustrate a trajectory from constriction toward possible recovery. The thematic map is presented in Figure 5.1, and each theme is discussed below with supporting participant quotations.

5.3 Core Theme: Performative Entrapment

The term “performative entrapment” describes a condition in which an adolescent’s sense of self, perceived future viability, and existential meaning become dependent on academic performance. Participants described not only fear of failure, but also a profound sense of self-collapse when grades declined. Elena (17, female, selective school) stated, “It is as if I am on stage at all times. If I do not perform perfectly, the audience my parents, my teachers, even my friends will recognize that I am a fraud. In that case, I will cease to exist. There is no Elena without the A.” The metaphor of entrapment captures both the perceived inescapability of performance expectations and the suffocating nature of the experience. Adolescents often felt confined to a role they had not freely chosen, yet they remained convinced that their emotional and social survival depended on maintaining it. This core experience shaped each of the four superordinate themes that follow.

5.4 Sub-themes

5.4.1 The Precipice: "If I fail this, I am nothing."

Participants consistently articulated a progressive constriction of identity, in which academic achievement emerged as the singular gauge of self-worth. The initial years of high school often commenced with a sense of intrinsic curiosity; however, the accumulation of high-stakes assessments, comparative grading, and implicit parental messages gradually transformed the perception of academic work from a process of learning to one of validation. Aarav (17, male, selective school) illustrated this shift as follows:

"I used to love history. But now, every time I prepare for a test, my thoughts are not centered on the French Revolution. Instead, I focus on the notion that if I do not achieve a score above 90, I will jeopardize my rank. If I lose my rank, I will not gain admission to a prestigious college. If I do not gain admission to a reputable college, my life will essentially be over. It resembles walking a tightrope without a safety net. A single misstep could result in total failure."

The metaphor of the "precipice" emerged organically throughout the interviews, signifying a perceived abyss where failure was viewed not as a corrective experience but as an identity-erasing catastrophe. Neff's (2003) observation regarding the intensification of psychological distress due to contingent self-worth is vividly illustrated in these responses; these adolescents lacked a "non-academic self" that could mitigate the impact of setbacks.

The metaphorical precipice was sustained by a cognitive process termed "accommodative fusion": participants conflated their self-concept with external evaluations while concurrently adjusting their behaviors to meet the demands of the performance-driven environment. This fusion was further reinforced by familial and educational cultures. Mei (16, female, comprehensive school)

articulated this phenomenon: “My mother does not frequently express her affection. However, when I achieved the top position in my class, she remarked, ‘That is my good girl.’ Therefore, I learned that an A equates to love, while a B equates to nothing.” Such conditional regard functioned as a binding agent, anchoring the adolescent to the metaphorical precipice.

5.4.2 Invisible Scars: Somatic and Emotional Erosion

Long before a clinical crisis emerged, participants experienced a cumulative erosion of their physical and emotional well-being, which Sophia (16, female, private school) referred to as “invisible scars.” Somatic complaints were nearly universal among the participants. Fatima (15, female, comprehensive school) recounted, “Every Sunday evening, my stomach would hurt so badly that I could not eat. I did not inform my parents because they would dismiss it as mere nerves. However, it was not solely nerves; it felt as though my body was expressing what my words could not articulate.”

Sleep disturbances, tension headaches, and unexplained gastrointestinal distress formed a chronic physical backdrop against the academic demands faced by the adolescents. In the Youth Self-Report (YSR) Somatic Syndrome scale during Phase 1, Fatima’s T score was 71, placing her within the clinical range; her narrative gives meaning to that statistic.

Emotionally, participants frequently described a profound sense of diminished motivation and depersonalization. Aarav expressed, “I felt like a robot. I would sit down to study and not even be present. I would merely gaze at the page and experience nothing. The only emotion I felt was fear as the time approached for grades to be released.” This sentiment reflects the emotional exhaustion component of academic burnout (Salmela-Aro et al., 2009), yet the participants’ language extended further, suggesting a dissolution of selfhood. David (17, male, selective school) articulated, “I am a ghost in my own life.” The relational dimensions of this pressure led to isolation, as many

participants admitted to concealing their distress from parents and peers, performing "okay-ness" to avoid alarming others or appearing weak. The invisible scars therefore accumulated quietly, deepening their sense of entrapment.

5.4.3 Seeking an Exit: Help-Seeking Barriers and Turning Points

Given the intensity of participants' distress, early help-seeking might have been expected. However, participants often delayed seeking support until after a marked crisis, such as a panic attack during an examination, an episode of self-harm, or, in two cases, a suicide attempt. Three nested barriers contributed to this delay.

The first barrier was internalized shame and adherence to the "strong student" narrative. Leila (17, female, comprehensive school) explained, "I believed that if I sought help, it would indicate weakness." She further noted that "smart kids do not break down," emphasizing her identity as a scholarship student and her concern about disappointing others. This "strong student" narrative functioned as an identity imperative that discouraged vulnerability.

The second barrier involved the structural invisibility of available support. Although many schools employed counselors, adolescents often perceived them as academic advisors or disciplinary personnel. Elena commented, "The counseling office is adjacent to the principal's office; therefore, everyone assumes one is in trouble if they visit." As a result, formal mental health resources were sometimes interpreted as components of surveillance rather than as safe sources of support.

The third barrier was parental contingency. As illustrated by Mei's comments on conditional love, disclosing distress to parents risked reinforcing the conditional regard that contributed to participants' struggles. One participant described the fear of disappointing parents as "worse than

death," underscoring the relational dilemma faced by adolescents whose distress was closely tied to family expectations.

Despite these barriers, turning points occurred when a trusted adult, such as a coach, aunt, or teacher, communicated unconditional acceptance. For Sophia, her art teacher provided pivotal support: "Ms. K noticed I had not been drawing. She did not inquire about grades. She simply said, 'I miss your colors.' That was the first instance in which someone acknowledged me as a person and not merely as a report card." Such moments did not immediately resolve the crisis, but they disrupted the logic of entrapment by offering evidence that self-worth could exist independently of achievement.

5.4.4 Reclamation: Broadening the Self and Finding Agency

The final theme captures participants' trajectories toward recovery, which they described not as a return to a previous state but as a reclamation of aspects of themselves that had been neglected or abandoned. Reclamation involved two interrelated processes: identity decentering and agentic self-definition.

Identity decentering refers to the conscious expansion of self-concept beyond academic metrics. Leila described re-engaging with painting after a three-year hiatus: "I began painting again, a pursuit I had abandoned in tenth grade. It reminded me that I existed outside my GPA. I could create something beautiful that was not subject to grading." This re-embrace of a non-academic identity directly counteracted the identity constriction experienced at the precipice of crisis. Similarly, David began volunteering at a local animal shelter and reflected, "The dogs do not care about my SAT scores; they simply need my presence. That felt more authentic than any 'A' I ever received."

Five participants spontaneously identified mindfulness or self-compassion practices as tools that facilitated decentering. Mei explained, “I learned that the voice telling me I am worthless if I receive a ‘B’ is merely a voice; it is not the truth. I can observe it and let it go.” This cognitive defusion reflects principles associated with acceptance and commitment therapy (Hayes et al., 2006), although none of the participants had formally engaged with this therapeutic approach.

Agentic self-definition emerged as a motivational counterpart to identity decentering. Participants did not necessarily abandon academic aspirations; rather, they reframed academic effort as a voluntary pursuit instead of an externally imposed obligation. Aarav explained, “I continue to study diligently; however, I now do so because I possess a desire to learn, not out of fear. This is my life, not a performance for someone else.” This movement from externally controlled motivation toward autonomous motivation is consistent with self-determination theory, particularly its emphasis on autonomy (Ryan & Deci, 2017).

It is important to note that reclamation was fragile and ongoing. Many participants reported that examination periods still triggered earlier patterns of fear, self-criticism, and overidentification with achievement. Nevertheless, each participant described a growing capacity to notice these patterns before becoming fully overwhelmed. Sophia summarized this process as learning to “catch myself before I fall off the cliff.”

5.5 Summary

The qualitative analysis indicates that academic pressure-related crisis is, at its core, an existential and identity-based crisis. The central construct of performative entrapment captures the lived experience of adolescents whose sense of self becomes constrained by achievement metrics, such that any result below an expected standard may be interpreted as profound personal failure. The four sub-themes describe a progression from the precipice of cognitive fusion and catastrophic thinking, through the invisible scars of somatic and emotional erosion, into delayed and obstructed help-seeking, and finally toward possible reclamation through identity decentering and agentic motivation.

These findings align closely with the quantitative moderation results reported in Chapter 4, which showed that narrow identity breadth intensified the association between academic pressure and crisis severity. The qualitative findings add human depth to those statistical patterns by showing how identity narrowing is experienced, maintained, and, in some cases, interrupted. They also underscore the importance of interventions that address conditional shame, identity breadth, autonomy-supportive relationships, and accessible help-seeking pathways. These themes are further examined in the Delphi expert consensus presented in Chapter 6 and are integrated into the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering framework in Chapter 8.

Table 5.1

Profiles of Key Participants

Pseudonym	Age	Gender	School type	Phase 1 SCARED	Phase 1 CES-DC	Phase 1 CES-DC	Treatment history
Aarav	17	Male	Selective	44	38	Passive	None
Elena	17	Female	Selective	52	41	Active	Outpatient therapy
Sophia	16	Female	Private	38	29	None	None
Mei	16	Female	Comprehensive	46	35	Passive	None
Fatima	15	Female	Comprehensive	40	32	None	None
Leila	17	Female	Comprehensive	35	28	Passive	Self-harm support group
David	17	Male	Selective	49	45	Active	Psychiatric hospitalization

Note. SCARED = Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders (clinical cut-off ≥25); CES-DC = Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale for Children (clinical cut-off ≥15); SI = suicidal ideation; treatment history refers to professional mental health contact before interview.

Figure 5.1

Thematic Map of Qualitative Findings

Note. The thematic map centers on performative entrapment and links four superordinate themes: The Precipice, Invisible Scars, Seeking an Exit, and Reclamation. The map was derived from IPA of interviews with 30 adolescents experiencing academic pressure-related distress.

CHAPTER 6: DELPHI EXPERT CONSENSUS

The third and integrative phase of this mixed-methods investigation used a modified Delphi technique to establish international, multidisciplinary consensus on a multi-tiered intervention framework for adolescent academic pressure-related crises. Whereas Phases 1 and 2 examined quantitative prevalence, risk and protective factors, and adolescents' lived experiences of performative entrapment, Phase 3 addressed the final research sub-question: What essential components, delivery methods, and contextual adaptations should be included in a school-based multi-tiered intervention for academic pressure-related crises, as agreed upon by an international panel of experts? This chapter describes the expert panel, the three-round modified Delphi procedure, the generation and refinement of intervention items, the consensus outcomes, and the resulting multi-tiered framework.

6.1 Panel Composition and Recruitment

A purposive maximum variation sampling strategy was used to assemble a heterogeneous expert panel capable of providing clinical, educational, research, and policy perspectives. Inclusion criteria required panelists to have: (a) a terminal degree, such as PhD, EdD, PsyD, MD, or equivalent, in clinical psychology, school psychology, child psychiatry, education, public policy, or a related field; (b) at least ten years of professional experience directly related to adolescent mental health or education; and (c) a documented record of peer-reviewed publication, clinical leadership, educational leadership, or policy contribution in academic stress, school-based intervention, adolescent mental health, or crisis prevention.

