DOI: 10.21088/jswm.0975.0231.18126.5

Journal of Social Welfare and Management
Volume 18 Number 1, January-April 2026

REVIEW ARTICLE

The Credential Crunch: Academic Degree Inflation and the Reimagining of University Prestige in the 21st Century

Rohit Kumar Pal

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Rohit Kumar Pal. The Credential Crunch: Academic Degree Inflation and the Reimagining of University Prestige in the 21st Century. J Soc Welfare Manag. 2026; 18(1): 31–35.

ABSTRACT

This article provides a comprehensive review of the phenomenon of academic degree inflation, defined as the devaluation of academic credentials as they become more common, and its profound implications for the future of higher education. The paper argues that the traditional "glamour" or symbolic capital of a degree from a prestigious institution is eroding under the dual pressures of massification and a shifting global economy that increasingly prioritizes demonstrable skills over pedigree. The review synthesizes existing literature on the economic drivers of degree inflation, the sociological impact on credentialism, and the technological disruptions challenging the university's monopoly on knowledge and certification. It explores how these forces are compelling universities to re-evaluate their value proposition, moving beyond mere credentialing towards a model emphasizing lifelong learning, adaptability, and direct skill acquisition. The article concludes that while the prestige of elite institutions will not vanish entirely, its nature is transforming. The future university will likely be judged less by its exclusivity and more by its ability to equip graduates with the competencies necessary to navigate an uncertain future. This transition presents both an existential threat and a historic opportunity for higher education to redefine its social purpose.

KEYWORDS

• Academic Degree Inflation • Credentialism • Future of Universities • Higher Education • Skills Gap • Prestige Economy • Massification • Educational Technology • Employability • Alternative Credentials

AUTHOR'S AFFILIATION:

Contributing Research Scholar, European International University, 59 Rue Lamarck, Paris, France.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Rohit Kumar Pal, Contributing Research Scholar, European International University, 59 Rue Lamarck, Paris, France.

E-mail: rohitpal241@gmail.com

➢ **Received:** 23-09-2025 ➢ **Accepted:** 19-12-2025

Creative commons non-commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution non-commercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Red Flower Publication and Open Access pages (https://rfppl.co.in)

INTRODUCTION

For generations, a university degree, particularly from a prestigious institution, has been a powerful social and economic talisman. It served as a reliable signal to employers of intelligence, diligence, and a certain level of cultural capital (Arrow, 1973). The aura of "glamour" surrounding places like Oxford, Harvard, or the Indian Institutes of Technology was palpable, promising not just education but entry into an elite class, guaranteed career success, and social mobility. This perception was underpinned by a model of relative scarcity, where higher education was the privilege of a few.

However, the dawn of the 21st century has witnessed a seismic shift. The massification of higher education, a global trend towards expanding university enrolment, has been remarkably successful in increasing access. UNESCO data shows that global tertiary enrolment rose from 100 million in 2000 to over 220 million by 2020 (UNESCO, 2021). While democratizing education is a laudable goal, an unintended consequence has been the devaluation of the bachelor's degree. What was once a distinguishing mark of excellence has become a common, and often mandatory, entry ticket to the professional world. This phenomenon is known as academic degree inflation: the process where the minimum required qualification for a job rises, despite the core skills required remaining unchanged (Burning Glass Technologies, 2014).

Concurrently, the rapid pace of technological change, the rise of the gig economy, and the growing prominence of alternative educational pathways (such as coding bootcamps, online certifications, and industry-recognized badges) are challenging the university's centuries-old monopoly on advanced learning and credentialing. Employers, frustrated with a perceived "skills gap" between graduate qualifications and workplace needs, are beginning to prioritize demonstrable competencies over institutional prestige (World Economic Forum, 2020). The question this article seeks to address is whether the glamour of the prestigious degree is fading into a relic of a bygone era, and if so, what is the future of the university in a world saturated with credentials yet hungry for skills?