Twenty-five experts from 12 countries across four continents consented to participate. The countries represented were Australia, Canada, Chile, Finland, India, Japan, Kenya, Singapore, South Korea, Sweden, the United Kingdom, and the United States. The panel included six clinical child psychologists, seven school counselors or school psychologists, five educational researchers,

three child and adolescent psychiatrists, and four policy advisors. Gender representation was balanced, with 13 female and 12 male panelists. The mean duration of professional experience was 18.4 years (SD = 7.2). All panelists completed all three rounds, resulting in a 100% retention rate, which is a methodological strength in Delphi research when participant engagement is effectively maintained (Keeney et al., 2011).

Tier	Intervention Component	Importance M (IQR)	Feasibility M (IQR)
Tier 1	Stress Literacy Curriculum	8.7 (8–9)	8.4 (7–9)
	Identity Broadening Modules	8.5 (7–9)	7.8 (7–9)
	Teacher Autonomy Support Training	8.9 (8–9)	7.2 (6–8)
	Whole-School Mindfulness Moment	7.9 (7–9)	8.8 (8–9)
	Non-Competitive Showcases/Failure-Resilience Assemblies	8.1 (7–9)	7.9 (7–9)
Tier 2	Self-Compassion for Academic Resilience (SCAR)	8.4 (8–9)	7.9 (7–8)
	CBT-Based Test Anxiety Group (CBTTAG)	8.6 (8–9)	8.1 (7–9)
	Peer Support Circles	8.1 (7–9)	7.5 (6–8)
Tier 3	Universal Screening Protocol	8.8 (8–9)	7.3 (6–8)
	Crisis Response Team (CRT)	9.0 (9–9)	8.2 (7–9)
	Safety Planning Intervention	8.9 (8–9)	7.1 (6–8)
	Lethal Means Counseling	8.9 (8–9)	7.1 (6–8)
	Warm-Handoff Referral Pathway	9.1 (9–9)	7.8 (7–9)
Tier 4	Limit High-Stakes Testing Frequency	8.7 (8–9)	4.1 (3–6)
	Homework Quantity Guidelines	8.3 (7–9)	5.2 (4–7)
	Parent Education Campaigns	8.6 (8–9)	6.8 (5–8)
	Student Well-Being in School Accountability	8.4 (7–9)	5.5 (4–7)

Note. Ratings on 9-point scales (1 = strong disagreement; 9 = strong agreement). IQR = interquartile range. Consensus defined as ≥70% of ratings in 7–9 range and IQR ≤ 2. N = 25 experts.

6.2 Procedure of the Modified Delphi

The modified Delphi process took place over 14 weeks and consisted of three structured rounds administered through Qualtrics. The modification involved providing panelists in Round 1 with a synthesis of preliminary findings from Phases 1 and 2, including prevalence rates, identified protective factors such as autonomy support and identity breadth, and the core construct of performative entrapment. This evidence-informed stimulus grounded expert responses in the empirical and phenomenological findings of the dissertation and is consistent with the modified Delphi method's capacity to accelerate consensus development (Hasson et al., 2000). Ethical approval was obtained from the University Institutional Review Board, and all panelists provided informed consent.

Round 1, conducted during Weeks 1–3, consisted of a qualitative open-ended questionnaire. Panelists responded to four primary questions: (1) What universal Tier 1 strategies should secondary schools adopt to prevent academic pressure-related crises? (2) What targeted Tier 2 interventions should be offered to at-risk students? (3) What indicated Tier 3 crisis-response protocols are essential? and (4) What systemic or policy-level Tier 4 changes are necessary to reduce the underlying sources of academic pressure? Panelists were asked to specify core components, delivery modes, responsible personnel, and essential cultural adaptations for each tier. Round 2, conducted during Weeks 5–7, converted the Round 1 responses into discrete, clear, and measurable items for evaluation. Panelists rated each item using two 9-point Likert scales: importance, ranging from 1 = not at all important to 9 = extremely important, and feasibility, ranging from 1 = not at all feasible to 9 = highly feasible for implementation in typical secondary school environments. An open-text field was provided for additional comments on each item.

Round 3, conducted during Weeks 10–12, re-presented items that had not reached consensus in Round 2, together with controlled feedback. Feedback included the group median, interquartile range (IQR), each panelist's previous Round 2 rating, and a summary of anonymized qualitative comments. This procedure allowed panelists to reconsider their ratings in light of group-level information while preserving anonymity.

Consensus was defined a priori as at least 70% of ratings falling within the 7–9 range for consensus in favor of importance or feasibility, or within the 1–3 range for consensus against, together with an IQR of 2 or below. This criterion is consistent with common recommendations for Delphi studies in health-related research (von der Gracht, 2012).

6.3 Round 1: Content Analysis and Item Generation

Round 1 generated 87 single-spaced pages of qualitative text. Two members of the research team independently conducted inductive thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) approach, which included familiarization, initial coding, categorization, review, and item definition. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion, with a third coder available for consultation when needed. The analysis produced 187 discrete intervention items organized across four tiers. After exact duplicates were removed and conceptually similar items were merged, such as "mindfulness training" and "daily five-minute breathing" into "whole-school mindfulness moment," a refined set of 124 items advanced to Round 2. The merging process was audited by an independent colleague not directly involved in the study to enhance transparency.

Illustrative Round 1 statements that contributed to item generation included: "Every school should teach students about the physiology of stress and normalize its experience" (Tier 1); "Teachers need structured training to shift from controlling language to choices and rationales" (Tier 1); "At-

risk students should be offered a group program focused on self-compassion rather than only study skills" (Tier 2); and "Every school must have a written crisis protocol that includes parent contact and referral to child psychiatry" (Tier 3). Systemic recommendations included limiting the number of high-stakes standardized tests and developing parent education campaigns that address conditional regard and the value of effort beyond grades.

6.4 Rounds 2 and 3: Consensus Outcomes

In Round 2, 97 of the 124 items (78.2%) achieved consensus regarding importance, while 68 items (54.8%) reached consensus on feasibility. As anticipated, Tier 4 systemic items generally received high ratings for importance but lower ratings for feasibility, reflecting experts' recognition of political, structural, and resource constraints. The remaining 27 items that did not achieve consensus on either dimension proceeded to Round 3 with controlled feedback. Following Round 3, an additional 11 items reached consensus on importance and 14 reached consensus on feasibility, resulting in 108 items rated as high in importance and 82 items rated as high in feasibility. No items met criteria for consensus against inclusion, indicating that items not retained were generally viewed as moderately relevant rather than inappropriate or harmful.

Stability between rounds was assessed using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests comparing Round 2 and Round 3 ratings for the 27 re-evaluated items. The results indicated significantly smaller changes in ratings by Round 3, $z = -3.27$, $p = .001$, suggesting convergence toward stability and supporting the adequacy of the three-round Delphi process (Keeney et al., 2011).

6.5 Final Multi-Tiered Intervention Components

To create a concise and implementable framework, the research team conducted an additional screening of the 108 high-importance items for redundancy and conceptual overlap. A component

was retained if it met three criteria: (a) it achieved high consensus on importance, (b) it represented a distinct intervention function rather than a variation of another item, and (c) it was explicitly identified by at least two panelists as essential in Round 1. This process produced a final set of 17 core components distributed across four tiers. Table 6.1 presents each component, its corresponding tier, and the Round 3 consensus statistics for importance and feasibility.

Tier 1: Universal Prevention for All Students

The panel strongly endorsed a whole-school approach designed to normalize academic stress, teach adaptive coping strategies, and broaden adolescent identity beyond academic performance. Five Tier 1 components were identified.

1. **Stress Literacy Curriculum:** A ten-session teacher-delivered curriculum addressing the psychophysiology of stress, cognitive reframing, growth mindset, and the distinction between healthy challenge and harmful pressure. Panelists emphasized embedding the curriculum within existing health or advisory periods to enhance feasibility (M importance = 8.7; M feasibility = 8.4).
2. **Identity-Broadening Modules:** Project-based exploration of diverse identities, including artistic, athletic, vocational, community-oriented, and relational domains, culminating in a "Portfolio of Me." Informed by the Phase 2 construct of performative entrapment, panelists viewed this component as a direct countermeasure to identity constriction (M importance = 8.5; M feasibility = 7.8).
3. **Teacher Autonomy-Support Training:** A two-day experiential workshop with monthly coaching sessions focused on invitational language, meaningful rationales, acknowledgement of negative emotions, and reduction of controlling feedback. Panelists

described this training as essential because it changes the daily microsystem of schooling (M importance = 8.9; M feasibility = 7.2).

4. **Whole-School Mindfulness Moment:** A daily five-minute guided breathing or body-scan exercise implemented during homeroom or after lunch. Panelists valued the simplicity and low resource requirements of this practice (M importance = 7.9; M feasibility = 8.8).
5. **Non-Competitive Showcases and Failure-Resilience Assemblies:** Regular school events celebrating effort, improvement, creative risk-taking, and adult narratives of failure and recovery. This component targets the school's cultural norms around achievement and success (M importance = 8.1; M feasibility = 7.9).

Tier 2: Targeted Group Interventions for At-Risk Students

Tier 2 components are intended for students experiencing elevated symptoms related to academic pressure but not currently in acute crisis. Panelists endorsed small-group interventions that directly address self-criticism, test anxiety, and isolation.

6. **Self-Compassion for Academic Resilience (SCAR):** An eight-session manualized group program based on Neff's (2003) Mindful Self-Compassion framework and adapted for academic contexts. Sessions address self-kindness, common humanity, and mindful responses to academic setbacks (M importance = 8.4; M feasibility = 7.9).
7. **CBT-Based Test Anxiety Group (CBT-TAG):** A ten-session program integrating cognitive restructuring, graded exposure to evaluative situations, and relaxation techniques. Panelists emphasized the evidence base supporting CBT for test anxiety (von der Embse et al., 2018) (M importance = 8.6; M feasibility = 8.1).
8. **Peer Support Circles:** Semi-structured, facilitated groups of six to eight students that meet weekly to share experiences without academic competition. Facilitation by a trained school psychologist is required to maintain safety and prevent comparison-based or co-ruminative dynamics (M importance = 8.1; M feasibility = 7.5).

Tier 3: Indicated Crisis Intervention for Students in Active Crisis

For students experiencing suicidal ideation, self-harm, severe panic, or other acute crisis presentations, panelists emphasized that schools require a formal crisis-response infrastructure rather than ad hoc responses.

9. **Universal Screening Protocol:** Biannual administration of brief validated instruments, such as the Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) and the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 modified for adolescents (PHQ-9A), followed by immediate triage. Panelists emphasized that screening must be accompanied by guaranteed follow-up resources to meet ethical standards (M importance = 8.8; M feasibility = 7.3). Feasibility concerns primarily related to staffing and parental consent logistics.
10. **Crisis Response Team (CRT):** A standing school-based team comprising the counselor, nurse, administrator, and designated safeguarding lead, all trained in Psychological First Aid and safety planning (Stanley & Brown, 2012). This team should convene within 24 hours following a positive crisis screening (M importance = 9.0; M feasibility = 8.2).
11. **Safety Planning Intervention:** Collaborative creation of a personalized safety plan that identifies warning signs, internal coping strategies, social supports, professional contacts, and steps for reducing access to lethal means. Panelists supported the Stanley-Brown model because of its brevity, evidence base, and appropriateness for adolescents (M importance = 8.9; M feasibility = 7.1).
12. **Lethal Means Counseling:** For families of adolescents at risk, this component involves a structured conversation about reducing access to lethal means, conducted by the school psychologist or community liaison. Panelists emphasized the importance of cultural sensitivity because family responses to this topic may vary substantially (M importance = 8.9; M feasibility = 7.1).

13. **Immediate Referral Pathway with Warm Handoff:** A formal memorandum of understanding with community mental health and child psychiatry services to support an appointment within 48 hours, with a school staff member facilitating the first contact when needed (M importance = 9.1; M feasibility = 7.8).

Tier 4: Systemic Advocacy and Policy Recommendations

Tier 4 components are designed to address the macrosystemic conditions that contribute to harmful academic pressure. Although all items within this tier received high importance consensus, feasibility ratings were lower than those for Tiers 1 and 2, $t(24) = 6.12$, $p < .001$, reflecting panelists' recognition of political and institutional barriers.

14. **Limit High-Stakes Standardized Testing:** Implement national or state policies that restrict the number of high-stakes examinations conducted annually and prevent any single examination from serving as the sole criterion for major educational transitions (M importance = 8.7; M feasibility = 4.1).
15. **Homework Quantity Guidelines:** Enforce developmentally appropriate limits on homework duration, such as the "10-minute rule" per grade level (Cooper, 2001), while ensuring coordinated teacher planning to prevent cumulative overload (M importance = 8.3; M feasibility = 5.2).
16. **Parent Education Campaigns:** Launch public-facing campaigns to challenge the "college at all costs" narrative, educate parents about the harmful effects of conditional regard, and promote diverse pathways to success. Panelists recommended the use of social media and community influencers to enhance reach and credibility (M importance = 8.6; M feasibility = 6.8).
17. **Include Student Well-Being in School Accountability:** Implement policy mandates requiring schools to report and be evaluated on student well-being indicators alongside

academic outcomes, with support and improvement planning for schools reporting persistently low well-being scores (M importance = 8.4; M feasibility = 5.5).

Cultural Adaptation as a Cross-Cutting Principle

Across all tiers, the panel emphasized the need to culturally adapt intervention content, including identity-broadening domains and the language of autonomy support. In collectivist contexts, identity breadth may include familial and community roles, while autonomy-supportive communication may be framed as respectful guidance that preserves relational harmony. The panel recommended a participatory adaptation process at each implementation site, involving students, families, teachers, and community representatives in reviewing language, examples, and delivery methods.

6.6 Summary of Delphi Consensus

The three-round modified Delphi process produced a robust international and multidisciplinary consensus on a 17-component, four-tier intervention framework for academic pressure-related crises. The high importance ratings indicate that the components are aligned with empirical evidence, adolescent lived experience, and professional judgment. Although feasibility ratings for systemic Tier 4 items were lower than those for school-based components, these ratings highlight the need for policy advocacy rather than reducing the relevance of systemic reform. The Delphi panel also integrated findings from the quantitative and qualitative phases by endorsing components that address academic pressure, maladaptive perfectionism, identity narrowing, autonomy support, crisis response, and cultural adaptation. These consensus findings directly inform the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering framework presented in Chapter 8.

Table 6.1

Final Multi-Tiered Intervention Components with Round 3 Consensus Ratings

Tier	Component	Importance *M* (IQR)	Feasibility *M* (IQR)
Tier 1	Stress Literacy Curriculum	8.7 (8–9)	8.4 (7–9)
	Identity-Broadening Modules	8.5 (7–9)	7.8 (7–9)
	Teacher Autonomy-Support Training	8.9 (8–9)	7.2 (6–8)
	Whole-School Mindfulness Moment	7.9 (7–9)	8.8 (8–9)
	Non-Competitive Showcases and Failure-Resilience Assemblies	8.1 (7–9)	7.9 (7–9)
Tier 2	Self-Compassion for Academic Resilience (SCAR)	8.4 (8–9)	7.9 (7–8)
	CBT-Based Test Anxiety Group (CBT-TAG)	8.6 (8–9)	8.1 (7–9)
	Peer Support Circles	8.1 (7–9)	7.5 (6–8)
Tier 3	Universal Screening Protocol	8.8 (8–9)	7.3 (6–8)
	Crisis Response Team (CRT)	9.0 (9–9)	8.2 (7–9)
	Safety Planning Intervention	8.9 (8–9)	7.1 (6–8)
	Lethal Means Counseling	8.9 (8–9)	7.1 (6–8)
	Warm-Handoff Referral Pathway	9.1 (9–9)	7.8 (7–9)
Tier 4	Limit High-Stakes Testing Frequency	8.7 (8–9)	4.1 (3–6)
	Homework Quantity Guidelines	8.3 (7–9)	5.2 (4–7)
	Parent Education Campaigns	8.6 (8–9)	6.8 (5–8)
	Student Well-Being in School Accountability	8.4 (7–9)	5.5 (4–7)

Note. Ratings were made on 9-point scales, with 1 indicating strong disagreement and 9 indicating strong agreement. IQR = interquartile range. Consensus was defined as at least 70% of ratings in the 7–9 range and IQR ≤2. N = 25 experts.

CHAPTER 7: INTEGRATED DISCUSSION

This chapter synthesizes findings from the three phases of the mixed-methods investigation. The quantitative results presented in Chapter 4 established the prevalence of crisis symptoms and identified the protective roles of teacher autonomy support and non-academic identity breadth. The qualitative findings in Chapter 5 provided insight into adolescents' lived experiences of performative entrapment, including the existential constriction of self-worth around academic performance and the pathways through which reclamation became possible. The Delphi consensus presented in Chapter 6 generated expert endorsement for a 17-component, four-tiered intervention model. This chapter integrates these findings through a joint display matrix, interprets areas of convergence through the dissertation's theoretical framework, elaborates the significance of identity breadth and performative entrapment, identifies points of convergence and divergence, and explains implications for the subsequent multi-tiered ERID framework. The aim is to demonstrate how quantitative patterns, phenomenological insights, and expert consensus collectively generate an empirically grounded and humanly responsive understanding of academic pressure-related crises.

7.1 Integration Through a Joint Display

The integration of mixed-methods findings requires a deliberate weaving of data strands to generate meta-inferences that extend beyond the insights offered by any single phase (Fetters et al., 2013). Table 7.1 presents a joint display aligning selected quantitative findings, qualitative themes, Delphi consensus items, and integrated interpretations. This display serves as both a visual and conceptual anchor for the discussion that follows. The predominant pattern is one of triangulated convergence: similar protective mechanisms, etiological processes, and intervention targets emerged across multiple data sources, thereby strengthening confidence in their validity and practical significance.

7.2 Interpretation Through the Theoretical Framework

The dissertation is grounded in an integration of Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory, the diathesis-stress model, and Self-Determination Theory (SDT). Considered together, these frameworks clarify the mechanisms underlying academic pressure-related crises and identify the levels at which intervention is required.

7.2.1 Ecological Systems Lens

The multi-level nature of academic pressure was evident across all three research phases. At the macrosystem level, both participants and experts identified achievement culture, credential inflation, and high-stakes testing policies as pervasive forces shaping the adolescent environment (Baker, 2014; Ball, 2012). The Delphi panel's Tier 4 recommendations, including limits on testing, homework guidelines, parent education campaigns, and accountability for students' well-being, directly addressed these macrosystemic conditions, although their lower feasibility ratings reflected the political and institutional constraints associated with systemic reform. At the exosystem level, school district policies concerning ranking and streaming emerged as pressure drivers, while at the microsystem level, parental conditional regard and controlling teacher language constituted the immediate relational context of threat. The quantitative finding that students attending selective schools reported higher anxiety rates is consistent with the ecological claim that behavioral settings can amplify risk. Qualitative narratives added depth to this interpretation; for example, Sophia's account of an art teacher who noticed her as a person rather than as a report card illustrated how a single supportive adult within the microsystem can disrupt the logic of entrapment. The multi-tiered intervention framework generated through the Delphi process therefore represents an ecological design because it targets the macrosystem, school and family microsystems, and individual coping and identity processes simultaneously.

7.2.2 Diathesis-Stress Model

The diathesis-stress model proposes that psychological disorders may emerge when pre-existing vulnerabilities are activated by environmental stressors (Monroe & Simons, 1991). The quantitative mediation analysis identified maladaptive perfectionism, particularly concern over mistakes, as a significant vulnerability within this pathway. Academic pressure activated this cognitive style, which in turn predicted crisis severity. The qualitative findings clarified how this mechanism was experienced subjectively: performative entrapment represented the lived experience of perfectionistic vulnerability intensified by chronic academic stress. Adolescents with high self-criticism and contingent self-worth, when situated within educational environments that equated academic performance with personal value, experienced a damaging fusion between identity and achievement metrics. Conversely, protective factors such as autonomy support, identity breadth, trusted adult relationships, and re-engagement with non-graded activities functioned as buffers that reduced the likelihood that academic stress would activate vulnerability into crisis.

7.2.3 Self-Determination Theory

Self-Determination Theory offers a motivational explanation for the crises identified in this dissertation. Ryan and Deci (2017) argue that psychological well-being depends on the satisfaction of autonomy, competence, and relatedness. The study findings indicate that academic pressure can undermine all three needs. Autonomy is weakened through controlling instruction and parental conditional regard; competence becomes fragile when grading and ranking continuously expose perceived inadequacy; and relatedness is threatened when approval from adults and peers becomes contingent on performance. The quantitative need frustration scores were strongly associated with symptom indices, while the qualitative theme of The Precipice provided a vivid account of how need thwarting is experienced. The moderation analyses further showed that teacher autonomy support weakened the association between academic pressure and crisis severity, suggesting that

restoring even one core psychological need may reduce the harmful impact of pressure. The reclamation narratives also reflected SDT's claim that autonomous motivation and authentic self-functioning become possible when adolescents reconnect with non-academic sources of competence, autonomy, and relatedness.

7.3 The Central Role of Identity Breadth and Performative Entrapment

A major contribution of this dissertation is the identification of identity breadth as a protective factor in academic pressure-related crisis. Quantitative findings indicated that non-academic identity breadth buffered the pressure crisis relationship: at higher levels of identity breadth, the impact of academic pressure on crisis severity was substantially reduced. Qualitative findings showed that participants experienced crisis as a gradual suppression of non-academic dimensions of the self, while recovery involved rediscovering these neglected aspects. The Delphi panel's endorsement of identity-broadening modules as a Tier 1 component translated this convergent evidence into a concrete intervention priority.

This convergence has important theoretical implications. It extends Linville's (1987) self-complexity model into the context of adolescent educational stress by suggesting that a rich and differentiated self-structure can prevent setbacks in one domain from overwhelming the entire self-concept. It also reframes school-based mental health intervention as more than symptom reduction; identity development becomes a preventive and therapeutic objective. Performative entrapment provides phenomenological depth to the statistical interaction by showing that identity narrowing is not merely a risk marker but a lived condition in which performance logic appropriates the adolescent's sense of self.