This review article will synthesize existing research and thought leadership to argue that academic degree inflation is a critical inflection point for higher education. The traditional model, built on the scarcity value of a degree, is unsustainable. The future will belong to universities that can adapt, shifting their value proposition from being gatekeepers of prestige to becoming dynamic hubs of lifelong learning and skill development.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This article aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. To define and analyze the concept of academic degree inflation, tracing its economic and social origins.
2. To examine the causes and consequences of degree inflation for students, employers, and society at large.
3. To explore the erosion of the "glamour" associated with degrees from prestigious institutions and the changing perceptions of value in higher education.
4. To investigate the disruptive forces, particularly technological and economic, that are challenging the traditional university model.
5. To propose potential future pathways and adaptive strategies for universities to remain relevant and valuable in the coming decades.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. The Economics of Signalling and Credentialism.

The theoretical underpinning of degree inflation can be traced to the economic theory of signalling, most famously articulated by Michael Spence (1973). In his job-market signalling model, Spence argued that education serves less as a means of enhancing human capital (skills and productivity) and more as a costly signal of inherent abilities. By earning a degree, particularly a demanding one from a prestigious university, a student signals to employers qualities like intelligence, perseverance, and the ability to meet high standards qualities that are desirable but difficult to observe directly.

This theory evolved into the concept of "credentialism," a term often associated with sociologists like Randall Collins (1979). In his

book *The Credential Society*, Collins argued that ever-higher educational requirements are not necessarily driven by the technical demands of jobs but by status competition. As more people earn bachelor's degrees, their signalling value diminishes, leading to a "credentials arms race" where individuals pursue master's or doctoral degrees simply to stand out. This creates a cycle of inflation where the cost of education rises for individuals and society, without a corresponding increase in job productivity. As Brown et al. (2011) argue in *The Global Auction*, this has led to a phenomenon of "opportunity hoarding," where the middle and upper classes use educational credentials to secure a diminishing pool of high-status jobs, effectively crowding out those with fewer resources.

2. The Drivers of Degree Inflation: Massification and the Skills Gap

The primary driver of degree inflation has been the massification of higher education. Driven by policies promoting social equity and the knowledge economy, governments worldwide have expanded university access. While positive in intent, this expansion has flooded the market with graduates. As noted by Goldin and Katz (2008) in *The Race Between Education* and *Technology*, while the demand for skilled labour has increased due to technology, the supply of highly educated workers has, in recent decades, at times outpaced it, leading to an oversupply of graduates for the number of "graduate-level" jobs.

This has been exacerbated by a persistent "skills gap." Employers consistently report that graduates lack crucial soft skills such as critical thinking, communication, creativity, and collaboration as well as specific technical skills required for modern workplaces (SHRM, 2019). This disconnect suggests that universities, focused on theoretical knowledge and traditional assessment methods, are failing to adapt their curricula to the realities of the economy. A report by the McKinsey Global Institute (2021) highlights that by 2030, up to 50% of the workforce may need to reskill as the adoption of automation and AI accelerates, a challenge for which the traditional four-year degree is ill-suited due to its pace and structure.

3. The Erosion of Institutional Glamour and the Rise of Alternatives

The "glamour" of a prestigious degree was always tied to its exclusivity and the powerful alumni networks it provided. However, as documented by researchers like Lauren A. Rivera (2015) in *Pedigree: How Elite Students Get Elite Jobs*, this glamour is increasingly seen as a mechanism for social reproduction rather than a pure meritocracy. Rivera's ethnographic study of top-tier investment banks, law firms, and management consultancies revealed how employers often use prestige as a proxy for quality, effectively discriminating against graduates from less elite institutions regardless of individual merit. This has led to a growing public scepticism about the fairness of the system.