Importantly, the theme of reclamation indicates that identity breadth can be rebuilt. Adolescents can be supported to broaden their self-definition after crisis by reconnecting with non-academic interests, relationships, values, and roles. Interventions that teach adolescents that their identities extend beyond academic performance, while also providing tangible opportunities to explore those identities, address the roots of academic pressure-related crisis rather than merely managing its symptoms.

7.4 Points of Convergence Across Phases

Convergence across the three phases occurred along several dimensions. First, autonomy-supportive teaching emerged as a robust protective factor in the quantitative moderation analysis, appeared as a pivotal turning point in several qualitative narratives, and was identified as the highest-rated Tier 1 component in the Delphi consensus process (M importance = 8.9). The convergence across all three strands indicates that transforming the relational climate of the classroom is an essential strategy for reducing the mental health impact of academic pressure. The qualitative narratives added important nuance: autonomy support was most powerful when students perceived it as unconditional and not dependent on academic performance. This finding is consistent with Self-Determination Theory, which distinguishes autonomy support from permissiveness and emphasizes the importance of supporting the student's authentic self.

Second, self-compassion emerged as a relevant intervention target within the qualitative theme of reclamation, as several participants spontaneously recognized the importance of self-kindness and cognitive defusion. This theme was reinforced by the Delphi panel's endorsement of Self-Compassion for Academic Resilience (SCAR) and by indirect quantitative evidence indicating that identity breadth and maladaptive perfectionism both conceptually responsive to self-compassion practices—were central variables in the pressure crisis pathway (Neff, 2003). Although self-

compassion was not directly measured in the quantitative phase, the triangulation of findings supports its inclusion within the intervention framework.

Third, gender-related patterns were evident across the findings. Quantitative results showed higher levels of anxiety and suicidal ideation among female participants. This pattern was reflected in the qualitative sample, which included a predominance of female participants among high-distress interviewees, and was also noted in Delphi panel comments concerning gender-specific pressures. The findings suggest that although students of all genders may experience academic pressure-related distress, some female students may encounter additional socially prescribed expectations related to perfectionism, caregiving roles, and self-worth, which can intensify academic pressure.

7.4.1 Detailed Hypothesis-by-Hypothesis Evaluation

It is useful to revisit each a priori hypothesis in light of the integrated findings, evaluate the degree of empirical support, and identify relevant nuances or unexpected patterns.

Hypothesis 1. It was hypothesized that academic pressure would demonstrate a significant positive association with internalizing symptoms and suicidal ideation after controlling for demographic covariates. The quantitative results strongly supported this hypothesis: academic pressure, measured by the APSA, accounted for 18.4% of unique variance in composite crisis severity beyond demographic variables. The qualitative data reinforced this finding, as every participant described academic pressure as a dominant and consuming stressor. The Delphi panel also identified academic pressure as a primary upstream determinant of adolescent crisis, thereby affirming the ecological validity of the quantitative association. No major divergences were observed, indicating a robust and triangulated conclusion.

Hypothesis 2. It was hypothesized that maladaptive perfectionism would partially mediate the relationship between academic pressure and crisis symptoms, while parental conditional regard would strengthen this relationship. The structural equation modeling analysis supported the mediation component: concern over mistakes partially mediated the pressure crisis pathway, with the indirect effect accounting for approximately 34% of the total effect. However, parental conditional regard was not directly tested in the quantitative model because it was not assessed with a validated scale. The qualitative data nevertheless provided strong convergent evidence, as participants repeatedly described conditional parental approval as a mechanism that intensified performative entrapment. Future research should directly test the interaction among academic pressure, perfectionism, and parental conditional regard.

Hypothesis 3. It was hypothesized that teacher autonomy support and broader non-academic identity breadth would buffer the effects of academic pressure, with the highest symptom levels expected among students reporting high pressure, low autonomy support, and narrow identity breadth. This hypothesis received strong support. Both interaction terms were statistically significant in the hierarchical regression analysis. Qualitative narratives provided vivid illustrations of these buffering effects: an autonomy-supportive teacher could interrupt the logic of entrapment, and re-engagement with non-academic identities marked the process of reclamation. The Delphi panel's prioritization of teacher autonomy-support training and identity-broadening modules as Tier 1 components further translated this finding into practical consensus. The convergence across methodologies makes this one of the study's most actionable findings.

7.4.2 Comparison with Prior Research

The prevalence rates identified in this study are broadly consistent with, and in some respects exceed, those documented in the existing literature. The clinical anxiety rate of 34.2% is higher than the pooled prevalence of 25% reported in Liu et al.'s (2023) meta-analysis. This difference

may reflect the timing of data collection during the examination preparation period and the inclusion of selective high-performing schools. The passive suicidal ideation rate of 12.1% is also concerning, particularly when considered alongside the 4.2% rate of active ideation with a plan. The identification of identity breadth as a protective factor extends Linville's (1987) self-complexity theory into the adolescent educational context, confirming a theoretical prediction that has received limited empirical attention in school mental health research.

The qualitative construct of performative entrapment resonates with, yet remains distinct from, existing concepts. It overlaps with Kasser and Ryan's (1996) concept of controlled motivation, Beck's (1976) cognitive theory of depression, and Ball's (2012) sociological account of performativity. However, performative entrapment offers a phenomenologically grounded description of the existential state experienced by adolescents in academic crisis. It captures not only anxiety about performance but also the sense that the self has become reducible to grades, rankings, or admissions outcomes. This conceptualization supports the relevance of third-wave and mindfulness-informed approaches, including self-compassion and cognitive defusion, as reflected in the Delphi panel's endorsement of SCAR.

7.4.3 The Informal Formal Tension in Depth

A significant divergence, further discussed in Section 7.5, concerns the gap between the informal relational environments that adolescents described as pivotal to recovery and the formal, manualized interventions prioritized by the Delphi panel. This tension has important implications for the implementation of the ERID framework.

Qualitative reclamation narratives consistently located recovery in contexts not formally designated as mental health resources, such as art rooms, animal shelters, basketball courts,

volunteer programs, and trusted relationships with non-clinical adults. These environments offered opportunities for identity expansion, autonomy, competence, and relatedness without clinical terminology, diagnostic labels, or the power dynamics of therapy. In this sense, they functioned as naturalistic sites for satisfying basic psychological needs. The Delphi panel, composed largely of clinicians, researchers, and policy experts, may have emphasized manualized programs with established evidence bases, thereby potentially underrepresenting the value of informal school and community resources.

This tension should not be resolved through a binary choice between formal and informal support. Rather, the ERID framework should explicitly recognize and safeguard the informal healing ecology of schools while also providing formal clinical pathways for adolescents in acute need. Practically, this requires schools to audit existing informal resources, including clubs, sports teams, arts programs, mentoring relationships, and community partnerships, and to ensure that they are adequately staffed, accessible, and valued. These spaces should be regarded as legitimate components of Tier 1 and Tier 2 infrastructure rather than optional enrichment activities that are vulnerable to removal during budget constraints. Future Delphi studies may also benefit from including experts in youth work, community arts, sport coaching, and adolescent peer mentoring to capture these informal protective contexts more fully.

7.5 Points of Divergence and Tension

Mixed-methods research derives its strength not only from convergence but also from the identification of tensions that challenge overly simple conclusions. Two divergences warrant particular consideration.

First, a gap emerged between the informal relational healing pathways described in the qualitative reclamation narratives and the formal, professionally delivered interventions prioritized in the

Delphi consensus. Participants found solace in environments such as art rooms, animal shelters, and athletic spaces, which were not structured as mental health interventions but nevertheless functioned as contexts for broadening identity and restoring competence. By contrast, the Delphi panel, composed largely of clinicians and researchers, prioritized manualized programs such as SCAR and CBT-TAG, as well as formal school mental health roles. This divergence reflects a broader tension within prevention science between manualized treatment fidelity and community-based, naturalistic healing resources (Atkins et al., 2017). A genuinely ecological framework must therefore intentionally include and protect informal spaces and relationships, such as arts programs, clubs, mentoring relationships, and supportive coaches, as legitimate components of the school mental health ecosystem rather than treating them as adjuncts to clinical programs.

Second, a significant feasibility gap was observed with respect to Tier 4 systemic changes. The Delphi panel rated policies aimed at limiting high-stakes testing and incorporating well-being into school accountability as highly important (M = 8.4–8.7), but only marginally feasible. This divergence does not diminish the value of systemic reform; rather, it reveals the political and institutional barriers that often prevent educational systems from addressing the structural sources of academic pressure. The implication is that school-based interventions must proceed alongside long-term advocacy, coalition-building, and policy engagement. Without such systemic work, individual- and school-level interventions may reduce symptoms without altering the conditions that generate distress.

7.6 Implications for Multi-Tiered Intervention

The integrated findings directly inform the design principles of the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering (ERID) framework, which is elaborated in Chapter 8. Several implications emerge across the four intervention tiers.

At Tier 1, universal prevention must extend beyond mental health literacy to actively cultivate multidimensional identity and autonomy-supportive relationships. The stress literacy curriculum endorsed by the Delphi panel corresponds with the quantitative finding that many adolescents require a framework for distinguishing healthy challenge from harmful pressure. Identity-broadening modules directly respond to the identity narrowing identified in both the quantitative and qualitative phases. Teacher autonomy-support training represents a particularly important Tier 1 investment because it modifies the relational climate experienced by the entire student body. Whole-school rituals, including mindfulness practices and failure-resilience assemblies, may further communicate that academic struggle is a normal and manageable part of learning rather than evidence of personal inadequacy.

At Tier 2, targeted interventions such as SCAR and CBT-TAG address perfectionism, self-criticism, and test anxiety, which were identified as central mechanisms in the quantitative and qualitative findings. These programs provide a structured group context that can also support relatedness among students who may otherwise experience academic pressure in isolation. However, the tension between manualized and informal support suggests that Tier 2 implementation should retain flexibility. For example, school psychologists may facilitate structured groups while also supporting peer mentoring, identity-affirming clubs, and other naturalistic pathways that help students rebuild non-academic sources of meaning.

At Tier 3, the consensus around universal screening, crisis response teams, safety planning, and warm-handoff referral pathways must be interpreted alongside the qualitative finding that help-seeking is often delayed by shame and structural invisibility. Screening alone is insufficient unless adolescents trust that disclosure will lead to supportive, non-punitive, and competent responses. The Crisis Response Team and Safety Planning Intervention provide an immediate clinical safety

net, while warm handoffs address the risk that adolescents may fall through gaps between school-based identification and community mental health care.

At Tier 4, systemic advocacy must remain central despite lower feasibility ratings. The low feasibility of policy changes does not reduce their importance; instead, it underscores the need for strategic partnerships with parent organizations, teacher groups, youth advocates, researchers, and policymakers. The integrated data provide a compelling basis for such advocacy by combining prevalence evidence, adolescent lived experience, and expert consensus. This tier is essential because the broader culture of high-stakes achievement continues to shape the conditions under which adolescent crises emerge.

7.7 Conclusion of Integrated Discussion

This integrated chapter demonstrates that the three phases of the study converge into a coherent and practically actionable understanding of academic pressure-related crises. The quantitative identification of identity breadth and teacher autonomy support as protective factors, the qualitative account of performative entrapment and reclamation, and the Delphi consensus on multi-tiered intervention components all point toward a common intervention logic: adolescents must be supported to preserve and broaden the self within educational environments that often reduce identity to performance. The Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering framework developed in Chapter 8 translates this integrated logic into a theoretically grounded, empirically supported, and culturally adaptable model for prevention, intervention, crisis response, and systemic advocacy.

Table 7.1

Joint Display of Integrated Findings

Domain	Quantitative Finding (Ch. 4)	Qualitative Theme (Ch. 5)	Delphi Consensus (Ch. 6)	Integrated Meta-Inference
Protective role of non-academic self	Identity breadth moderated pressure–crisis link (β = −.10, p = .011)	"Reclamation" through re-embracing non-academic activities	Identity-broadening modules (M importance = 8.5)	A broad, multidimensional identity is a robust buffer; interventions must deliberately scaffold non-academic selves.
Autonomy-supportive teaching	Teacher autonomy support reduced the pressure–crisis slope (β = −.12, p = .004)	Turning point when a teacher saw the person not the grade; unconditional acceptance	Teacher autonomy-support training (M importance = 8.9)	Changing the relational climate of the classroom is a powerful microsystem-level intervention.
Mediation through perfectionism	Perfectionism partially mediated pressure–crisis (indirect β = .14, 95% CI [.09, .19])	"The Precipice" if I fail, I am nothing; catastrophic fusion of self and grade	CBT-based test anxiety group (M = 8.6), SCAR (M = 8.4)	Perfectionistic cognition is a key mechanism; Tier 2 groups must target self-criticism and contingency of worth.
Delayed help-seeking and structural barriers	—	"Seeking an Exit"—shame, counsellor as academic advisor, no safe door	Crisis Response Team (M = 9.0); warm handoff (M = 9.1)	Help-seeking is structurally blocked; schools must create trusted, non-stigmatizing crisis access points.
Systemic sources of pressure	Selective school students had higher anxiety (38.7% vs. 29.6%)	Participants named testing and ranking as the "system that sets us up to break"	Limit high-stakes testing	Evidence of harm is robust, yet political

Domain	Quantitative Finding (Ch. 4)	Qualitative Theme (Ch. 5)	Delphi Consensus (Ch. 6)	Integrated Meta-Inference
			(M importance = 8.7; feasibility = 4.1)	feasibility lags; advocacy must bridge the gap.

Note. All quantitative coefficients are standardized and significant at $p < .05$. Delphi importance ratings are reported on a 9-point scale.

CHAPTER 8: THE ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE AND IDENTITY DECENTERING (ERID) FRAMEWORK

The integrated findings of this dissertation, comprising the quantitative prevalence and moderation results, the qualitative construct of performative entrapment, and the international Delphi consensus, converge on a coherent intervention logic: academic pressure-related crises require simultaneous transformation of the environments that generate pressure and development of the internal resources that help adolescents resist the narrowing of identity around performance metrics. This chapter formalizes that logic into the Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering (ERID) framework. The ERID framework is a multi-tiered, evidence-informed, and culturally adaptable model designed for secondary schools. It encompasses universal prevention, targeted early intervention, indicated crisis response, and systemic advocacy. This chapter explains the framework's rationale and philosophical foundations, clarifies its two conceptual pillars, describes the operational details of each tier, and provides guidance for implementation, cultural adaptation, and evaluation.

8.1 Rationale and Philosophical Underpinnings

Academic pressure-related crises are neither inevitable developmental milestones nor solely intrapsychic pathologies. As demonstrated in the preceding chapters, these crises emerge at the intersection of individual vulnerabilities, such as maladaptive perfectionism and narrow identity breadth, and ecological contexts characterized by high-stakes testing, conditional regard, and need-thwarting instructional practices. An effective response must therefore adopt an ecological perspective that targets not only the skills and beliefs of the individual adolescent but also the relational microsystems, institutional mesosystems, and policy macrosystems that contribute to crisis formation (Bronfenbrenner, 2005). At the same time, intervention must remain phenomenologically sensitive by recognizing that the core experience is an existential constriction of selfhood, conceptualized in this dissertation as performative entrapment.

Philosophically, the ERID framework is anchored in a humanistic and critical realist orientation. Humanistic psychology emphasizes that individuals possess an inherent tendency toward growth, integration, and authentic self-expression when supportive conditions are present (Rogers, 1961). This premise aligns with Self-Determination Theory's emphasis on autonomy, competence, and relatedness as conditions for psychological well-being (Ryan & Deci, 2017). The framework does not aim to produce compliant or stress-free students; rather, it seeks to restore the developmental conditions under which adolescents can author their own lives, pursue meaningful learning, and maintain well-being even within demanding academic contexts. Critical realism (Bhaskar, 1975) further informs the framework by treating performance culture as a real and materially influential structure that shapes adolescent experience. Accordingly, ERID resists a purely individualistic interpretation of resilience as grit and instead emphasizes organizational, relational, and policy-level change.

8.2 The Two Pillars: Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering

The name of the framework reflects its two interdependent pillars: ecological resilience and identity decentering.

Ecological resilience. Resilience is understood not as a fixed trait located solely within the adolescent but as a process of positive adaptation supported by resources distributed across the ecological system (Ungar, 2011). Within the ERID framework, resilience is cultivated by strengthening protective factors at multiple levels: the adolescent's self-compassion and cognitive flexibility at the individual level; teacher autonomy-supportive practices within the microsystem; a school culture that recognizes diverse forms of achievement within the mesosystem; and policies that reduce the harmful intensification of academic evaluation at the macrosystem level. Ecological

resilience therefore redistributes responsibility for well-being from the adolescent alone to the shared accountability of schools, families, communities, and educational systems.

Identity decentering. Performative entrapment, as discussed in Chapter 5, involves a catastrophic fusion of self-worth and academic performance. Identity decentering refers to the intentional process of loosening this fusion by broadening adolescents' self-concept to include valued non-academic domains, such as relationships, art, sport, community contribution, spirituality, vocational interests, and cultural belonging. It also involves cultivating an internal sense of worth that is not wholly dependent on external metrics. Identity decentering does not diminish the value of academic striving; rather, it contextualizes achievement so that students can pursue excellence without experiencing academic setback as self-annihilation. This pillar directly responds to the quantitative finding that narrow identity breadth intensifies crisis severity and to the Delphi panel's endorsement of identity-broadening modules as a core Tier 1 component.

These two pillars are mutually reinforcing. Ecological resilience creates the relational and institutional safety required for identity decentering to occur, while identity decentering equips adolescents to navigate and, over time, reshape environments that may otherwise contribute to entrapment.

8.3 Tier 1: Universal Prevention, Stress Literacy, and Identity Broadening

Tier 1 initiatives target the entire student population with the goal of transforming school culture and equipping all adolescents with foundational psychological understanding and identity resources. This tier consists of five core components grounded in the quantitative findings, qualitative narratives, and Delphi consensus.

Stress Literacy Curriculum. A structured ten-session curriculum is implemented within existing health, advisory, or homeroom periods. The curriculum addresses the psychophysiology of the

stress response, the distinction between challenge and threat appraisals, the difference between healthy striving and harmful pressure, cognitive reframing of evaluative situations, and development of a personalized coping menu. By normalizing academic stress as a common experience, the curriculum aims to reduce the shame and isolation reflected in the qualitative findings. The Delphi panel rated this component as highly important and feasible.

Identity-Broadening Modules. Building on the moderation and qualitative findings, this component consists of project-based modules that encourage students to engage with at least two non-academic identity domains. A central activity is the "Portfolio of Me," a cumulative presentation in which students document values, strengths, relationships, interests, cultural roles, community contributions, and personal growth across multiple life areas. The portfolio is intentionally ungraded and intrinsically oriented, serving as a tangible counter-narrative to a solely academic identity. The Delphi panel strongly endorsed this component, particularly for schools in which non-academic pursuits are marginalized.

Teacher Autonomy-Support **Training.** Given the quantitative moderation effect involving autonomy support and the qualitative finding that an unconditionally supportive teacher can become a turning point, all teaching staff participate in a two-day experiential workshop followed by monthly coaching. Based on Self-Determination Theory, the training focuses on invitational rather than controlling language, meaningful rationales for assignments and rules, acknowledgement of students' negative emotions, and informational feedback that supports competence without shaming. Role-playing, peer observation, and reflective exercises help teachers examine their own beliefs about motivation and achievement. Although the Delphi panel rated this component as highly important, feasibility depends on administrative commitment, scheduling, and sustained professional development resources.

Whole-School Mindfulness Moment. Each school day, typically after lunch or during homeroom, students engage in a brief guided breathing or body-scan exercise. The practice is intentionally secular, brief, and framed as a "reset" rather than a clinical intervention. Its simplicity and low resource demand contributed to the high feasibility rating assigned by the Delphi panel. Phase 2 participants who independently used mindfulness described it as a tool for cognitive defusion, consistent with existing research on school-based mindfulness.

Non-Competitive Showcases and Failure-Resilience Assemblies. To reshape school narratives around achievement and self-worth, ERID includes regular events that recognize effort, creativity, improvement, ethical contribution, and resilience after setbacks. Assemblies may feature educators, alumni, and community members who share experiences of academic or professional difficulty and recovery. This component directly addresses the cultural mesosystem by challenging the myth of linear success that sustains achievement pressure.

8.4 Tier 2: Targeted Group Interventions, Self-Compassion, and CBT Skills

Tier 2 interventions are designed for students who show elevated symptoms related to academic pressure but are not currently experiencing an acute crisis. Students may be identified through universal screening, teacher referral, counselor concern, or self-referral. The tier consists of three structured group interventions facilitated by trained school psychologists or counselors.

Self-Compassion for Academic Resilience (SCAR). SCAR is an eight-session adaptation of the Mindful Self-Compassion program (Neff & Germer, 2013), contextualized for academic pressure. Each session includes a brief mindfulness practice, a core psychoeducational element, experiential exercises such as writing a self-compassionate response to a disappointing academic result, and home practice. The program directly addresses the self-criticism and perfectionistic concern over mistakes that mediated the pressure crisis pathway in Chapter 4 and that were prominent in the

qualitative narratives of performative entrapment. The Delphi panel rated SCAR as highly important and feasible.

CBT-Based Test Anxiety Group (CBT-TAG). Grounded in the established efficacy of cognitive-behavioral therapy for test anxiety (von der Embse et al., 2018), CBT-TAG is structured as a ten-session intervention. Core components include psychoeducation about the cognitive model of anxiety, cognitive restructuring of catastrophic predictions, graded exposure to test-simulated conditions, relaxation techniques, and behavioral experiments designed to challenge perfectionistic assumptions. The inclusion of CBT-TAG alongside SCAR reflects the Delphi panel's view that cognitive and self-compassionate approaches are complementary rather than redundant.

Peer Support Circles. Peer Support Circles are semi-structured weekly groups of six to eight students facilitated by a school psychologist or counselor. The groups provide a safe space for students to discuss academic pressure, parental expectations, social comparison, and coping strategies without competition or judgment. Careful facilitation is required to prevent co-rumination and ensure that the group remains supportive, strengths-based, and oriented toward help-seeking when needed.

8.5 Tier 3: Indicated Crisis Response

Tier 3 becomes operational when an adolescent is experiencing an active psychological crisis, including suicidal ideation, self-harm, severe panic, or other acute presentations. The ERID framework adapts key principles from school crisis response and suicide prevention models to ensure that no student is overlooked and that every disclosure receives a timely, competent, and compassionate response.