Furthermore, the digital revolution has democratized access to knowledge and created viable alternatives to traditional degrees. The rise of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) platforms like Coursera and edX, coding bootcamps like General Assembly, and industry micro-credentials from companies like Google and Microsoft offer targeted, often cheaper, and faster pathways to employment in high-demand fields. These alternatives focus squarely on skill acquisition and are increasingly recognized by employers. A 2021 study by Harvard Business School and Accenture found that many "hidden workers" those overlooked by traditional hiring algorithms possess the skills for in-demand jobs but lack the required degrees, suggesting that degree-based filtering is inefficient and excludes talented candidates (Fuller *et al.*, 2021).

4. Reimagining the University: Visions for the Future

The literature on the future of universities is rich with proposals for adaptation. One prominent theme is the shift towards a "lifelong learning" model. Instead of a one-time, four-year experience, universities could become partners throughout an individual's career, offering modular courses, upskilling, and reskilling programs (Scott, 2018). This aligns with the concept of "stackable credentials," where learners accumulate smaller, recognized certifications over time that can build towards a full degree.

Another vision emphasizes experiential learning. Universities like Arizona State University and MIT have heavily invested in project-based learning, co-ops, and internships that integrate academic theory with real-world problem-solving (Aoun, 2017). In

Robot-Proof: Higher Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence, Joseph Aoun argues that the future of education lies in cultivating "humanics" a blend of technical literacy, data literacy, and human literacy (creativity, ethics, cultural agility) that complements, rather than competes with, artificial intelligence.

The financial model is also under scrutiny. With student debt at crisis levels in countries like the United States, there is growing pressure to demonstrate a clear return on investment (ROI). This may lead to more income-share agreements, where students pay tuition as a percentage of their future income, or a greater emphasis on partnerships with industry to co-design curricula and share costs (Deming & Walters, 2018).

MY PERSONAL STATEMENT

Having engaged deeply with the literature on this topic, I am convinced that we are witnessing not merely a cyclical adjustment but a fundamental restructuring of the relationship between education, work, and status. The glamour of the prestigious degree, as it was understood in the 20th century, is indeed fading. It is being replaced by a more pragmatic, and perhaps more democratic, valuation of demonstrable competency.

The historical role of the university as an "ivory tower," separate from the messy realities of commerce, is no longer tenable. This is not to argue that universities should become mere vocational training centres. The value of a broad-based liberal arts education in fostering critical thought, ethical reasoning, and a deep understanding of the human condition is more vital than ever in a complex, interconnected world. However, the failure to connect these timeless virtues to the contemporary challenges faced by graduates and employers is a critical flaw.

The most compelling vision for the future, in my view, is a hybrid model. The university of tomorrow must be a permeable institution. It should embrace its role as a curator of foundational knowledge and a crucible for critical thinking, while simultaneously building robust bridges to the world outside its gates. This means mandatory, meaningful work-integrated learning, faculty with industry experience, and curricula co-created with employers and community leaders. The goal should be to produce "T-shaped" individuals: those with deep disciplinary knowledge (the vertical bar of the T) complemented by broad interdisciplinary and soft skills (the horizontal bar).

The greatest risk is that universities, particularly the most prestigious ones, will succumb to institutional inertia, relying on their historical brand equity until it is too late. The glamour of a name can sustain an institution for a long time, but as the skills gap widens and alternative pathways gain legitimacy, that glow will inevitably dim. The opportunity, however, is immense. Universities have the infrastructure, the expertise, and the societal trust to become the central nervous system of the lifelong learning ecosystem. By embracing change, they can shed an outdated glamour for a more profound and enduring relevance.

CONCLUSION

Academic degree inflation is a symptom of a deeper transformation in the global economy and the nature of work. The massification of higher education, while a social good, has devalued the bachelor's degree, triggering a credentials arms race that is increasingly unsustainable for individuals and societies. The resultant erosion of the "glamour" of a prestigious degree signals a necessary correction a move away from judging potential based on pedigree and towards an assessment of actual capability.