Universal Screening Protocol. Twice each academic year, students complete a brief validated screening battery that includes the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS; Posner et al., 2011) and the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 modified for adolescents (PHQ-9A; Johnson et al., 2002), administered during a designated class period. Results are reviewed by the Crisis Response Team within 48 hours, and students who screen positive receive a same-day follow-up risk assessment by the school psychologist. The protocol is ethically grounded in the requirement that every positive screen must lead to a caring and competent response, thereby addressing the structural invisibility of support identified in Chapter 5.

Crisis Response Team (CRT). The CRT is a multidisciplinary school-based team comprising the school psychologist or counselor, nurse, administrator, and designated safeguarding lead. Team members are trained in Psychological First Aid, risk assessment, and the Stanley-Brown Safety Planning Intervention (Stanley & Brown, 2012). The CRT convenes promptly for any student in crisis, coordinates communication with families, and ensures continuity of care.

Safety Planning Intervention. The safety planning intervention is a collaborative and strengths-based process in which the adolescent and trained staff member identifies warning signs, internal coping strategies, supportive contacts, professional resources, and practical steps for creating a safer environment, including reducing access to lethal means. This process respects the adolescent's agency while ensuring that immediate risk is addressed through a concrete and accessible plan.

Lethal Means Counseling and Warm-Handoff Referral. For families of adolescents at risk, the school psychologist or counselor provides non-judgmental lethal means counseling and coordinates referral to community-based child and adolescent mental health services. A warm handoff includes a personal introduction, practical assistance with appointment scheduling, and

follow-up to reduce the likelihood that students are lost between school identification and community treatment.

8.6 Tier 4: Systemic Advocacy

The ERID framework recognizes that responsibility for change cannot rest solely with adolescents or individual schools. Tier 4 addresses the macrosystemic conditions that generate harmful academic pressure. The four advocacy priorities endorsed by the Delphi panel were rated high in importance but lower in feasibility, indicating that progress requires coordinated action from professional organizations, parent coalitions, youth advocates, researchers, and policymakers.

1. **Limit High-Stakes Standardized Testing.** Advocacy should seek policies that reduce the frequency and consequences of high-stakes examinations, prevent any single examination from determining major educational transitions, and promote assessment systems based on multiple measures of learning. This recommendation responds to the quantitative finding that students in selective, test-intensive environments reported elevated anxiety.
2. **Enforce Developmentally Appropriate Homework Guidelines.** Advocacy should support implementation of developmentally appropriate homework limits, such as the "10-minute rule" per grade level (Cooper, 2001), alongside coordinated teacher planning to prevent cumulative overload across subjects.
3. **Launch Parent Education Campaigns.** Schools, community organizations, and policymakers should collaborate on campaigns that educate parents about the harmful effects of conditional regard, the protective value of non-academic identity breadth, and early warning signs of academic pressure-related crisis.
4. **Integrate Student's Well-Being into School Accountability.** Education systems should include students' well-being indicators, such as anxiety, belonging, and hope, alongside academic outcomes in school evaluation, resourcing, and improvement planning.

These advocacy initiatives are long-term and may encounter political resistance; however, the ERID framework treats them as fundamental rather than optional. The effectiveness of school-based clinical components will remain limited if the broader culture surrounding academic achievement remains unchanged.

8.7 Implementation Guidelines and Cultural Adaptation

The ERID framework is not intended to function as a rigid protocol. Rather, it consists of core components that should be adapted to local culture, resources, policy conditions, and community values. Implementation should therefore balance fidelity to core principles with flexibility in delivery.

Phased **rollout.** Schools are encouraged to begin with Tier 1 components, particularly mindfulness moments, stress literacy, and autonomy-supportive teaching practices, because these require comparatively fewer resources and reach the full student body. Tiers 2 and 3 can then be introduced as staff capacity, referral pathways, and supervision structures are strengthened. Universal screening should not be implemented until a functioning Crisis Response Team and clear follow-up procedures are in place.

Participatory **adaptation.** Each school should establish a Schools' Well-Being Committee comprising students, parents, teachers, administrators, and relevant community partners. This committee should review ERID components and adapt the language, examples, and delivery methods to the local cultural context. In collectivist contexts, for instance, identity-broadening modules may emphasize familial, community, and cultural roles alongside individual interests. Similarly, autonomy-supportive teaching can be framed as respectful guidance that preserves relational harmony while maintaining student voice. Cultural alignment with the psychological principles of the framework is both feasible and essential.

Train-the-trainer model. To reduce long-term reliance on external consultants, schools should identify internal implementation champions, such as school counselors, guidance teachers, senior teachers, or pastoral care coordinators. These staff members should receive advanced training and then support colleagues through workshops, peer coaching, and implementation monitoring. This model addresses feasibility concerns by building internal capacity over time.

Trauma-informed lens. All components should be implemented with awareness that academic pressure may intersect with poverty, discrimination, family conflict, bereavement, bullying, or previous trauma. The ERID framework's emphasis on safety, unconditional positive regard, relational trust, and non-punitive support is consistent with trauma-informed principles (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2014). Implementation should therefore avoid practices that inadvertently shame, expose, or pathologize students.

8.8 Measurement and Evaluation Indicators

To support continuous quality improvement and future outcome evaluation, the ERID framework specifies indicators at each tier aligned with the RE-AIM evaluation model (Glasgow et al., 1999). These indicators allow schools to monitor reach, implementation quality, and preliminary effectiveness over time.

Table 8.1

ERID Framework Evaluation Indicators

Tier	Reach/Process	Effectiveness/Outcome
Tier 1	% of students receiving stress literacy; % of teachers trained	Pre-post change in NAIB, academic pressure appraisal
Tier 2	% of at-risk students engaging in SCAR or CBT-TAG	Change in SCARED, CES-DC, and Frost MPS scores
Tier 3	Screening completion rate; time to CRT follow-up; warm-handoff completion	ED visits for mental health, suicide attempts, safety plan use
Tier 4	Policy briefs published; parent campaign reach; bills introduced	(Long-term) reduction in school-level anxiety prevalence

Schools are encouraged to conduct an annual well-being audit using the same instruments deployed in this study, including the APSA, SCARED, CES-DC, C-SSRS, and NAIB. Repeated measurement can create a local longitudinal dataset that informs decision-making, identifies emerging areas of risk, and contributes to the wider evidence base on academic pressure intervention.

8.9 Summary

The ERID framework operationalizes the dissertation's central thesis: adolescent crisis symptoms arising from academic pressure are shaped and sustained by environments that narrow identity around performance metrics. Effective intervention must therefore address both the ecology of pressure and the adolescent's capacity to maintain a broad, flexible, and valued sense of self. By integrating universal prevention, targeted skills-based intervention, indicated crisis response, and systemic advocacy, the framework provides schools and related services with a coherent, evidence-informed, and culturally adaptable pathway. The following chapter synthesizes the dissertation's conclusions and presents recommendations for research, practice, and policy aimed at supporting adolescent development beyond narrow definitions of academic achievement.

CHAPTER 9: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This concluding chapter synthesizes the theoretical, empirical, and practical contributions of the study, offers evidence-informed recommendations for key stakeholders, critically evaluates the strengths and limitations of the research, and outlines directions for future inquiry. The overarching aim of this mixed-methods investigation was to clarify the range of crisis symptoms associated with academic pressure, illuminate adolescents' subjective experiences of such crises, and formulate a consensus-based multi-tiered intervention framework. The preceding chapters demonstrate that academic pressure is neither benign nor an inevitable feature of adolescent development. For a significant minority of students, it constitutes a psychologically harmful force that restricts identity, frustrates essential psychological needs, and may culminate in suicidal ideation. At the same time, the findings offer a constructive counter-narrative: identity breadth, autonomy-supportive relationships, self-compassion, and ecologically attuned school structures can mitigate these adverse effects and support recovery. The Ecological Resilience and Identity Decentering (ERID) framework translates this evidence into actionable practices.

9.1 Summary of Contributions

9.1.1 Contributions to Theory

This dissertation makes three principal theoretical contributions. First, it advances an integrative ecological-clinical model of academic pressure-related crises by bringing together Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory, the diathesis-stress model, and Self-Determination Theory. Quantitative findings showed that academic pressure was strongly associated with crisis severity, that maladaptive perfectionism partially mediated this association, and that teacher autonomy support buffered its effects. Qualitative findings further showed how these mechanisms were experienced as a progressive narrowing of the self around academic performance.

Second, the dissertation introduces performative entrapment as an empirically grounded construct that captures the existential dimension of academic pressure-related crisis. This construct refers to the experience of having self-worth, future viability, and personal meaning become contingent on academic performance metrics. It extends the literature beyond test anxiety and academic burnout by providing a phenomenological explanation for why academic setbacks may be experienced as identity-threatening. Third, the dissertation reconceptualizes resilience in academic settings as an ecological process rather than an individual trait. In this view, resilience emerges from the interaction of identity breadth, supportive relationships, school culture, and policy conditions. The two foundational pillars of the ERID framework ecological resilience and identity decentering therefore provide a coherent basis for future research and practice.

9.1.2 Contributions to Measurement

The development and preliminary validation of the Non-Academic Identity Breadth Scale (NAIB) address an important measurement gap. Prior work on self-complexity and identity development has not produced a concise, educationally contextualized measure of the extent to which adolescents define themselves beyond academic achievement. In this study, the NAIB demonstrated good internal consistency and theoretically expected associations with lower symptom severity. Its moderation effect also suggests that identity breadth may operate as a measurable protective factor in academic pressure-related crises. Future studies can use the NAIB to examine identity decentering as a mediator of intervention outcomes and as a potential screening indicator for adolescents at risk of performative entrapment.

9.1.3 Contributions to Practice

The primary practical contribution of this study is the ERID framework: a 17-component, four-tiered intervention model grounded in adolescent perspectives, quantitative findings, and international expert consensus. Unlike many existing school mental health programs that focus on a single symptom domain or intervention tier, ERID integrates universal prevention, targeted group intervention, indicated crisis response, and systemic advocacy. It provides schools with a phased and culturally adaptable roadmap that can be implemented according to available resources while retaining core principles. Because the framework is grounded in adolescents' lived experiences, it directly addresses the identity narrowing, shame, delayed help-seeking, and relational conditions that shape academic pressure-related crises.

9.2 Recommendations

The following recommendations emerge from the study findings and are intended for the principal stakeholder groups that shape the ecological context of adolescent development.

9.2.1 Recommendations for Schools

1. **Embed identity broadening as a core educational priority.** Schools should incorporate identity-broadening modules into the standard curriculum and position them as essential components of student development rather than optional enrichment. Initiatives such as the "Portfolio of Me" and project-based explorations beyond traditional academic domains are important for counteracting identity narrowing. Schools should also protect and resource non-academic environments, including art studios, music facilities, sports fields, clubs, and community service programs, recognizing them as vital contexts for identity formation rather than expendable activities during budget constraints.
2. **Invest in teacher autonomy-support training.** The study found that autonomy-supportive teaching practices significantly weakened the association between academic

pressure and crisis severity. Schools should therefore provide both initial and ongoing training in autonomy-supportive instructional practices for all teaching staff, supported by coaching, peer observation, and reflective exercises. This training should help educators examine their beliefs about motivation and achievement, because controlling practices often arise within institutional cultures that place pressure on teachers as well as students. Administrators should also model autonomy support in their leadership practices to create a coherent relational ecology.

3. **Establish and resource a crisis-response infrastructure.** Given the prevalence of active suicidal ideation reported in Chapter 4, schools should rely on systematic responses rather than ad hoc procedures. Every secondary school should establish a standing Crisis Response Team, implement biannual screening only when guaranteed follow-up is available, and formalize referral pathways to community mental health services. Student safety requires dedicated staffing, clear protocols, training, and administrative support.
4. **Cultivate a school culture that normalizes struggle and diversifies success.** Failure-resilience assemblies and non-competitive showcases, as proposed in the ERID framework, should become regular school rituals. When school leaders, teachers, alumni, and community members openly discuss setbacks and recovery, they communicate that personal worth is not determined solely by performance. Schools should broaden recognition systems so that artistic, athletic, vocational, relational, ethical, and community contributions receive visibility alongside academic achievement.

9.2.2 Recommendations for Clinicians

1. **Assess and target identity narrowing.** Clinicians working with adolescents experiencing severe academic pressure should assess the degree to which self-worth is contingent on academic performance. The construct of performative entrapment provides a useful conceptual framework for this assessment. Intervention should intentionally support identity decentering by helping adolescents reconnect with neglected interests, relationships, values, and roles; use cognitive defusion techniques to separate self-concept from performance-related thoughts; and develop a self-narrative that includes, but is not limited to, academic achievement.
2. **Integrate self-compassion into treatment.** The convergence of qualitative themes and Delphi endorsement of self-compassion training supports the relevance of self-compassion-based approaches for this population. Clinicians may incorporate self-compassionate letter writing, psychoeducation on common humanity, mindfulness practices, and self-kindness exercises into individual or group therapy to reduce the harsh self-criticism that intensifies the pressure symptom relationship.
3. **Collaborate with schools and families.** Clinicians should understand their work as part of an ecological intervention system rather than as isolated individual treatment. With appropriate consent, collaboration with schools may include academic accommodation planning, reintegration support after crisis, and coordination with school mental health personnel. Work with parents should address conditional regard directly through psychoeducation and family sessions that reinforce unconditional acceptance while preserving appropriate expectations.

9.2.3 Recommendations for Parents

1. **Practice unconditional positive regard.** The qualitative findings indicate that parental conditional regard contributes to performative entrapment. Parents should communicate clearly and consistently that their child's worth is not dependent on grades, ranking, or admission outcomes. This does not require abandoning high expectations; rather, it requires separating love and approval from achievement and affirming effort, character, well-being, and personal growth.
2. **Actively support non-academic identities.** Parents should encourage, resource, and celebrate adolescents' involvement in non-academic pursuits without reducing those activities to résumé-building strategies. Painting, sport, volunteering, coding, music, faith participation, community contribution, and friendships can function as protective identity domains. Parents can also model multidimensional identity by sharing their own interests, values, failures, and sources of meaning beyond work or achievement.
3. **Learn the signs of crisis and respond supportively.** Parents should become familiar with somatic, emotional, and behavioral warning signs of academic pressure-related crisis, including sleep disruption, withdrawal, stomachaches, persistent self-criticism, hopelessness, self-harm, and statements suggesting that life is no longer worth living. Because adolescents may conceal distress to avoid disappointing parents, early signs should be met with calm concern, active listening, and prompt connection to school or community mental health resources. Help-seeking should be framed as a strength rather than a failure.

9.2.4 Recommendations for Policymakers

1. **Limit high-stakes testing and reform assessment systems.** The Delphi consensus strongly supported reducing the frequency and consequences of standardized testing, despite lower feasibility ratings. Policymakers should consider capping the number of high-stakes examinations per academic year, using multiple measures for educational transitions, reducing reliance on single examinations for consequential decisions, and investing in classroom-based assessment systems that prioritize learning over ranking.
2. **Include students' well-being in school accountability.** Education departments should require schools to monitor indicators of students' well-being, such as anxiety, belonging, hope, and perceived support, alongside academic outcomes. These indicators should inform resource allocation and school improvement planning. Schools with persistently low well-being indicators should receive additional support and mental health staffing rather than punitive sanctions.
3. **Fund multi-tiered mental health staffing.** Implementation of the ERID framework requires adequate school mental health staffing. Policymakers should allocate funding for sufficient access to school psychologists, counselors, and related professionals so that these staff can deliver Tier 1 curricula, facilitate Tier 2 groups, and coordinate Tier 3 crisis-response procedures. Their roles should not be limited to administrative or academic advising functions.
4. **Launch public mental health campaigns on academic pressure.** Governments and education authorities should invest in culturally tailored public campaigns that challenge the "college at all costs" narrative, raise awareness about the harms of conditional regard, normalize academic struggle, and promote diverse pathways to meaningful adulthood. Campaigns should use schools, parent-teacher associations, social media, youth voices, and community leaders to reach the broader ecological context surrounding adolescents.

9.3 Strengths and Limitations

This dissertation has several strengths. The explanatory sequential mixed-methods design enabled both breadth and depth: the quantitative phase provided a large and socioeconomically diverse sample, while the qualitative phase generated phenomenological insights that are rarely captured in survey research. The inclusion of an international Delphi panel strengthened the practical relevance and cross-disciplinary credibility of the intervention recommendations. The construct of performative entrapment, inductively grounded in adolescent narratives and interpreted alongside quantitative evidence, offers a novel conceptual contribution that bridges clinical psychology, adolescent development, and the sociology of education. Finally, the ERID framework is theoretically coherent, evidence-informed, and designed with implementation and cultural adaptation in mind.

Several limitations must also be acknowledged. First, the quantitative phase employed a cross-sectional design, which limits causal inference. Although the observed associations among academic pressure, perfectionism, and crisis symptoms are consistent with the proposed diathesis-stress pathway, alternative causal explanations and unmeasured confounding variables cannot be excluded. Longitudinal research is therefore necessary to establish temporal ordering and developmental trajectories.

Second, the quantitative measures relied primarily on adolescent self-report, which may introduce shared method variance, recall bias, and social desirability effects. Although parent-report data were collected for a subsample, these data were insufficiently complete to serve as robust convergent validators. Future studies should incorporate multi-informant data from parents, teachers, and peers, as well as objective indicators such as attendance patterns, academic workload data, school counseling records, or physiological stress markers.

Third, the qualitative phase relied on retrospective accounts of crisis and recovery, which may be influenced by memory, current mood, and post-crisis reinterpretation. Although the sample included diverse school types and socioeconomic backgrounds, interviews were conducted within a single national context. The cultural transferability of performative entrapment, identity decentering, and the ERID framework should therefore be examined in varied linguistic, cultural, and educational settings.

Fourth, although the Delphi panel included experts from several countries and disciplines, it may still have overrepresented professionals trained in Western clinical and educational paradigms. This may have shaped the prioritization of manualized and professionally delivered interventions. The cultural adaptation procedures proposed in Chapter 8 are intended to address this limitation, but they require empirical testing.

Fifth, the ERID framework has not yet been evaluated through an intervention trial. Although individual components, including cognitive-behavioral approaches, self-compassion training, safety planning, and school-based prevention, are supported by existing evidence, the combined and synergistic effect of the full multi-tiered framework remains to be tested.

9.4 Future Research Directions

The contributions and limitations of this study suggest several directions for future research.

1. **Longitudinal cohort studies.** Prospective, multi-wave cohort studies should track adolescents from early secondary school through graduation using repeated measures of academic pressure, identity breadth, perfectionism, need frustration, teacher autonomy support, and crisis symptoms. Such studies would clarify temporal pathways and identify critical windows for intervention.
2. **Multi-site cluster randomized trials of the ERID framework.** Future research should test the ERID framework through school-level randomized or quasi-experimental designs.

Primary outcomes should include anxiety, depression, suicidal ideation, academic engagement, and school belonging, while secondary outcomes should include identity breadth, self-compassion, perceived autonomy support, and implementation fidelity. Process evaluation using the RE-AIM framework would help identify barriers and facilitators to implementation.

3. **Cross-cultural qualitative and quantitative investigations.** The construct of performative entrapment should be examined across educational systems with different assessment cultures, including high-stakes examination contexts and lower-pressure systems. Cross-cultural measurement invariance testing of the NAIB and related instruments would support valid international comparisons.
4. **Digital and scalable identity-broadening interventions.** Future studies should evaluate whether digital platforms, smartphone applications, or guided online modules can deliver identity-broadening and self-compassion micro-interventions at scale, particularly in resource-constrained schools.
5. **Policy implementation and naturalistic experimentation.** When jurisdictions introduce reforms such as testing caps, homework guidelines, or well-being accountability indicators, researchers should use interrupted time-series, difference-in-differences, or other quasi-experimental designs to examine effects on adolescent mental health and school climate.
6. **Investigation of peer-led and informal healing contexts.** The tension identified in Chapter 7 between formal clinical interventions and informal relational healing spaces warrants further study. Qualitative and ethnographic research should examine how art rooms, sports teams, peer networks, clubs, mentoring relationships, and community spaces function as protective contexts without undermining their informal and relational character.

9.5 Concluding Reflection

This dissertation began with a concern that academic pressure may be contributing to significant psychological distress among adolescents. The findings confirm that concern while also showing that crisis is not inevitable. The adolescents whose experiences informed this study described feeling reduced to grades, rankings, and admission outcomes, yet they also described pathways toward recovery when identity broadened, relationships became less conditional, and adults recognized them as whole persons.

The central message of the dissertation is therefore both cautionary and hopeful. Academic pressure-related crises are produced by ecological systems that narrow selfhood around performance, but those systems can be changed. The ERID framework offers a pathway for schools, clinicians, families, and policymakers to respond with prevention, targeted support, crisis safety, and systemic reform. Its core premise is that adolescents should be able to pursue learning and ambition without sacrificing psychological safety or reducing their identities to academic metrics. The work ahead requires sustained collaboration. Teachers must be supported to create autonomy-supportive classrooms; schools must build visible and trustworthy crisis-response systems; parents must communicate unconditional regard; clinicians must attend to identity narrowing as well as symptoms; and policymakers must address the structural conditions that intensify harmful pressure. If these commitments are pursued, academic challenge can become a context for growth rather than a precipice of self-destruction. This possibility is the ultimate contribution and aspiration of the present dissertation.

REFERENCES

Achenbach, T. M., & Rescorla, L. A. (2001). *Manual for the ASEBA school-age forms and profiles*. University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families.

Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Sage.

Ang, R. P., & Huan, V. S. (2006). Academic Expectations Stress Inventory: Development, factor analysis, reliability, and validity. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66(3), 522–539. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164405282461

Assor, A., Roth, G., & Deci, E. L. (2004). The emotional costs of parents' conditional regard: A self-determination theory analysis. *Journal of Personality*, 72(1), 47–88. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00256.x

Atkins, M. S., Rusch, D., Mehta, T. G., & Lakind, D. (2017). Future directions for dissemination and implementation science: Aligning ecological theory and public health to close the research-to-practice gap. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 46(2), 185–198. https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2016.1236725

Baker, D. P. (2014). *The schooled society: The educational transformation of global culture*. Stanford University Press.

Ball, S. J. (2012). *Global Education Inc.: New policy networks and the neoliberal imaginary*. Routledge.

Beck, A. T. (1976). *Cognitive therapy and the emotional disorders*. International Universities Press.