The future of the university is not bleak, but it is contingent on its ability to adapt. The institutions that will thrive are those that can successfully integrate the best of their tradition the cultivation of wisdom, critical inquiry, and civic virtue with the demands of the future: agility, technological fluency, and a direct connection to the world of work. They must transition from being monolithic degree-granting entities to becoming flexible, lifelong learning partners. This will require rethinking curricula, pedagogy, financial models, and the very definition of a "student."

The credential crunch is, therefore, also a moment of creative destruction. It challenges the hegemony of the traditional degree and forces a re-evaluation of what we truly value in education. The outcome will likely be a more diverse, responsive, and equitable educational landscape, where the prestige of an institution is derived not from its exclusivity, but from its demonstrated success in empowering its

graduates to lead meaningful and productive lives.

Further Scope of Research

This review has outlined the broad contours of the challenge, but several areas warrant deeper investigation:

1. **Longitudinal Studies on Alternative Credentials:** There is a need for long-term studies tracking the career trajectories and earning potential of graduates from coding bootcamps and MOOC-based micro-credentials compared to traditional university graduates.
2. **The Impact of AI on Professional Fields:** Research is needed on how AI will disrupt specific professions (e.g., law, accounting, radiology) and how university curricula in those fields are adapting (or failing to adapt) in response.
3. **Global South Perspectives:** Much of the current literature focuses on North American and European contexts. Research is needed on how degree inflation and the future of universities are playing out in the rapidly expanding higher education systems of Asia, Africa, and Latin America.
4. **New Metrics for Value:** Developing more sophisticated ways to measure the ROI of a degree beyond starting salary, incorporating metrics like long-term career adaptability, well-being, and civic engagement.

The Psychology of Prestige: Investigating how employer and student perceptions of institutional prestige are changing in real-time, and what new signals of quality are emerging to replace traditional rankings.

REFERENCES

1. Aoun, J. E. (2017). Robot-Proof: Higher Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. The MIT Press.
2. Arrow, K. J. (1973). Higher education as a filter. Journal of Public Economics, 2(3), 193–216.
3. Brown, P., Lauder, H., & Ashton, D. (2011). The Global Auction: The Broken Promises of Education, Jobs, and Incomes. Oxford University Press.
4. Burning Glass Technologies. (2014). Moving the Goalposts: How Demand for a Bachelor's Degree is Reshaping the Workforce.
5. https://www.burning-glass.com/wp-content/uploads/Moving_the_Goalposts.pdf
6. Collins, R. (1979). The Credential Society: An Historical Sociology of Education and Stratification. Academic Press.
7. Deming, D. J., & Walters, C. R. (2018). The Impact of Price Caps and Spending Cuts on U.S. Postsecondary Attainment (NBER Working Paper No. 23736). National Bureau of Economic Research.
8. Fuller, J., Raman, M., *et al.* (2021). The Hidden Workforce: Toward a More Inclusive Labor Market. Harvard Business School and Accenture.
9. https://www.hbs.edu/managing-the-future-of-work/Documents/research/hiddenworkers.pdf
10. Goldin, C., & Katz, L. F. (2008). The Race Between Education and Technology. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
11. McKinsey Global Institute. (2021). *The future of work after COVID-19*. https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-work/the-future-of-work-after-covid-19.
12. Rivera, L. A. (2015). Pedigree: How Elite Students Get Elite Jobs. Princeton University Press.
13. Scott, P. (2018). The future of the university: a perspective from the UK. Studies in Higher Education, 43(12), 2067-2079.
14. Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM). (2019). The Global Skills Shortage: Bridging the Talent Gap with Education, Training and Sourcing. https://www.shrm.org/hr-today/trends-and-forecasting/research-and-surveys/Documents/SHRM%20Skills%20Gap%202019.pdf
15. Spence, M. (1973). Job Market Signaling. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 87(3), 355–374.
16. UNESCO Institute for Statistics. (2021). Global Education Monitoring Report. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
17. World Economic Forum. (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020. http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2020.pdf