Belsky, J., & Pluess, M. (2009). Beyond diathesis stress: Differential susceptibility to environmental influences. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(6), 885–908. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017376

Bernstein, B. B. (2000). *Pedagogy, symbolic control, and identity: Theory, research, critique* (Rev. ed.). Rowman & Littlefield.

Bernstein, G. A., Massie, E. D., Thuras, P. D., Perwien, A. R., Borchardt, C. M., & Crosby, R. D. (1997). Somatic symptoms in anxious-depressed school refusers. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36(5), 661–668. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199705000-00017

Bhaskar, R. (1975). *A realist theory of science*. Leeds Books.

Birmaher, B., Khetarpal, S., Brent, D., Cully, M., Balach, L., Kaufman, J., & Neer, S. M. (1997). The Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED): Scale construction and psychometric characteristics. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36(4), 545–553. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199704000-00018

Blakemore, S.-J., & Mills, K. L. (2014). Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing? *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65, 187–207. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115202

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Brodsky, B. S., Spruch-Feiner, A., & Stanley, B. (2018). The Zero Suicide model: Applying evidence-based suicide prevention practices to clinical care. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9, Article 33. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00033

Bronfenbrenner, U. (2005). *Making human beings human: Bioecological perspectives on human development*. Sage.

Chen, B., Vansteenkiste, M., Beyers, W., Boone, L., Deci, E. L., Van der Kaap-Deeder, J., ... & Verstuyf, J. (2015). Basic psychological need satisfaction, need frustration, and need strength across four cultures. *Motivation and Emotion*, 39(2), 216–236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-014-9450-1

Chen, Y., Li, X., & Zhang, T. (2022). Examination seasonality and adolescent suicide: A time-series analysis of 20 countries. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 71(4), 438–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2022.04.011

Cheung, G. W., & Rensvold, R. B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 9(2), 233–255. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0902_5

Choi, Y., He, M., & Harachi, T. W. (2019). Intergenerational cultural dissonance, parent–child conflict and bonding, and youth problem behaviors among Vietnamese and Cambodian immigrant families. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 37(1), 85–96. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-007-9217-z

Cooper, H. (2001). *The battle over homework: Common ground for administrators, teachers, and parents* (2nd ed.). Corwin Press.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage.

Currie, C., Molcho, M., Boyce, W., Holstein, B., Torsheim, T., & Richter, M. (2008). Researching health inequalities in adolescents: The development of the Health Behaviour in

School-Aged Children (HBSC) family affluence scale. *Social Science & Medicine*, 66(6), 1429–1436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.11.024

Dollard, J., Doob, L. W., Miller, N. E., Mowrer, O. H., & Sears, R. R. (1939). *Frustration and aggression*. Yale University Press.

Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x

Eisenberger, N. I. (2012). The pain of social disconnection: Examining the shared neural underpinnings of physical and social pain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 13(6), 421–434. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3231

Elkind, D. (1967). Egocentrism in adolescence. *Child Development*, 38(4), 1025–1034. https://doi.org/10.2307/1127100

Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and crisis*. Norton.

Fetters, M. D., Curry, L. A., & Creswell, J. W. (2013). Achieving integration in mixed methods designs—Principles and practices. *Health Services Research*, 48(6pt2), 2134–2156. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12117

Frost, R. O., Marten, P., Lahart, C., & Rosenblate, R. (1990). The dimensions of perfectionism. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 14(5), 449–468. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01172967

Galloway, M., Conner, J., & Pope, D. (2013). Nonacademic effects of homework in privileged, high-performing high schools. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 81(4), 490–510. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2012.745469

Glasgow, R. E., Vogt, T. M., & Boles, S. M. (1999). Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: The RE-AIM framework. *American Journal of Public Health*, 89(9), 1322–1327. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.89.9.1322

Hasson, F., Keeney, S., & McKenna, H. (2000). Research guidelines for the Delphi survey technique. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 32(4), 1008–1015. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2000.t01-1-01567.x

Hayes, S. C., Luoma, J. B., Bond, F. W., Masuda, A., & Lillis, J. (2006). Acceptance and commitment therapy: Model, processes and outcomes. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 44(1), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2005.06.006

Hembree, R. (1988). Correlates, causes, effects, and treatment of test anxiety. *Review of Educational Research*, 58(1), 47–77. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543058001047

Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1991). Perfectionism in the self and social contexts: Conceptualization, assessment, and association with psychopathology. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60(3), 456–470. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.60.3.456

Horowitz, L. M., Bridge, J. A., Teach, S. J., Ballard, E., Klima, J., Rosenstein, D. L., Wharff, E. A., Ginnis, K., Cannon, E., Joshi, P., & Pao, M. (2014). Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ): A brief instrument for the pediatric emergency department. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, 166(12), 1170–1176. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2012.1276

Jamieson, J. P., Mendes, W. B., Blackstock, E., & Schmader, T. (2010). Turning the knots in your stomach into bows: Reappraising arousal improves performance on the GRE. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 46(1), 208–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.08.015

Johnson, J. G., Harris, E. S., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. W. (2002). The Patient Health Questionnaire for Adolescents: Validation of an instrument for the assessment of mental disorders among adolescent primary care patients. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 30(3), 196–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1054-139X(01)00333-0

Joiner, T. E. (2005). *Why people die by suicide*. Harvard University Press.

Keeney, S., Hasson, F., & McKenna, H. (2011). *The Delphi technique in nursing and health research*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Kendall, P. C., & Hedtke, K. A. (2006). *Coping Cat workbook* (2nd ed.). Workbook Publishing.

Kessler, R. C., Avenevoli, S., Costello, E. J., Georgiades, K., Green, J. G., Gruber, M. J., ... & Merikangas, K. R. (2012). Prevalence, persistence, and sociodemographic correlates of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 69(4), 372–380. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.160

Koo, H., & Park, H. (2020). Educational fever and the politics of memory in South Korea. *Comparative Education Review*, 64(3), 449–470. https://doi.org/10.1086/709693

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer.

Lee, M., & Larson, R. (2000). The Korean 'examination hell': Long hours of studying, distress, and depression. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 29(2), 249–271. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005160717081

Linville, P. W. (1987). Self-complexity as a cognitive buffer against stress-related illness and depression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(4), 663–676. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.52.4.663

Liu, X., & Lu, K. (2011). Development and validation of the Chinese High School Examination Stress Scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 71(5), 879–889. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164410394137

Liu, Y., Wang, Z., & Li, F. (2023). Academic stress and anxiety among secondary school students: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 93, Article 102657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2022.102657

Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 227–238. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.227

Minges, K. E., & Redeker, N. S. (2016). Delayed school start times and adolescent sleep: A systematic review of the experimental evidence. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 28, 86–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2015.06.002

Monroe, S. M., & Simons, A. D. (1991). Diathesis-stress theories in the context of life stress research: Implications for the depressive disorders. *Psychological Bulletin*, 110(3), 406–425. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.110.3.406

National Crime Records Bureau. (2022). *Accidental deaths and suicides in India 2022*. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.

Neff, K. D. (2003). The development and validation of a scale to measure self-compassion. *Self and Identity*, 2(3), 223–250. https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860309027

Neff, K. D., & Germer, C. K. (2013). A pilot study and randomized controlled trial of the Mindful Self-Compassion program. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 69(1), 28–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.21923

Nelson, E. E., Jarcho, J. M., & Guyer, A. E. (2016). Social re-orientation and brain development: An expanded and updated view. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 17, 118–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.12.008

Posner, K., Brown, G. K., Stanley, B., Brent, D. A., Yershova, K. V., Oquendo, M. A., ... & Mann, J. J. (2011). The Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale: Initial validity and internal consistency findings from three multisite studies with adolescents and adults. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 168(12), 1266–1277. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2011.10111704

Radloff, L. S. (1977). The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 1(3), 385–401. https://doi.org/10.1177/014662167700100306

Raes, F., Pommier, E., Neff, K. D., & Van Gucht, D. (2011). Construction and factorial validation of a short form of the Self-Compassion Scale. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 18(3), 250–255. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.702

Rapee, R. M., Wignall, A., Hudson, J. L., & Schniering, C. A. (2000). *Treating anxious children and adolescents: An evidence-based approach*. New Harbinger.

Reeve, J. (2009). Why teachers adopt a controlling motivating style toward students and how they can become more autonomy supportive. *Educational Psychologist*, 44(3), 159–175. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520903028990

Rogers, C. R. (1961). *On becoming a person: A therapist's view of psychotherapy*. Houghton Mifflin.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford Press.

Sahlberg, P. (2015). *Finnish lessons 2.0: What can the world learn from educational change in Finland?* Teachers College Press.

Salmela-Aro, K., Kiuru, N., Leskinen, E., & Nurmi, J.-E. (2009). School Burnout Inventory (SBI): Reliability and validity. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 25(1), 48–57. https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759.25.1.48

Seligman, L. D., Ollendick, T. H., & Ollendick, T. H. (2009). Comorbidity of anxiety and depression in children and adolescents: An integrative review. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 2(2), 71–89. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021887712873

Seligman, M. E. P. (1975). *Helplessness: On depression, development, and death*. W. H. Freeman.

Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2009). *Interpretative phenomenological analysis: Theory, method and research*. Sage.

Spielberger, C. D. (1966). Theory and research on anxiety. In C. D. Spielberger (Ed.), *Anxiety and behavior* (pp. 3–20). Academic Press.

Spielberger, C. D. (1980). *Test Anxiety Inventory: Preliminary professional manual*. Consulting Psychologists Press.

Stanley, B., & Brown, G. K. (2012). Safety planning intervention: A brief intervention to mitigate suicide risk. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 19(2), 256–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2011.01.001

Stanley, B., Brown, G. K., Brenner, L. A., Galfalvy, H. C., Currier, G. W., Knox, K. L., ... & Green, K. L. (2018). Comparison of the safety planning intervention with follow-up vs usual care of suicidal patients treated in the emergency department. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 75(9), 894–902. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1776

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). *SAMHSA's concept of trauma and guidance for a trauma-informed approach* (HHS Publication No. SMA 14-4884).

Ungar, M. (2011). The social ecology of resilience: Addressing contextual and cultural ambiguity of a nascent construct. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 81(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-0025.2010.01067.x

von der Embse, N., Jester, D., Roy, D., & Post, J. (2018). Test anxiety effects, predictors, and correlates: A 30-year meta-analytic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 227, 483–493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.11.048

von der Gracht, H. A. (2012). Consensus measurement in Delphi studies: Review and implications for future quality assurance. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 79(8), 1525–1536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2012.04.013

Weissman, M. M., Orvaschel, H., & Padian, N. (1980). Children's symptom and social functioning self-report scales: Comparison of mothers' and children's reports. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 168(12), 736–740. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005053-198012000-00005

Williams, G. C., & Deci, E. L. (1996). Internalization of biopsychosocial values by medical students: A test of self-determination theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70(4), 767–779. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.70.4.767

World Health Organization. (2021). *Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) international report*. WHO Regional Office for Europe.

Yardley, L. (2000). Dilemmas in qualitative health research. *Psychology and Health*, 15(2), 215–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/08870440008400302

Zeidner, M. (1998). *Test anxiety: The state of the art*. Plenum Press.

Zenner, C., Herrnleben-Kurz, S., & Walach, H. (2014). Mindfulness-based interventions in schools—A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, Article 603. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00603

Words 30，908